Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 1 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN WISCONSIN RESOURCES PROTECTION COUNCIL, CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and LAURA GAUGER. Plaintiffs, V. Case No. 11-cv-45 FLAMBEAU MINING COMPANY, Defendant.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION of ELIZABETH A. DAY, a witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the Defendant, wherein Wisconsin Resources Protection Council, et al. are Plaintiffs, and Flambeau Mining Company is Defendant, pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin, pursuant to notice and stipulation, before Peggy S. Christensen, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin, at the offices of DeWitt Ross & Stevens S.C., Attorneys at Law, Two East Mifflin Street, Suite 600, City of Madison, County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, on the 18th day of April 2012, commencing at 9:04 in the forenoon.
A Land and Annual Annua	VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION ELIZABETH A. DAY Madison, Wisconsin April 18, 2012	16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S PAMELA R. MCGILLIVRAY and JAMES N. SAUL, Attorneys, for MCGILLIVRAY, WESTERBERG & BENDER, LLC, Attorneys at Law, 211 South Paterson Street, Suite 320, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs.
	Peggy S. Christensen, RPR, CRR, CCP Registered Professional Reporter	21 22 23 24 25	HARRY E. VAN CAMP, Attorney, for DEWITT ROSS & STEVENS S.C., Attorneys at Law, Two East Mifflin Street, Suite 600, Madison, Wisconsin 53703-2865, appearing on behalf of the Defendant. Also present: Susan George; Jon Hansen, CLVS and Connie Hansen, CLVS
l 1	INDEX	ļ	3
2	Witness Pages	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We
3	ELIZABETH A. DAY	2	are on the record. This is DVD number 1 of
4	Examination by Mr. Van Camp 5	3	the evidentiary deposition of Blizabeth A.
5	Examination by Ms. McGillivray 86	4	Day, case number 11-cv-45 WE I'm sorry,
6		5	11-cv-45 in the Western District of
7		6	Wisconsin, in the matter of Wisconsin
8		7	Resources Protection Council, et al., versus
9		8	Flambeau Mining Company. This deposition is
10	EXHIBITS	10	taking place in Madison, Wisconsin.
11	No. Description Identified	11	Today's date is April 18, 2012. The
12	170 Résumé 8	12	Peggy Christensen. My name is Jon Hansen.
'		1	roggy currectingui. My mame to con maneau.
13	171 Approved Jurisdictional Determination	13	We're both associated with For the Record.
13 14	171 Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14	13	We're both associated with For the Record,
13 14 15		13 14 15	We're both associated with For the Record, Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce
14	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C"	14	Madison, Wisconsin.
14 15	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32	14 15	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce
14 15 16	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C"	14 15 16	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After
14 15 16 17	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34 (The original exhibits were attached to the original	14 15 16 17	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the
14 15 16 17 18	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34	14 15 16 17 18	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the witness.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34 (The original exhibits were attached to the original	14 15 16 17 18 19	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the witness. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34 (The original exhibits were attached to the original	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the witness. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. Pamela McGillivray and James Saul from
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34 (The original exhibits were attached to the original	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the witness. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. Pamela McGillivray and James Saul from McGillivray, Westerberg & Bender for the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34 (The original exhibits were attached to the original transcript and copies were provided to counsel)	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the witness. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. Pamela McGillivray and James Saul from McGillivray, Westerberg & Bender for the plaintiffs.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Form, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 14 172 Navigable Waters of the U.S. Within the State of Wisconsin 32 173 Packet of photographs labeled "Stream C" photograph locations, 10/18/2011 34 (The original exhibits were attached to the original	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Madison, Wisconsin. At this time counsel will now introduce themselves and who they represent. After that the court reporter will swear in the witness. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. Pamela McGillivray and James Saul from McGillivray, Westerberg & Bender for the plaintiffs. MR. VAN CAMP: Good morning. Harry

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 2 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

```
1
                                                                   site and determine -- or to get a sense of the
1
           Madison, and I'm assisted today by Susan
                                                                   flow of water and whether or not there was a
2
           George.
                                                                   waterway that existed adjacent to the biofilter.
3
                   COURT REPORTER: If you would raise
                                                             4 Q Okay. You prepared a report, did you not?
4
           your right hand, please, ma'am.
                                                             5 A Yes.
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
                   ELIZABETH A. DAY,
                                                               Q And that report was discussed at the prior
                                                                   deposition; correct?
           called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
                                                               A It was.
8
           testified on oath as follows:
                                                             9
9
                                                                Q I'm going to hand you a document. Let's write on
                   DIRECT EXAMINATION
10
                                                                   that Exhibit 164. Just so that you can refer to
    By Mr. Van Camp:
                                                            11
                                                                   it by exhibit number, you'll recall that in your
11
    Q Good morning.
                                                            12
                                                                   evidentiary deposition your report was -- I'm
12
    A Good morning.
                                                            13
    Q Please tell us your name.
                                                                   sorry, your discovery deposition your report was
                                                            14
                                                                   marked as Exhibit 164?
14
   A My name is Elizabeth A. Day.
                                                            15 A Yes.
15
    Q Do you prefer Ms.? Mrs.?
                                                            16
                                                                                MR. VAN CAMP: Counsel, would you
16
    A Ms.
                                                            17
17
                                                                        like copies of that or do you have --
    Q Ms., okay. Ms. Day, let me describe what we're
                                                            18
                                                                                MS. MC GILLIVRAY: I have it.
18
       going to be doing here today. I know that you sat
                                                            19
19
       previously for a traditional discovery deposition
                                                                       Thank you.
                                                            20
                                                                                MR. VAN CAMP: -- plenty by now?
20
       taken by the plaintiffs' attorneys last week, I
                                                            21
21
                                                                       Okay.
22
   A Yes.
                                                            22 Q Why don't you tell us, if you will, what
                                                            23
23
                                                                   qualifications you have, and I think probably to
    Q So this is the second deposition that you're
24
                                                            24
                                                                   assist with that, attached as part of Exhibit 164
       sitting for in this case, and today's deposition
                                                            25
                                                                   are a list of qualifications; correct?
25
       is what we call an evidentiary deposition. It's
                           5
1
                                                             1 A Yes.
       taken by the parties that hired you as an expert
                                                             2 Q Could you, please, turn to that.
2
       witness to be used at trial.
           And so we'll be asking you questions relative
                                                                A Okay.
 4
                                                             4
                                                                                MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. I'm also
       to work that you've done in this case, much like
                                                                        going to mark an additional exhibit, and I
 5
                                                             5
       what was done before at the prior deposition taken
                                                             6
                                                                        believe the next exhibit number is 170.
       by the plaintiffs, but we will be offering this
                                                             7
7
       deposition transcript, which is being recorded by
                                                                        Would you agree, Counsel?
                                                             8
                                                                                MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Yes.
8
       a court reporter who will prepare a paper
                                                             9
                                                                                 (Exhibit No. 170 marked for
 Q
       transcript, as well as by a videographer who will
                                                            10
10
       prepare a video recording of this to use in trial.
                                                                                   identification)
                                                            11
                                                                Q I'm marking your résumé separately as Exhibit 170,
11
       Why don't you tell us where you live.
                                                            12
12
   A I live in Middleton, Wisconsin.
                                                                   and you're welcome to refer to either one, either
                                                            13
                                                                   the one that's included in your expert report or
13
    Q You're familiar, are you not, with the case
                                                            14
14
                                                                   Exhibit 170. But I would like to begin by asking
       Wisconsin Resources Protection Council, Center for
                                                            15
15
                                                                   you to tell me what education you were involved in
       Biological Diversity, and Laura Gauger versus
16
                                                            16
                                                                    after graduating from high school.
       Flambeau Mining Company?
                                                            17 A Okay. I went to the University of Wisconsin at
17
   A Yes, I am.
                                                            18
                                                                   Green Bay and got my bachelor's degree in
18
    Q And you were hired in that case; correct?
                                                            19
                                                                    environmental science and, let's see, I got that
    A Yes.
                                                            20
                                                                    in 19 -- where is the education -- 1980.
20
    Q And by whom were you hired?
                                                            21
                                                                Q And what types of things did you study to receive
21
    A By Flambeau Mining Company.
                                                            22
                                                                    that degree?
    Q And you were hired as an expert witness?
                                                            23
23
    A Yes.
                                                               A It was an interdisciplinary degree. I studied
                                                            24
                                                                    ecology, I studied earth science, chemistry,
24
    Q What were you hired to do?
                                                            25
                                                                    physics, I studied limnology and all kind of
25 A I was hired to go out in the field and look at the
```

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 3 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

2

3

4

5

ß

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 taxonomy classes, botany and little bugs that live 2 in water, that kind of thing.
- 3 Q After you received that degree in 1980, did you 4 pursue further education?
- A Yes. I attended the University of Wisconsin at
 Madison and I got my master's in water resource
 management, and I got that degree in 1985.
- 8 Q Could you tell us briefly what types of courses
- 9 you took to obtain that degree.10 A My area of concentration was in wetlands and also
- in environmental policy, so I had policy courses,
 I had hydrology, hydrogeology, I had, oh -- and we
- 13 had a master's seminar that was studying
- 14 Black Earth Creek and runoff, agricultural runoff
 - into Black Earth Creek, which is a trout stream.
- 16 Q Have you been involved in work related to those 17 degrees since that time?
- 18 A Yes. Ever since that time I've been involved in 19 environmental science work.
- 20 Q Okay. Did you pursue a degree after you received 21 your master's degree?
- 22 A No.

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resources.

15

- 23 Q Okay. Could you describe your work experience
- 24 sort of in chronological sequence, as best you

9

A Okay. Well, I usually start when I get to

25 can, from the time you began working in the

design and also during construction of that I was doing construction monitoring for that work where they did the wetland mitigation for the South Beltline through the marsh.

After that I moved out to Massachusetts and worked as a permit evaluator for the Corps of Engineers for about a year in Waltham,
Massachusetts. And after that I started my consulting career. I was in southern
New Hampshire working for Normandeau Associates, and I worked for them starting in 1987, all the way through to 1996, and moved up to Maine with them and started their office in Maine.

Then we moved back out to Wisconsin, and in 1996 I began work at URS Corporation, which had some other names before that, and again I was an environmental scientist with URS doing primarily wetlands delineation work, waterway characterization, and permitting.

And after that I moved to Natural Resources Consulting in 2001, and that was -- NRC was purchased by Stantec Consulting Services in 2010, mid-year of 2010. So now that firm is Stantec Consulting Services, and I've been there since 2001.

11

- 1 environmental sciences fields.
- Madison, which is about in 1982. Before that I 3 4 was out on the west coast, and I had fisheries 5 jobs in between the time that I graduated from 6 Green Bay and the time I went back to school in 7 Madison. And actually a little bit before I went 8 back to school in Madison, I started work at the 9 Department of Natural Resources. I worked down at 10 Fish Hatchery where I revised the water 11 resources -- Surface Water Resources of

each county in Wisconsin on surface water

I then moved up to GEF II where -- at the headquarters where I was working with the Wisconsin Wetland Inventory program, and in that job I interpreted aerial photos to delineate wetlands on those aerial photos and then made the orthophoto maps that are still used today in the Wisconsin Wetland Inventory.

Dane County. They have a county -- a document for

Let's see. After that I worked for the Wisconsin Department of Transportation as a biologist, and in that capacity I was pretty much working on the South Beltline wetland mitigation

- Q Describe generally for us the types of projects
 that you've worked on since 2001 with Stantec.
- A Well, that evolved over the years. Initially we 4 were doing what we term as parcel delineations, 5 where a developer wants to build something on a 6 piece of land and they need to know where the 7 wetlands are on that piece of land. And so we'd delineate those wetlands, characterize the 8 9 waterways and determine -- help them determine 10 which permits they needed. If they could avoid 11 those wetlands, that's what we counseled, and we 12 would write the permit applications. We would 13 write delineation reports.

As we moved on, we began work for industrial concerns, utility concerns, did larger projects, transportation concerns, longer corridor type projects where we were doing the same type of work for miles on end and also including rare and endangered species, habitat characterization and surveys.

21 Q I noticed that you have listed in your résumé a
22 number of projects that you have experience with.
23 One of them involves Cedar Ridge Wind Farm in
24 Fond du Lac County. Can you just briefly describe
25 the type of work that you were involved in in that

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 4 of 51

		VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSIT	NOI	O	FELIZABETHA. DAT 4/10/2012
1	**********	project.	1		jurisdictional wetland so that processing of
2	Α		2		permits can move forward.
3		wetlands occurred on that entire parcel, which was	3	Q	Okay. Could you briefly go through the other
4		several square miles, and it was about at that	4		projects that you have specifically identified in
5		time that the I think it was maybe at the time	5		your résumé and indicate roughly when you worked
6		where the Rapanos ruling came down and the	6		on those projects and the types of work that you
7		guidance came out for jurisdictional	7		performed, beginning with the Upper Sugar River/
8		determinations, and it was a time when the wind	8		Badger Mill Creek watershed.
9		farms needed to move quickly and get toward the	9	Α	That was for the City of Verona, and they were
10		construction quite quickly, so I was brought in to	10		looking to expand their urban service area, and so
11		the project toward the end to help do	11		I worked with another firm who was doing
12		jurisdictional determinations because I had been	12		hydrologic studies and I inventoried the sensitive
13		with the Corps and I was kind of the policy person	13		resources in the watershed and helped the city
14		and understood how these things were coming	14		develop or developed for the city
15		together.	15		recommendations for protecting the cold water
16		So I prepared a number of preliminary	16		fishery resource, which is the Sugar River and
17		jurisdictional determinations. That is quite	17		Badger Mill Creek.
18		different than the preliminary JDs that are done	18	Q	And approximately when did you work on that
19		by the Corps now. Those didn't exist at the time.	19		project?
20		The reason I said preliminary is because I'm not	20	Α	That was probably about five years ago, four years
21		the agency and they have to make the final	21		maybe.
22		determination. But it was using the seven-page	22	Q	Okay. And the next project you refer to is the
23		form that was new at that time. Excuse me.	23		Harley Davidson Motor Company project. What is
24	Q		24		that?
25	Α	That form is in my expert report, Exhibit 164.	25	Α	That is a project I worked on when I was at URS,
		13			15
1	Q	Before you go on, I'm going to mark that form as	1		and over in Wauwatosa they needed to expand their
1 2	Q	Before you go on, I'm going to mark that form as Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer	1 2		and over in Wauwatosa they needed to expand their testing area for the Harley engines and it
	Q				-
2	Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer	2		testing area for the Harley engines and it
2 3	Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171.	2 3		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of
2 3 4		Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171.	3 4		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a
2 3 4 5		Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay.	2 3 4 5		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland
2 3 4 5 6	A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for	2 3 4 5 6		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those
2 3 4 5 6 7	A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification)	2 3 4 5 6 7		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q	testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind the homes, and they were wanting to do something a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind the homes, and they were wanting to do something a little different with their storm water. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well? Yes. Is that form and that guidebook things that you use in your profession?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind the homes, and they were wanting to do something a little different with their storm water. There was a wetland down the middle of the development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well? Yes. Is that form and that guidebook things that you use in your profession?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind the homes, and they were wanting to do something a little different with their storm water. There was a wetland down the middle of the development. DNR required that the storm water not go directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well? Yes. Is that form and that guidebook things that you use in your profession? I used them at one point in time, but since that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind the homes, and they were wanting to do something a little different with their storm water. There was a wetland down the middle of the development. DNR required that the storm water not go directly into that area, so we developed some storm water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	Exhibit 171. Once again, you're welcome to refer to the one that's actually attached to your report or the one that we've just marked as Exhibit 171. Okay. (Exhibit No. 171 marked for identification) And you said that before that form was developed. Just tell us briefly at this point what Exhibit 171 is. Exhibit 171 is an Approved Jurisdictional Determination form. I'm just looking to see if it has a date on it of when it was developed, but I don't see that. It's a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers form, and it is to be completed by instructions that were in an entirely separate instructional guidebook. Are you familiar with that guidebook as well? Yes. Is that form and that guidebook things that you use in your profession? I used them at one point in time, but since that time the Corps of Engineers has developed these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		testing area for the Harley engines and it required a very particular configuration of building, which meant they needed to affect a stream, a very small stream and a small wetland area, and I helped delineate, characterize those resources, do the impact assessment, put the permit application together, and worked through that permitting process with the DNR. Okay. And the next project that you referred to is the Middleton Hills project. Could you tell us what that involved? Middleton Hills is a I forget exactly what they call it, but it's one of these modern developments where it sort of goes it's kind of a retro-modern development where they go back and have very small yards and narrow alleyways behind the homes, and they were wanting to do something a little different with their storm water. There was a wetland down the middle of the development. DNR required that the storm water not go directly into that area, so we developed some storm water management four bays that were quite unusual and

1

3

- 1 land uses for many years, and that is the focus, 2 central focus of the development now and has 3 walkways around it, and it's a pretty nice area. 4 Q Approximately when did you work on that project? 5 A That was also when I was at URS, so it had to have been prior to 2001. Q Okay. The next project you referred to is the 8 Perrier Group. Could you tell us what was 9 involved in that project? 10 A In that project we inventoried and characterized 11 the wetlands and threatened endangered species at 12 the proposed Adams -- at the one-time proposed 13 Adams County well for, oh, not Poland Springs but 14 the -- oh, Perrier that was trying to do a high-15 capacity groundwater extraction well. 16 Q And approximately when did you work on that? 17 A That was also at URS. 18 Q Okay. 19 A A couple of these projects went from when I was at
- 20 URS and I brought them over to NRC as well.
- 21 Q Okay. Then just if you can quickly go through the 22 remainder of those projects. There is a Chevron 23 project, an Amoco project -- or two Amoco projects 24 and an Exxon project.
- 25 A The Chevron project was back when I was in Maine,

and that was quite a large area. It was for a proposed mining project on Bald Mountain, that I don't know if it ever occurred, but we did quite a bit of plant surveys and wetland and waterway surveys and characterization and put together reports of our findings.

The Amoco projects in Illinois were wetland delineation projects essentially where we did permitting as well with the Corps of Engineers.

And the Exxon project down there was also quite similar, and we got jurisdictional concurrence from the Corps of Engineers.

- 13 Q You've used the term several times in your answers 14 so far jurisdictional determinations.
- 15 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 16 Q Can you just describe what you mean by 17 jurisdictional determinations?
- 18 A Well, as environmental scientists and wetland
- 19 biologists, we delineate the wetlands and
- 20 characterize the waterways based on guidance and
- 21 standard methodologies that the agencies develop,
- 22 but we're very careful to point out that it is the
- 23 agencies that make that final determination of
- their own jurisdiction. So we've simply provided 24
- 25 the information to the agencies to review so that

they can make their own determination.

2 Q Okay. The next caption in your résumé refers to

water resource restoration. Could you just tell

us briefly for each of those referenced projects

5 what they involved?

A Well, for the North Fork Pheasant Branch Creek 6

7 Restoration in Middleton, that was a ditched

8 portion of the upper part of Pheasant Branch Creek

9 that was over by the Morey Airport, and we were

10 expanding the floodplain essentially by digging

11 out a lot of material and then within that

12 floodplain did a design for a remeandered stream,

13 a more naturalistic looking stream, and wetland

14 restoration in that area. It was quite a large

15 development. Do you want me to go to the next

16 one?

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

17 Q Sure, if you don't mind.

18 A At the Wade House state historic site in

19 Greenbush, that was a project where they wanted to

20 restore a working sawmill, and the dam for that

21 mill pond for the sawmill had self-destructed over

22 the years and they wanted to rebuild it but the

23 DNR really no longer is interested in having

24 anybody create new dams, so we worked on a very

unusual design that made it look like there was a

1 mill pond but it maintained the free flowing 2 stream around it but still -- we were still able 3 to have the sawmill work. So that was quite a 4 long study of looking at the wetlands.

> There were also endangered mussels in the stream, and we did a relocation -- or managed that part. That was a subcontractor that did it. That was a very long process that required quite a bit of coordination with the DNR.

> The Discovery Springs project was also over in Middleton. That's a development at the northwest corner of 12 and 14, and that was former wetland over there that essentially has been drained over the years through drainage ditches, and we did the wetland delineation and a stream relocation project there and also the enhancement, similar to the North Fork project, where we remeandered a section of the formerly channelized stream.

20 Q Then there is a reference to a South Beltline 21

22 A Yes. That's the project I was talking about that

23 I did when I worked for the Department of

24 Transportation developing designs for the 25 restoration and enhancement of 25 acres of

1		wetlands in as mitigation for the approximately
2		18 acres that were filled for the South Beltline.
3	Q	Okay. And then finally there is a reference to
4		another project involving Kohler Company?
5	Α	Yes. That was over in Sheboygan where they were
6		developing their Whistling Straits Golf Course and
7		they had some wetlands that they had filled in the
8		process and we developed a wetland mitigation plan
9		to actually create and restore a total of 20 acres
10		of wetlands there.
11	Q	Thank you. I'd like to ask you about a term that
12		you've used repeatedly in your answers so far,
13		wetland delineation. Could you tell us what doing
14		a wetland delineation is?
15	Α	Certainly. There is a Corps of Engineers
16		methodology that calls for identifying field
17		criteria of the three components that define
18		wetlands. There is a wetland definition from the
19		Clean Water Act that I used to be able to state by
20		memory, but it has components addressing
21		hydrology, soils, and vegetation. And a wetland
22		delineation is when you go out and you observe
23		the generally first the lay of the land and the
24		various plant communities that are supported by

1 very large. Some are quite small. The Corps of 2 Engineers has always said that they don't really 3 care how small the wetland is, they still regulate it. So that then puts me in the hundreds of wetlands that I've delineated over my career 6 because I've done, like I said, many corridor-type 7 projects where you've got a straight line 8 essentially running across the landscape, along 9 which you could encounter a large number of 10 wetlands, large and small. 11 Q In addition to your education, do you hold any certificates or registrations in your field? 12 13 A I am licensed as a Professional Hydrologist with 14 the State of Wisconsin Department of Regulation 15 and Licensing. I also am certified as a 16 Professional Wetland Scientist with a professional 17 organization called the Society of Wetland 18 Scientists, and that's kind of a juried 19 certification that has to be maintained through 20 education and practice and has to be renewed every 21 five years.

community from primarily wetland to primarily upland vegetation, you need to determine the exact point where that -- the exact line along which that change occurs, and so you look at soils on one side and soils on the other side and you characterize those and you look for hydric or nonhydric -- versus nonhydric components. The same thing with evidence of hydrology.

that landscape, and when you see a change in that

At any given time when you're out there doing the wetland delineation, you don't necessarily have the hydrologic criteria right there in front of you, but there are indicators for that hydrology. The same thing with the soils.

And then there is a list of vegetation that you refer to, and each species has a ranking of -on a range between upland and wetland and you take the dominant vegetation, and it's quite complicated. I'm simplifying it quite a bit. But essentially you put the line in between two points in which you find upland -- or I should say nonwetland characteristics and the point at which you find wetland characteristics.

23 Q Over the years approximately how many wetland 24 delineations have you been involved in?

25 A Well, you know, some of the wetlands are very,

A Gee, I wish I had the dates down here but I don't. 1

23

Professional Hydrologist designation registered

with the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and

2 I did that right after they first came up with the 3

designation itself, and I believe that was passed while I was at URS, so it was sometime between '97

and 2001. The Society -- or the Professional

6 Wetland Scientist one I did while I was at

Q Approximately how long have you held the

7 Normandeau Associates, and so that was about in --

8 I believe I did it in '87.

Licensing?

9 Q Have you maintained those registrations or 10 designations since the time you first obtained

11 them?

22

23

24

25

12 A Yes, I have.

13 Q And have you qualified by taking the courses that

14 you're required to do so to maintain them in good

15 standing?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you belong to any associations associated with

18 your profession?

19 A Yes. I am a member of the Society of Wetland

20 Scientists, the Association of State Wetland

21 Managers, the Wisconsin Wetland Association.

22 Those are the major professional ones.

23 Q Approximately how long have you been associated

24 with those professional organizations?

A I have been involved in the Society of Wetland

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 7 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

1 1 Scientists since I was in grad school in the have testified about in the past. 2 2 A I have -- Back in Maine I think was the first time mid-Eighties, and with the Association of State 3 I did that. It was an administrative hearing with Wetland Managers, probably soon after that. I 4 regard to siting a special waste landfill which believe I got involved in that when I was working 5 at the DNR later on in that career, about involved some wetland impacts, and I testified to my -- what I had seen out there as far as wetlands maybe '84. So quite a long time for both of them. 6 7 7 And the Wisconsin Wetland Association, I and waterways. 8 8 And then I think -- oh, there was probably -think I might have dropped my membership when I 9 9 was out in Maine, but I've been a member since I I think there was another special waste landfill 10 out there that I also did the same kind of thing 10 was in grad school in the early Eighties 11 11 for. otherwise. 12 Q Okay. Now I notice under the caption of 12 And then when I came to Wisconsin I -- let's 13 13 publications authored you indicate that the nature see. I've done one small administrative hearing 14 14 with regard to a wetland up in the northeast part of your work is to do publications or writings for 15 15 of the state, the Green Bay area, where we found clients; correct? 16 16 wetlands that the DNR had not found, and so that's A That's correct. 17 17 Q In the last ten years approximately how many what the hearing was about there. 18 18 And then more recently I have done a couple reports or writings do you think you have been 19 19 involved in preparing for clients that involve of hearings. I did one for the Arrowhead to 20 20 wetlands or wetland delineations? Weston transmission line where I was the expert 21 21 A Oh, I would say on the average ten per year. More witness having to do with wetlands and waterways, 22 early on than more recently. 22 and the same thing with Rockdale to West Middleton 23 23 Q And you also mentioned during a response to some transmission line, and that was just a few years 24 24 of the questions that you were involved in various back, 2009, I guess, again testifying as to the 25 25 permit applications. Would you tell us a little extent and character of wetlands and waterways. 1 more about what's involved in work you do related 1 Q Okay. Thank you very much. Looking briefly, if 2 2 to permit applications? you would, at Exhibit 170, which is the separate 3 A Once the information is gathered in the field on 3 document that contains your detailed 4 qualifications, I notice that behind your name wetlands and waterways and work has been done with 5 the client to minimize those impacts, then for the 5 there are initials, PWS and then there are 6 ñ unavoidable impacts we will put together a permit initials PH. Do you see those? 7 7 A Yes. application, which essentially describes the 8 8 Q Could you tell us what the designation first of project they're trying to do, describes the 9 9 PWS is and then what the designation PH is? natural resources that are being affected and 10 10 lists the alternatives that were explored in A PWS is Professional Wetland Scientist and PH is 11 11 Professional Hydrologist. arriving at the final proposal, and those are the 12 12 essential components of a permit application. Q And are those the designations that you talked 13 13 Q Give us examples of the agencies that would issue about earlier involving registrations or 14 14 permits that you've been involved in applying for. certificates? 15 15 A Yes, they are. A In Wisconsin, the Department of Natural Resources, 16 Q Okay. Now I would like to move back to the Corps of Engineers. There are also some with 17 17 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife if we're affecting Exhibit 174 which is your --18 18 A 64? federally listed species, then sometimes with 19 19 Q I'm sorry, 164. Pardon me. 164, that is your municipalities or county organizations depending 20 20 on which ordinances are in effect in those areas. expert report. The first caption is an 21 21 introduction, and could you tell us what you have Q Have you ever provided any form of expert 22 22 presented in the section entitled introduction? testimony before in any sort of agency hearings or 23 courts? 23 A That simply talks about what I was retained to 24 look at, which was to review existing documents

25

about the Flambeau Mine project and to make

A Yes.

Q Could you describe the types of matters that you

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 8 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

1 also my review of materials that others had put 1 on-site observations about the water resources 2 together that are listed later on in my report. 2 within and adjacent to the biofilter. 3 Because I only went there one time, I needed to 3 Q How many times did you visit the Flambeau Mine rely on reports of others in order to gather 4 site? 5 information, because whenever you're looking at A I visited just once. hydrology, it's never a one-time thing. You have Q And what was the date of that visit, if you 6 to look at changes over time. 7 recall? 8 Q Okay. And are the documents that you referred to, 8 A The date was in October of 2011, and it was the 9 and we'll look at those in a minute more 18th of October 2011. 10 carefully, but are those the types of documents 10 O The next section after the introduction is the 11 that a person in your profession would rely on for 11 summary of conclusions. Can you tell us what determinations of this sort included in your 12 12 you've included in the summary of conclusions 13 13 within your report? report? 14 A Yes. 14 A My conclusions were with regard to the 15 MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Objection, form. 15 jurisdictional status of the Flambeau River and 16 16 the drainageway that's been known as Stream C, and It's vague as to what documents. 17 Q Okay. You can go ahead and answer. 17 what I concluded was that -- and these, of course, 18 A Well, referring to the list of documents that are 18 are preliminary determinations that are based on 19 19 reviewed on page 4 of Exhibit 164, yes, those are the available guidance from the agencies, but 20 the types of documents that, you know, one would 20 Flambeau River I felt met the definition of a typically look at in order to do our work. I mean 21 21 federal traditional navigable waterway and that 22 Stream C -- the drainageway that has been called 22 essentially as a scientist we -- as scientists we 23 always try to gather as much information as we can 23 Stream C over time did not meet that definition in 24 about an area to give a perspective of its place 24 my opinion and that Stream C, there was no defined 25 25 in the landscape. waterway that existed adjacent to the biofilter 1 and that that started -- where I observed that was 2 2 south of Copper Park Lane essentially offsite of 3 report? the industrial outlot. A Oh, yes. And I also looked at the biofilter itself and 5 Q Okay. 5 very closely at the discharge area and determined A That's included. that, although it might discharge intermittently,

it didn't appear to discharge directly to the lowest part of the drainageway and the wetland adjacent to it and I didn't see a stream there anyway.

And I also found that you'd need to determine whether that wetland adjacent to the biofilter was a water of the U.S. or not, and that would require a significant nexus analysis.

Q To the extent that you presented those summary of conclusions, can you tell us whether or not those are based upon your experience and your education in your field and whether or not they are opinions that you hold to a reasonable degree of certainty within your field?

A They're -- yes. They're based on the breadth of my knowledge gained over my career. They're based on review of -- or use over time of the documents that -- the guidance documents that the agencies put out, and they're based on my observations and

Q And would that answer also apply to the two documents referred to at the top of page 5 of your

(Exhibit No. 172 marked for identification)

9 Q You used a term in your answer a traditional 10 navigable waterway, and I would like to show you a

document that has now been marked as Exhibit 172. 11

12 Once again, Exhibit 172 is a document which 13 also appears in your report, and it's just been 14 marked separately. Could you tell us what

15 Exhibit 172 is, please?

A Sure. Exhibit 172 is a list of navigable waters of the U.S. within the state of Wisconsin that is published by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on their website, and I refer to it frequently in my work when I'm trying to determine whether a given waterway is regulated under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. This is slightly different than the term traditional navigable waters, which is expanded by the guidance -- its meaning is expanded by the guidance. These are

32

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 9 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

		VIDEOTALE EVIDENTATION DEL COLLI			
1		navigable waters that a commercial vessel can	1		in your report. Do you want to take just a moment
2		operate on and it lists the head of navigability	2		to describe what Exhibit 173 is?
3		for each of those waters, but there are other	3	Α	Yes. On the day I was out there, on October 18th,
4		waters that are included as traditionally	4		I took a number of photographs so I could
5		navigable by the Corps since the Rapanos decision.	5		supplement my report and also to help me remember
6	Q	Looking at Exhibit 172, what is the closest	6		what I was seeing where. And so those pictures
7		navigable water to the biofilter at the	7		begin on the third page of Exhibit 173 and are
8		Flambeau Mine site?	8		captioned.
9	Α	Probably the Wisconsin River. Is that on here?	9		And then the first two pages of the exhibit
10		Yes.	10		are essentially location maps. The one at the top
11	Q		11		is an index of the next four images, showing where
12		Oh, okay, the Chippewa. Yeah, it runs into the	12		they are on the landscape, aerial A being
13		Chippewa.	13		covering the uppermost portion of the drainageway
14	O	Okay. But the Flambeau River is not on there;	14		that I said that I described walking, and
15		correct?	15		aerial B being the part the first part south of
16		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Objection,	16		Copper Park Lane, aerial C and D showing the
17		leading.	17		remainder of it.
18	0	Okay. Could you tell us whether or not the	18		And I actually traced since there weren't
19	•	Flambeau River appears on Exhibit 172?	19		any maps that showed the drainageway of Stream C,
20	Δ	It does not appear on Exhibit 172.	20		since it's an unnamed stream and it's so
21		You have concluded in your report that some of the	21		intermittent, having walked in that area I was
22	w	bodies of water referenced constitute traditional	22		able to take a close-up look at this aerial, and
23			23		in aerial B, C and D I've actually put in a dashed
		navigable waters and some do not. Do you see that	24		blue line that's kind of hard to see but it shows
24		in your report?	25		the alignment of the waterway.
25	м	I've put that in the summary of my conclusions	20		-
1		বর্	1		35
_		33	4		35
1		which I just talked about earlier, but also I	1 2	Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial
2		which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of	2		Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173.
2 3		which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I	2 3	A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes.
2 3 4		which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times.	3 4		Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those
2 3 4 5	Q	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at	2 3 4 5	A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to?
2 3 4 5 6	Q	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you	2 3 4 5 6	A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	_	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know? I put that on the map.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C. Okay. I'd like to digress for just a moment and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C. Okay. I'd like to digress for just a moment and have you take a look at a document that is being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know? I put that on the map.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C. Okay. I'd like to digress for just a moment and have you take a look at a document that is being marked as Exhibit 173.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know? I put that on the map. Okay. And did you do that after your visit to the site? Yes, I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C. Okay. I'd like to digress for just a moment and have you take a look at a document that is being marked as Exhibit 173. (Exhibit No. 173 marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know? I put that on the map. Okay. And did you do that after your visit to the site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C. Okay. I'd like to digress for just a moment and have you take a look at a document that is being marked as Exhibit 173. (Exhibit No. 173 marked for identification)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know? I put that on the map. Okay. And did you do that after your visit to the site? Yes, I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q	which I just talked about earlier, but also I expand on it in my observations and finding of fact and in my jurisdictional descriptions, and I hit on that several times. Okay. Well, why don't we take a look, then, at your observations and findings, and why don't you tell us what you did in connection with the work you did on this project. On the 18th of October we walked the drainageway that runs past the biofilter starting slightly east of Highway 27 and going all the way down to the Flambeau River, essentially trying to locate a waterway within the area that's been labeled on various maps and figures put together over time by the Flambeau Mining Company and their various consultants that are labeled as Stream C. Okay. I'd like to digress for just a moment and have you take a look at a document that is being marked as Exhibit 173. (Exhibit No. 173 marked for identification) Exhibit 173 is also a document that is included in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looking at the lower aerial photo titled aerial photo A on Exhibit 173. Yes. There are numbers in white boxes. What do those numbers refer to? Those are the numbers of the photographs that begin on page on the third page of Exhibit 173. And do the photographs then correspond to the locations of those? Yes. And would that also be true for aerial photo B? That's correct. And aerial photo D? Yes. And then to the extent that aerial photo B and C have a line, a blue line, who was responsible for putting that, if you know? I put that on the map. Okay. And did you do that after your visit to the site? Yes, I did. Okay. Then as we go through the rest of your

		Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSIT	187 ION	Ol	Filed: 05/08/12 Page 10 of 51 FELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012
1	Α	Okay.	1	•••••	object based on this opinion is outside the
2		Okay. Then if you would, please, describe your	2		scope of her expert report.
3		observations on your visit regarding culverts on	3	Q	Okay. What do you mean by that?
4		the site.	4	Α	Well, let's see. We can show a photo I think,
5	Α	Oh, okay. Let's see. There is a culvert	5		can't we? I don't have any good ones that really
6		Culverts essentially convey drainage across a	6		show it, but I guess the best one would be 13.
7		landscape that is bisected by obstructions, the	7		And what I'm talking about there is that,
8		first one of which we reviewed was Highway 27.	8		you know, they don't place the bottom of the
9		That has a culvert going under it. And then there	9		culvert right on top of the surface of the
10		is a culvert going under what is the extension to	10		wetland. They put it below because this is a type
11		the west of Jansen Road, as can be seen on the	11		of wetland that is seasonally saturated and they
12		overview, the first image at the top of	12		want the water to flow through more easily so they
13		Exhibit 173. I think there were two culverts	13		put it a little bit lower where the water
14		under that, and they were trending	14		typically is, because the water is often below the
15		northeast-southwest. Oops. No, I'm getting ahead	15		surface of these types of wetlands, the water
16		of myself here.	16		table itself, so they just put it down a little
17		Jansen Road the extension of Jansen Road	17		bit lower.
18		to the west is Copper Park Lane, so that would be	18	Q	Okay. Then if you could describe, as you have on
19		the third the fourth culvert moving down the	19		page 3 of your report, the observations that you
20		stream, so I need to look at something different.	20		made when you visited the Flambeau Mine site.
21		Okay. Those two culverts trending	21	Α	Right. I think sometimes people can mistake the
22		northeast-southwest can be seen on aerial A just	22		channels that the channel segments that tend to
23		to the west of photo number 5, and that's an old	23		appear immediately upstream and downstream of
24		railroad grade.	24		culverts as channels when they're kind of well,
25		Moving downgradient, there is another culvert	25		let's see, where do I start talking about it? On
		37			39
1		that's shown on aerial A as a purple line under	1		page 3 near the top, I was talking about observing
2		the access road just adjacent to the photo 13	2		those culverts that I just described, and since I
3		marking. Then the last culvert is under Copper	3		was out there looking for channels, I was
4		Park Lane.	4		naturally keyed into anything that looked somewhat
5	Q	Now I'd like to ask you to describe a culvert for	5		like a channel. So at the culverts I noted short
6		us and how culverts are installed with reference	6		segments of channel-like features, and they were
7		to the grade of the property around them.	7		just upstream upgradient and downgradient of
8	Α	Well, as I said earlier, culverts are they're	8		each culvert and they were really standing water
9		usually designed by an engineer so that they	9		kind of ranging in length from less than a foot to
10		and the engineer tends to look at will	10		maybe 6 or so feet beyond the ends, either end of
11		definitely look at the entire watershed. They'll	11		the culvert. And I've seen those in my career
12		look at the size of the watershed and they'll look	12		lots of times, and it has to do with the way these
13		at rainfall and they'll look at the vegetation	13		culverts are placed; that essentially they
14		within the watershed so that they can tell how	14		concentrate the water in one place so it scours
15		quickly the water within all points of that	15		out a little bit as it's entering and exiting, but
16		watershed is likely to concentrate enough so that	16		that is it's not a continuous channel.
17		it would want to get through that culvert, and	17	Q	And when you observed that standing water, where
18		then they size that culvert so that that culvert	18		is that standing water in relationship to the
19		will not back up water or create flooding	19		surface of the surrounding land?
20		upstream, and they typically place that culvert so	20	Α	It's wherever the water table is at that

21

22

23

24

25

23 Q When you say they install it so that it's below 24 the water table, what do you mean by that? 25

the water can easily get through.

that it goes below the water table and -- so that

MS. MC GILLIVRAY: I'm going to

40 WWW.FORTHERECORDMADISON.COM - (608) 833-0392

particular point in time, and when I was out there

quite saturated to the surface so it was slightly

below -- the water level was slightly below the

surface of the -- the ground surface of the

was during a period where the wetland was not

21

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 11 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wetland adjacent to the biofilter. 2 Q Okay. And would you describe the way you walked 3 the area between the railroad spur that you 4 identified on Exhibit 173 and Copper Park Lane. Just describe how you walked. 6 A Well, in the work that I do, I'm often -- I'm very 7 often, even when I'm just delineating wetland, 8 I'll be looking for surface water connections and 9 other hydrologic connections between water 10 resources on a given site, and in this one, since 11 my main purpose was to see whether or not there 12 was a channel, a defined channel anywhere along 13 this drainageway that's been called Stream C over 14 time, I pretty much was starting at a culvert, 15 kind of zigzagging along trying to find the lowest 16 spot I could, looking between -- you know, 17 spreading apart the vegetation, looking for even 18 the smallest continuous channel that I could find, 19 and I did that through each segment between the 20 railroad grade and Highway 27. I looked a little 21 bit north of 27, but I really didn't go very far 22 upgradient there, probably about 20 feet or so, 23 but I didn't find any channel there beyond what I 24 just had described about the scoured area adjacent 25 to the culvert. In fact, on that culvert, the

you see kind of an archway, and that is rip-rap that's been placed I think, because that's -where the person is standing is where the culvert comes under the road and then there is a sharp turn to the west, and I think in order to prevent erosion at some point somebody placed that rip-rap there. But, as you can see, there is really not even much of a channel there. There is grass growing all the way through it, but that's where the drainage kind of filters through.

And if you look at photo 15 on the next page, I essentially turned around and looked downgradient. Now a lot of leaves had fallen so it's hard to see in this photo, but in the upper left of photo 15 you can kind of see a meandering channel and banks, and those banks are about, oh, 2 to 3 feet wide at that point. So kind of right where I'm standing taking downgradient photo 15 and upgradient taking photo 14 is where I really saw the channel begin.

- 21 Q Okay. Let's go back up to the area between 22 Copper Park Lane and the railroad spur.
- 23 A Okav.
- 24 Q Can you describe the photographs that you have 25 from that area and what you found and observed in

upstream end -- upgradient end of the culvert under 27, there wasn't even any of that scouring. There was a small area on the downgradient side.

As more flow -- As you go downgradient, you have a larger contributing drainage area and so you have more water, whether it's surface water or sheetflow or interflow or groundwater flow, and so you have more scouring as you go downgradient.

But in any case, from the railroad grade down to Copper Park Lane I continued that zigzag pattern of trying -- of multiple crossings over the lowest point in that wetland to try to find any kind of defined channel and finally found that south of Copper Park Lane.

15 Q Above that area you just described as south of 16 Copper Park Lane, can you tell us whether or not 17 you were able to locate a perceptible stream 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Let's see. I think I have a description of that. Oh, it's probably in my observations section. Oh, and it's also in the -- I think the photos are illustrative.

The drainageway south of Copper Park Lane is shown in photo 14, and over on the right, you know the photo is not that great, but over on the right 1 that area.

2 A Okay. So there is the -- the area labeled on Exhibit 173 as the RR grade, or railroad grade on the bottom of the two images, the one labeled 5 aerial A, I'll work downstream from there. All 6 the photos upgradient from there are just kind of 7 a bunch of grass, 1 through 5. It's hard to see 8 anything through the grass.

> Then photos 6 through 11, it's kind of the same thing. 6 through 11 is where I was trying to look at the overflow from the biofilter. So photo 6, you can see a little bit of water in the upper left, and what I'm doing there is looking -trying to view through the grass but not being very successful at it. What was under there was the rocky kind of overflow lip, or berm, or the portion of the eastern berm of the biofilter that was slightly lower and was fitted with rip-rap to keep it from eroding if there were to be an overflow. So it was designed that way. I mean that's what engineers do. They have to have an outlet, and they have to protect that outlet in case it is -- in case they get more flow than they had maybe predicted.

Photo number 7 -- oh, I wanted to point out

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 12 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

12

19

20

21

23

25

1

something else in photo 6. In the lower part of the photo there is a flag, and that's essentially one of the flags I think Jim Engelhardt placed from Stantec when he was doing the wetland delineation, or somebody placed it. That's essentially the edge of the -- the southern edge of the lip, the overflow -- the southern edge of the overflow lip on the berm itself.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

And then number 7, you know again I was trying to find all of the places where I could see concentrated flow. So this was probably slightly over to the right of photo 6. I took photo 7 where I could see some concentration of water, and this was on the level portion of the lip of the overflow structure, and what you see there is a tape measurer where we're just kind of measuring the various features we saw.

Figure 8 -- I'm sorry, photo 8 moves slightly down over the edge of the biofilter berm, and I had seen somewhat of an eroded wash there so I was trying to take a photo of it. It's really hard to take it through the dense grass.

Photo 9 is a similar kind of thing. It's about halfway down the berm slope, and I think what I was doing there, the waterway -- or the

45

eroded wash that you can see runs across the top third of the photo from left to right.

And then photo 10 shows right before the wetland levels out, or right above the base of the biofilter berm. Again this eroded wash that I could see runs from left to right, this time across about the middle of the photo.

And then finally photo 11 gets down to the base and shows what the wetland looks like directly adjacent to the east of the biofilter at the base of the berm.

So, as you can see, I looked at it in a lot of detail. I was trying to find this drainage but not showing it very well in these photos. Sorry about that.

- Q Do the photos depict what you were able -- I mean they depict what you were able to see?
- 18 A I mean I was able to see a little bit more when I 19 got down and moved the vegetation aside and looked 20
 - for a drainageway but then the vegetation would always squeeze back together when I got up to take
- 21 22 the photo.
- 23 Q Okay. So based on these observations, what did 24 you conclude?
- 25 A I concluded that there was no continuous channel

running down the face of the biofilter berm. And I also, as I moved from photo 11, photo 12, I did 2 that same zigzag to try to find -- this was a 3 4 wider area -- trying to find any direct channel running from the base of the biofilter to the 6 lowest part of the wetland east of the biofilter, 7 but I wasn't able to find anything. So anyway, I 8 concluded that there was no defined channel 9 running down the face -- the east face of the 10 biofilter berm, nor was there a defined channel extending through the wetland towards the lowest 11

Q Now in addition to visiting the property, you also 14 reviewed some documents relative to what you have termed throughout your report as "Stream C," and 15 16 first of all, could you tell us why you refer to 17 it as "Stream C"?

point of that wetland.

18 A Well, naturally when I was told that I was -- that Stream C, and certainly when I read the complaint and saw that Stream C was in question, I wanted to learn whatever I could about it, and so I reviewed 22 various documents that had been put together by Foth over the years and some of these other 24 documents I mentioned in here that actually used figures from the Foth reports in their documents

in order to depict where "Stream C" is, and what I

47

2 gathered was that -- well, when I was talking 3 earlier about how engineers design culverts and, 4 you know, it's their job to maintain -- or to 5 convey water across barriers and to make sure it

6 doesn't get detained anywhere that it's not 7 intended to be detained so that it causes flooding

8 or anything else, and so oftentimes when they're 9 doing that they will place arrows on maps, and it

10 was my impression -- because I couldn't see from 11 any of these other documents that there was

12 actually a stream there, I got the impression over 13 time that that was simply showing where they

14 intended water to be conveyed through the outlot.

In my work, I'm always very careful to 15 16 characterize water resources exactly as I see 17 them, and this I characterized as a drainageway, 18 not a stream, so I didn't want to call it a

19 stream.

20 Q Okay. If you would, please, looking at page 4 of 21 your report, if you could identify each of the 22 documents that you reviewed relative to the nature 23 of "Stream C," please. And I need to have you just reference them and tell us what they are. 24

25 A Certainly. On page 4, starting about in the

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 13 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

- middle, the first document I list is the Surface
- 2 Water Resources of Rusk County. The Flambeau Mine
- 3 is in Rusk County. These were documents that were
- 4 developed for each county by the DNR, and as I
- noted in talking about my background, I actually
- 6 worked on the one for Dane County. As time went
- 7 on they didn't get used quite as often, but this
- 8 was a nice source to look at, back before the mine
- 9 was built was there anything in that area that was
- 10 referred to as a stream, and in these surface
- 11 water resource documents they will identify
- 12 unnamed streams by a numeric code, at least show
- 13 them on a map. There was nothing shown on the map
- 14 in the area where Stream C had been indicated on
- 15 some of the Foth design documents, nor was there a
- 16 listing of any unnamed stream in that
 - particular -- I believe they're named by section
- 18 and quarter section, and there was nothing in that
- 19 area.
- 20 The next resource was the Rusk County
- 21 Geographic Information Web Server, and those maps
- 22 I've actually shown -- that's what I used to index
- 23 my photos in Exhibit 173. And as you can see on
- 24 the overview, which is the top figure, the first
- 25 figure on the first page of Exhibit 173, in the
 - 4
- 1 vicinity of aerial, where it says aerial A indexed
- 2 on the overview, there is no stream shown. You
- 3 can see what they look like lower on that same
- 4 figure. It's a blue line, or it could be a dashed
- 5 blue line I think if it's shown as an intermittent
- 6 stream, but neither appears on this map in the
 - area that had been identified as Stream C on the
- 8 Foth design documents.
- 9 And the next bullet is USDA Natural Resource
- 10 Conservation Service Web Soil Survey. It's been
- 11 my experience over time that NRCS, formerly the
- 12 SCS, or Soil Conservation Service, they tend to
- 13 show the most waterways and the smallest
- 14 waterways, so I thought it was important to check
- 15 that, but it wasn't shown on that either.
- 16 USGS 7.5-minute Ladysmith Quadrangle, again
- 17 that was a 1971 document and I thought that would
- 18 be useful to see what had occurred earlier on the
- 19 site, and there was no waterway -- and, again, I
- 20 wasn't looking for something that said Stream C.
- 21 I was looking on all of these documents for a
- 22 symbol that showed that there was any kind of
- 23 waterway there, and it wasn't on the USGS.
- 24 I looked on the DNR Surface Water Data
- 25 Viewer, and I accessed that in October of 2011,

- 1 and that shows again blue lines or dashed blue
- 2 lines and I wasn't -- I did not see a stream noted
- 3 on that source.
- 4 Getting down to the next bullet, the
- 5 Navigability of Flambeau River Tributary Streams
- 6 in the Kennecott Project Area, Rusk County, the
- 7 memo which I incorrectly dated here, it's a 1988
- memo, November 23rd, 1988, not 2011, that a
- 9 Mr. Jasinski of the DNR wrote after he had been up
- 10 in the field looking at this area doing a
- 11 navigability determination, and he noted that he
- 2 felt that the stream was navigable for 1,000 to
- 13 1,500 feet upstream of the Flambeau River, and
- 14 measuring that out puts the uppermost origin south
- 15 of Copper Park Lane, by quite a bit.
- 16 Then I looked at the Wetland Inventory and
- 17 Assessment of the Kennecott Flambeau Project,
- 18 which is a Foth document from March 1989, and
- 19 showing Wetland Area 5. They had had somebody do
- 20 a delineation at that point, I think it was
- 21 Applied Ecological Services who did that
- 22 delineation, and it was shown as Wetland Area 5,
- 23 and that's the area of interest just adjacent to
- 24 the east of the biofilter, and that characterized
- 25 the area east of the biofilter as the "headwaters

51

- 1 of a tributary to the intermittent stream
- 2 designated as 'C'" but they don't mention there
- 3 being a waterway through the wetland itself, nor
- 4 do they show it.
- 5 I am on page 5 of Exhibit 164 looking at the
- 6 second-to-the-last bullet at the top, and this
- 7 gets us to the Stantec Consulting Services Wetland
- 8 Delineation Report that was done for
- 9 the industrial outlot. The report was dated
- 10 February of 2011. The field work was conducted on
- 11 May 17th of 2010, and my colleague observed
- 12 Stream C beginning -- what I've said here is
- 13 downstream of the small culvert under the access
- 14 road located north of Copper Park Lane. I believe
- 15 that I -- I looked at that report but I had also
- 16 talked to my colleague, and I think I had a
- 17 misunderstanding of what his conclusion was,
- 18 because after looking at the report later on, he
- 19 does say that he saw a continuous channel south of
- 20 the access road culvert, so that would be in the
- 21 southern part of what he's called I think
- 22 Wetland 7 in that report, so between that stub
- 23 access road and Copper Park Lane. I did not see
- 24 anything in that area when I did my field work,
- 25 however.

	Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSIT	ON	O	F ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012
1	One more. The Bioassessment of Stream C, the	1	********	jurisdictional issues?
2	Flambeau Mine Project, dated May 24/25, 2005,	2	Α	Well, first I just kind of describe what I'm
3	which was done by Blue Iris Environmental, that	3		talking about and that the Clean Water Act applies
4	report states that the "stream flow upstream of	4		to waters of the U.S. and that it was clarified in
5	Copper Park Lane is unchannelized."	5		the regulations that were published after the
6	So essentially I took this body of knowledge	6		Clean Water Act was passed in ways which I outline
7	and my observations, and then I concluded what is	7		here. And then I go into how I see that applying
8	shown in the middle of page 5, that there is no	8		to the Flambeau River, the drainageway called
9	defined waterway upgradient, or north, of Copper	9		Stream C, and the wetland to the east of the
10	Park Lane. And when I did see an actual waterway	10		biofilter and then the biofilter overflow itself,
11	begin south of Copper Park Lane, it was very	11		and I'm working back upgradient because it's
12	intermittent flow, and that well, actually I'm	12		all the jurisdiction is tied into the
13	making these conclusions are not just from	13		Flambeau River and the relationship of the various
14	those reports that I just talked about. They're	14		water resources to that water.
15	from a combination of what I was able to glean	15	Q	Okay. First of all, can you describe what a water
16	from those reports and my actual observations in	16	•	of the United States is? Where does that term
17	the field on the 18th of October.	17		come from?
18	Q And then looking at the third, what was your third	18	Α	Well, as I just said, the term comes from the
19	observation there?	19		Clean Water Act that was enacted in 1972, and it
20	A The third observation was that looking at the	20		wasn't defined in the legislation but they defined
21	character of the stream channel itself running	21		it in regulations, the joint regulations that the
22	from Copper Park Lane down to the Flambeau River	22		EPA and the Corps of Engineers developed after the
23	where I saw dry portions, segments that were dry,	23		Clean Water Act was passed, and I'll just go
24	based on my experience and what I've seen in the	24		through in general the way they are described in
25	field over the years, it looked like there was	25		that in those regulations.
	53			55
1	probably continuous flow for less than three	1	******	It talks about and I've got that written
2	months at a time.	2		
3	MR. VAN CAMP: Would people like to			down on page 6 of Exhibit 164. It's the waters
		3		down on page 6 of Exhibit 164. It's the waters that are used now, in the past, or may be
4	take a break?	3 4		
5	take a break? THE WITNESS: I would.	"		that are used now, in the past, or may be
i		4		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign
5	THE WITNESS: I would.	4 5		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters
5 6	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for	4 5 6		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide
5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here.	4 5 6 7		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including
5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be	4 5 6 7 8		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters
5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great.	4 5 6 7 8 9		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or
5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a	4 5 6 7 8 9		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign
5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a break at this time.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign commerce, and they include that kind of commerce,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a break at this time. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign commerce, and they include that kind of commerce, examples, of used by interstate or foreign
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a break at this time. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 10:32. We'll resume on DVD number 2.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign commerce, and they include that kind of commerce, examples, of used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes, waters
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a break at this time. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 10:32. We'll resume on DVD number 2. (Recess)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign commerce, and they include that kind of commerce, examples, of used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes, waters from which fish or shellfish could be taken and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a break at this time. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 10:32. We'll resume on DVD number 2. (Recess) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign commerce, and they include that kind of commerce, examples, of used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes, waters from which fish or shellfish could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and ones
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I would. MR. VAN CAMP: We've been at it for a little over an hour here. MR. SAUL: Yeah, that would be great. MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. We'll take a break at this time. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 10:32. We'll resume on DVD number 2. (Recess) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record, DVD number 2, at 10:49.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		that are used now, in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and so it kind of starts with waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and then all interstate waters, including interstate wetlands, and then intrastate waters that are that if they're used, degraded or destroyed could affect interstate or foreign commerce, and they include that kind of commerce, examples, of used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes, waters from which fish or shellfish could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and ones that could be used for waters that could be

ask you if those opinions were expressed to a reasonable degree of certainty within your profession.

22 A Yes.

19

20

21

23 Q The next section of your expert report is entitled jurisdictional issues. Can you tell us what you 24 25 have included in your report regarding

So that's -- this forms the basis of what is

Also waters of the U.S. is said to include

impoundments of waters that are described in this

descriptions, the territorial sea, and "wetlands"

adjacent to waters that are identified in here.

bunch of definitions: Tributaries of water

that -- waters that are identified in these

56

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ĸ

9

15

now called, after Rapanos, traditional navigable 1 2 waters, or TNWs. So we were looking before at 3 this list of navigable waters, Exhibit 172. That 4 is really the portion -- that's kind of a subset of this definition that was put in the Clean Water 5 £ Act, but actually, yeah, it's kind of irrelevant 7 because it's Section 10 waters which is a 8 completely different piece of regulation. 9 But what I'm getting at is it's not just 10 those waters that are navigable that are included 11 in waters of the U.S. The key is this first 12 issue, waters that are currently used, were used 13 in the past, or may be susceptible to use in 14 interstate or foreign commerce, and because of 15 that, even though the Flambeau River isn't 16 included on that list of navigable waters in 17 Exhibit 172, it does meet the definition of a

> the draft jurisdictional, because it may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce.

water of the U.S. because it is a traditional

jurisdictional guidance. And, for that matter,

navigable waterway as defined in the 2008

In other words, the Flambeau River can easily be navigated by all kind of recreational vessels,

57

kayaks, canoes, and people take fishing trips on the river and they could be people from out of state or they could be vessels that are, you know, rented in the area or rented somewhere else or bought somewhere else and brought in. So, anyway, that easily fits that definition. The Flambeau River fits the definition of a traditional navigable waterway.

9 Do you want me to go on through Stream C 10 here?

11 O Please.

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

24

25

MS. MC GILLIVRAY: I'm going to object to the form of the question and also to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion.

16 A What question?

17 Q First of all, let me have you take a look at 18 another document that was marked in your prior 19 deposition as Exhibit 165. You made a reference I 20 belive -- It is marked in a prior deposition as 21 Exhibit 165, I believe.

22 MS. MC GILLIVRAY: That's correct, 23 Counsel.

MR. VAN CAMP: I've got copies if you want them.

Q I believe you made a reference to guidelines moments ago; correct?

3 A Yes. I referenced the 2008 jurisdictional

guidelines, and that's what Exhibit 165 is, Clean

Water Act Jurisdiction Following The U.S. Supreme

Court's Decision in Rapanos v. United States and

Carabell v. United States.

Q Is this, Exhibit 165, a document that you're 8

familiar with?

10 A Yes. It's a document that I use to consider -- to

11 make preliminary determinations for my clients

12 about whether or not the Corps of Engineers is

13 likely to take jurisdiction over a wetland.

14 Q Is this a document that you were aware of before

you were engaged to work on this project?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And for how long have you been aware of the 18

quidelines represented in Exhibit 165?

19 A When it came out in 2008, it had been long-awaited 20 at that point in time because Rapanos was -- their

21 decision was, what, in 2006 or '07 -- yeah, 2006,

22 and so during that time everybody was waiting to

23 try to figure out how the Corps was going to apply

24 the findings of that case to actual work in the

25 field. So I knew about it when it came out.

Q In connection with this case or the work that you 2 did in this case, how, if at all, did you rely on

3 Exhibit 165 and the guidelines represented

4 therein?

5 A Well, because the plaintiffs had, I think had used

6 navigability -- well, in any case, the case was

7 about the regulatory status of a waterway, and so

8 this is kind of the bible that you look at to get

9 the detail of what is the current understanding of

10 the agencies and their current guidance that they

11 provide to the public about how to determine

12 whether or not a wetland or a waterway or water of

13

the U.S. is going to be regulated.

14 Q Okay. I'd like to draw your attention then to the 15

captions under the jurisdictional heading, and the

16

first one is the Flambeau River. And could you

17 tell us what you have written in your report

18 regarding the Flambeau River under that caption.

A Well, I've said that although it's not listed in 19

20 Exhibit 172 as a navigable water, I felt it still

21 meets the definition of a water of the U.S.

22 because it meets the definition quite readily as

23 defined, like I said before, in the 2008 guidance,

24 which is Exhibit 165, and in even the draft

25 jurisdictional guidance because, and I've quoted

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 16 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

1 from -- what have I quoted that from, 25, from the 2 guidance itself, from page 4 of Exhibit 165, and I 3 can either read it from there or I can read it 4 from what I quoted here, because the 5 Flambeau River may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, and I've given the 7 reasoning as I described earlier, it's easily navigated by recreational vessels and they could 8 very easily be vessels and people from out of 9 10 state and it's just pretty basic that the 11 Flambeau River appears to be a traditional 12 navigable water as described in the guidance. 13 Q Okay. And then you also make some observations 14 about the watersheds, comparative watersheds of 15 the Flambeau River and Stream C. What did you do 16 in that regard? 17 A Well, I simply looked at the relative sizes of the 18 watershed of the lower Flambeau River which in 19 the DNR's watershed websites they give as being 20

watershed of the lower Flambeau River which in the DNR's watershed websites they give as being 128 square miles in extent, whereas the watershed of the drainageway known as Stream C has been measured at less than a half of one square mile. And the reason that I was going there was because of the significant nexus.

I think I probably formed the basis earlier

61

in this report but I'll talk about it now, that the basis for whether or not the waterway known as Stream C or its -- or the wetlands that flow into it are considered to have a significant nexus with a traditional navigable waterway has to do with whether there is more than a -- now I can't find where this is. Let me just look through here for a moment.

Okay. On page 11 of my expert report, which is Exhibit 164, the basis for a significant nexus is whether there is a significant effect on the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a traditional navigable waterway. So the Flambeau River being that TNW, what I was trying to start looking at is whether that effect of the watershed of Stream C being so small would have a significant effect, and I did not do that analysis but I did not see that analysis having been done by the plaintiffs, and so I was suggesting that one way to make that determination of significance is to conduct a mass balance analysis of the effect of any pollutants found in the stream, that being looking at the flow of a waterway, the concentration of a constituent in that waterway relative to the flow of water within that

1 receiving water.

analysis?

 ${f 2}$ ${f Q}$ Okay. And what did you find when you did that

4 A I didn't do that analysis.

Okay. And did you find that anyone else, in the materials you reviewed from the plaintiff, had

7 done that?

A In the materials I reviewed, I hadn't seen that

type of analysis to determine level of

10 significance of the chemical or biological or

11 physical effect on the Flambeau River by Stream C.

12 Q Just let me digress for a moment. Do you recall

13 the plaintiffs' documents that you reviewed?

14 A Yes.

9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 Q And what were they?

16 A The plaintiffs' documents that I reviewed were the

17 complaint itself, and I reviewed the expert

18 reports of Mr. Chambers and Mr. Nauta, and I

19 reviewed I think it was the deposition of

20 Mr. Roesler. Those are primarily the documents,

21 the plaintiffs' documents that I recall reviewing.

22 Q All right. Next your report refers to "Stream C."

23 Can you, please, tell us what your findings were

24 with regard to "Stream C."

25 A Well, in this section I'm just trying to

63

characterize kind of how that monicker of Stream C came to be in my opinion, if there was -you know, I already talked about how there is all of these resources I reviewed and no stream existed in that area, so why are we calling this feature Stream C. Why are we calling it a stream. And I noted that a lot of the materials prepared by Flambeau Mining Company or its consultants, you know, they noted that the biofilter would discharge to Stream C, they labeled a lot of diagrams with Stream C, and my conclusion is that this name in itself didn't make it a stream, it simply was a way to show -- or it was simply a way to talk about a given water resource area. And I didn't feel, based on my observations and my review of the documents, that the plaintiffs had shown that the really small amount of discharge of water that I saw evidence of from the biofilter into the wetland, I just didn't see any evidence that it flows as surface water into anything that could be called Stream C, whether you're talking about Stream C beginning, you know, just west of Highway 27 or if you're talking about it starting anywhere between there and Copper Park Lane or even south of Copper Park Lane. I just was not

64

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 seeing a connection there, a surface water 2 connection between the very infrequent outflow 3 from the biofilter and anything that people might 4 have been referring to as Stream C. So that's 5 essentially what I was trying to talk about there.

And, again, this is in a section that -where I talked about jurisdictional issues associated with each component of the drainageway that's been named as Stream C.

10 Q Okay. And what were those jurisdictional 11 references?

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

agency.

A Well, again, you know, I want to stress that nobody except the agencies can decide what is under their jurisdiction but they have, in fact, published guidance because they understand that people have to make plans and developers need to come up with a sense of whether they'll be allowed to move forward with a project or not and environmental consultants like myself typically, although we don't make legal determinations, we use the guidance that's been provided to the public by the agencies to figure out as close as we can whether a resource is going to be

24 regulated -- is likely to be regulated by an 25

In the case of Stream C adjacent to the biofilter, I didn't see any features that have typically been defined as something that's regulated as a stream by the -- by either the Department of Natural Resources or the Corps of Engineers.

The wetland itself, however, adjacent to -the wetland adjacent to the biofilter is regulated by the state of Wisconsin and very likely would be regulated by the Corps of Engineers, but in order to make that determination, according to their quidelines there needed to be a significant nexus evaluation done to make that determination.

- 14 Q Okay. I'd like to draw you back to Exhibit 171.
- 15
- 16 Q In connection with what you just said, that a significant nexus determination would have to be 18 made, could you tell us what Exhibit 171 is and 19 what relationship that might have to that process?
- 20 A Exhibit 171 is entitled Approved Jurisdictional 21 Determination Form. It's a seven-page form which
- all of us in the field were a bit dismayed to see 22
- 23 when it came out in association with the 2008 24 guidance. I think it came out with that. It may
- 25 have come out afterwards. But essentially it

followed that guidance. And it is a very long-winded way of determining whether or not a wetland is subject to the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers after the Rapanos decision. It goes through and starts with the easy stuff. It just has you put down where the waterway -- or where -- yeah, where the water feature is located and where is the closest traditional navigable waterway, what watershed is the wetland in, and this is actually -- this is actually a way to determine whether a wetland is under the jurisdiction of the Corps.

And so then the section II, it goes into whether or not you're in navigable waters of the U.S. under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, and that's essentially the listing again that's in Exhibit 172. If you're not in that particular section, or area A of section II, which is the Section 10, then you go down to area B still on that first page, which is under Section 404, and this is just a way to present all of the information that's available about how a wetland is situated relative to other waters so that the Corps of Engineers can make their determination.

So they simply want you to say are there

67

traditional navigable waters nearby, are there wetlands adjacent to those traditional navigable waters, are there relatively permanent waters, are there non -- relatively permanent water is one that's defined here on Exhibit 171 and in footnote 2. It's a tributary that's not a traditional navigable waterway that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least seasonally. So that's a relatively permanent water, at least seasonally meaning, it says, for example typically three months. So they talk about in this -- under number 1 of section II B of Exhibit 171 they talk about non-RPWs, so those would be waterways that flow less than three months of the year.

So this is why I reviewed these types of issues when I was in the field and using other documents that had been put together about the project area, so that -- you know, I was trying to look at issues that go into this jurisdictional determination. That's just the first page.

The second page has section III where they want to know about -- where they talk about the Clean Water Act analysis, and that's where you get into the really fine points: Is it a traditional navigable waterway, is it a wetland adjacent to a

2

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

to that?

necessary.

was that you saw.

traditional navigable waterway, how is it adjacent, and they want you to put down a lot of information about the characteristics of the tributary and if it's not a traditional navigable waterway and any adjacent wetlands.

It talks about the watershed size and, you know, what the precipitation is in the area, what its physical characteristics are, how many miles from a traditional navigable waterway, how many miles from a relatively permanent waterway. It wants you -- The next page it wants you to talk about the characteristics of the tributary.

So these are all the kinds of observations that I made when I was out there. But it gets even more detailed as it goes along talking about the flow and talking about chemical characteristics of the wetland and any waters it flows into, and they're doing that because they're trying to determine if -- as is stated in the definition of a significant nexus, does it have significant effects on the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the traditional navigable waterway.

Q Just let me stop you for a moment. The closest --24 25 What is the closest traditional navigable waterway

69

20 21 A The wetland east of the biofilter is essentially a 22

23 24

1

25

4

2 3

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

5

water above the surface of the landscape in some

points, and in fact we did run into an area that had a small amount of standing water, but, yeah, it's characterized by predominance of reed canary grass, which is a nonnative grass, and probably

was a sedge meadow in the past which is characterized by the hummock-and-hollow kind of microtopography. When you're walking through, you kind of trip over these little humps of grass.

And, you know, that's essentially it.

guidelines, my experience having done them in the

past, I didn't see that it had been done.

Q I would like to take a look at the next area of

A Well, I was talking about how I went out in the

field and I searched very carefully, as I'd

Copper Park Lane didn't seem to encompass a

your report that refers to the wetland north of

Copper Park Lane. What were your findings related

described earlier, and that that wetland north of

tributary of any sort, and my conclusion there,

Corps of Engineers to assist people in determining

didn't feel that -- I felt that in order to figure

again based on public guidance put out by the

whether they have a jurisdictional resource, I

out if that wetland was regulated by the Corps

Q Would you describe, just describe what the wetland

seasonally saturated wetland, which means that the

water table is not -- is typically at or below the

surface. Certainly in very wet times, and I've

seen photos since that show that there can be

71

that a significant nexus analysis would be

Q Okay. Now next you talk about observations involving the biofilter overflow. Would you describe that for us?

A Yes. As I had mentioned before, I was looking hard to find some kind of continuous waterway flowing out of the biofilter, and given my training in hydrology and all of my wetlands work, I'm not thinking that I'm going to see a continuous line of flowing water at any given time that I go out to a site but I'm looking for evidence of that type of flow. And looking on the outside face of the biofilter overflow and the outside of the berm on the east side, I did see small areas of erosion caused by the flow of water, but it was discontinuous, it was barely

to this wetland?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

25

18 of 51 sheets

A My interpretation is that it's the Flambeau River.

3 Q Is this wetland adjacent to the biofilter adjacent

to the Flambeau River?

5 A It would have to be much closer to the 6 Flambeau River than it is. My interpretation of 7 the regulations is that it is not adjacent to the Я Flambeau River.

9 Q Now in your review of the expert reports submitted 10 by the plaintiffs' experts, Mr. Chambers and Mr. Nauta, were you able to determine whether 11

either Mr. Chambers or Mr. Nauta went through the steps that you have been describing as components

of Exhibit 171 to conduct such a nexus test?

A There were pieces of it, but it wasn't as complete as, my understanding, is required. You know, it wasn't systematically aimed at determining whether there was significant biological, chemical or

18 physical -- what is the terminology, significant

effects of chemical, physical and biological 20 21 integrity of downstream traditional navigable

waterways. I did not see -- I did not think that

the materials that I reviewed constituted a 23 24 complete significant nexus determination.

According to what I've -- my understanding of the

72

Page 69 to 72 of 132

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 19 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

16

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

noticeable, barely perceptible in many areas, and that is -- in the 2008 guidance it says that the agencies generally will not assert jurisdiction over swales or erosional features, gullies, small washes characterized by low volume, infrequent or short duration flow. That's reading a quote from the 2008 guidance, which is Exhibit 171 -- I'm sorry, 165, and it's on page 1.

So as far as the biofilter overflow, what I saw was not very much. I did not see a continuous flow or any evidence of such moving down the face of that berm, and certainly when we got to the bottom of the berm where the wetland occurs and the topography evens out, there was no waterway whatsoever. No surface water, no evidence of surface water flowing out regularly toward the center of that wetland, which is -- you know, the lowest points are in the center of the wetland. Q So, first of all, how wide approximately was the lowest part of the biofilter? Do you recall how wide that was roughly?

- 22 A The lowest part of the biofilter?
- Q Meaning the outlet of the biofilter. How wide an 23
- 24 area is that? Describe that.
- A You know, the berm of the biofilter is a sloping

1 feature that from the top of the berm to the base 2 of the berm is probably 10 or 12 feet of distance.

- Q And what is the surface of that?
- 4 A The surface of that berm is -- in some areas it
- 5 has rocks, but that's mostly at the top where the
- 6 lip is, where the actual overflow, and it's just
 - soil, a lot of mineral soil.
- Q Okay. And how far is it from the bottom of that 8
- 9 biofilter berm in the outlet area to the lowest
- 10 part of the wetland that you just previously
- 11 described?

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

12 A I'm sorry, to the lowest -- well, let me just kind 13 of describe it. I think that's what you're

14 getting at.

> From the top of the overflow area of the biofilter to the base of the berm is, like I said, about 10 to 12 feet, and then the wetland starts. And then to the area that most people have referred to as Stream C, which is the lowest part of that adjacent wetland, it's probably another maybe 15 to 20 feet over to that lowest area from the base of the berm. But I did not take an exact

22 23 measurement. I'm just talking from memory.

Q Now after you reviewed the documents that you have 24 25

referenced and you visited the site and you

- examined it, did you reach any conclusions?
- A Yes, I most certainly did.
- Q Could you tell us what those conclusions or
- opinions are?

5 A Okay. I felt like -- The conclusion I reached was

that the pollutants -- any copper or other

chemical constituents that they were concerned

8 about in the biofilter being discharged to

9 navigable waters or waters of the U.S. I felt was

10 unsupported because I was not seeing a physical

11 channel or waterway that ran along the area that

12 had been termed Stream C. I wasn't able to see a

stream coming down from the biofilter into the 13

wetland. It certainly does discharge to that 14

15 wetland occasionally, but I didn't see any obvious

evidence that the wetland drainageway that's referred in documents to -- referred to as 17

18 Stream C in a lot of documents, I didn't see that

19 evidence was presented that would show that either

that drainageway or that wetland constitute waters 20

21 of the U.S. because waters of the U.S., it's my

22 understanding that in Section 402, as well as in

Section 404, the term waters of the U.S. is what 23

24 is regulated under those -- under both those

25 sections. And it's my understanding, based on the

75

1 guidance that the Corps and the EPA have provided 2 to the public, that being essentially Exhibit 165,

3 that there needs to be a significant nexus

established between those waters and the -- and a

5 traditional navigable waterway.

> And as I've said before, I'm not making any legal distinction, but using the readily available guidance that is typically utilized by consultants like myself, other professionals like myself, those analyses have not been completely established, or have not been completely followed enough to establish that a significant nexus exists between the biofilter and the Flambeau River.

15 Q Okay. Drawing your attention to items that you have numbered under your conclusions, item number 16

17 1 refers to an on-site evidence of a physical

18 channel or waterway running between Highway 27 and

19 Copper Park Lane. What was your conclusion about

20 that?

21 A Well, I concluded that using the map resources,

such as the USGS topo maps and the DNR Surface 22

23 Water Data Viewer and the Soil Survey that the --

25 the testimony, those resources that typically show

the references that I have referred to earlier in

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 20 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

24

25

1 the location of waterways, both permanent and 2 intermittent, nothing appeared in the area that is 3 typically labeled on the Foth design documents as Stream C, and I really feel that it's important to 5 note that that is simply a label that's become a convenient means for referring to a general 6 7 drainage pathway for the conveyance of water from 8 one end of a watershed to the other. 9

And adjacent to the biofilter, this drainageway that's commonly referred to as Stream C, really it's physically represented by simply a headwaters wetland and it's not actually observable as a defined waterway in that particular location. I did not observe a defined waterway in that location. So that's how I reached that conclusion.

16 17 Q And then item number 2 refers to biofilter 18 discharge point. What conclusion did you reach 19 with regard to the biofilter discharge point? 20 A Well, the evidence that I observed in the field is 21 that you do get some -- there is occasionally some 22 overflow of the biofilter, just as it was designed

to do, when there is high levels of water or a lot of precipitation but that discharge from the biofilter is such a small amount that it rarely

77

1 flows along the same path enough to create a 2 defined channel down the face of the berm, and 3 when it gets to the wetland at the base of the 4 berm, it appears to spread out or possibly 5 infiltrate into the ground at this point because 6 there just is no evidence of a channel extending from the base of the berm toward the east, which 7 8 would be the lowest point. It's quite flat. The 9 wetland is quite flat. But if you had enough 10 drainage, enough quantity and frequency of 11 drainage, there would be a scoured waterway that 12 would be in evidence, and that was not the case. 13 Q Now with regard to the flow, if at all, out of the 14 wetland, were you able to locate, either in the materials provided by the plaintiffs, the 15 16 plaintiffs' experts or any other of the printed 17 materials you reviewed, quantitative information 18 about the flow out of that wetland? 19 A I did see some quantitative information about 20 flows that somehow had been associated with 21 Stream C. The connections and the actual sources 22 and locations of that information were not well-defined. And so anyway, yeah, that's all I 23 24

Q Okay. How often does the flow come out of the

2 any information available about that? 3 A How often does flow go from where to where? Q From the wetland area that is to the east of the biofilter out of that. Is there any information ĥ available about that? 7 A You know, some of the information that I've seen 8 has shown flow occurring, but as far as the 9 frequency of that flow and the quantity of that 10 flow, again there hasn't been -- it wasn't -- my 11 impression is it was something that was not -- it 12 was a piece of information that was not 13 particularly needed, and now that we're needing to look at that, it really doesn't exist. This is an 14 15 area that hadn't been looked at in this -- much 16 under a microscope before, so some of the flow 17 information that's available is just -- it's not 18 specific enough to a given point to be able to 19 tell exactly how often this flows out. 20 Q Would that be information that you would need to 21 conduct a significant nexus test? 22 A That's part of it. But as I pointed out before, 23 it's quite a large -- I never even got through the

wetland to the east of the biofilter or was there

79

as you go onward through that Exhibit 171.

seven-page form. It gets more and more detailed

1 Q Okay. Could you tell us whether or not the 2 opinions and conclusions that you've reached and 3 that you've testified about here today have been expressed by you to a reasonable degree of 5 certainty within your profession? 6 A Yes, they have. 7 MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. Let's take a 8 short break. 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 10 at 11:41. We'll resume on DVD number 3. 11 (Recess) 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: And we're back on the record at 12:01, DVD number 3. 13 14 Q I think the questions and responses thus far I've 15 focused on the area to the north of Copper Park 16 Lane. Did you make any observations to the south 17 of Copper Park Lane? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Why don't you describe for us what you did and 20 what you observed south of Copper Park Lane.

21 A On the 18th of October we followed the track of

River, and as I've talked about before on

the waterway all the way down to the Flambeau

Exhibit 173, starting on the second page, I've

essentially drawn in, as near as I could see,

22

23

24

25

25

want to say about that.

10

11

12

13

14

15

23

24

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 21 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

where that waterway is. And if you look on the second page of that Exhibit 173, the top image, there is actually a couple of tributaries even going into that waterway. There is one just to the right of where photo 21 is shown and there is one to the right of where photo 22 is shown. Both of them are very small. They were dry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

But describing going downstream, some of the photos I've taken show that right below the culvert that's under Copper Park Lane, as I noted before, this photo 14 shows it kind of looks like it's not even a channel there. It kind of looks like a dry field. In fact, it was odd. It was a little bit of a high point, but as you turn around, then all of a sudden the actual waterway starts, and it's pretty darn narrow. Where you can see on photo 16, I mean it's only a foot and a half across there. It's about, I don't know, a few inches deep, but you can see it. And then as you go downstream, and some of those ephemeral drainageways flow in, photo 18 you can see that Stream C gets a little bit wider, there is some standing water in it, a few places it's flowing. At photo 20 there is actually a little area of ripples where you can see the flow because it's a

slightly steeper gradient. Then you have a little bit further downstream where photo 21 shows it kind of looks like -- it's very flat in there so it meanders around. It's got oxbows.

81

And then it goes kind of through some steeper valleys, and I actually walked along the top of the area and just looked down on it. Some sections are quite rocky and, you know, there is no standing water, no flow across them, and obviously there is still conveyance of water through that area. It's simply kind of down underneath the ground.

And then when you get to the confluence of Stream C with the Flambeau, as you can see in photo 23, there is a little bit of inlet of water that looks like it's connecting with the stream but just above that, just on the left side of the photo, there is a very steep area. It probably goes up about a foot to a foot and a half in elevation over a distance of maybe 5 to 10 feet, and, you know, it's almost like you would probably have a waterfall there if there was much water flowing, and that's what's represented by the confluence. It's not a real continuous mouth, whereas in this photo 23, the people up in the

1 upper left-hand corner are at the mouth of the 2 stream that is depicted on this Rusk County Geographic Information Web Server and is on the 3 top image on the first page of Exhibit 173. The 4 5 mouths of those two streams are very close 6 together in that area, and the one that's marked there, I forget, I think it has a name but I 7 forget the name of it, that has a continuous -- I 8 9 mean the water between the Flambeau River and that 10 stream are at the same elevation for quite a 11 distance upstream. I mean obviously there is a 12 slight change in elevation but it's much more of a 13 connection with the Flambeau. Is that what you 14 were interested in hearing about? 15 Q Sure. And I would like to take you back to 16 photograph number 16 in Exhibit 173. 17 18 Q The caption there is uppermost reach of continuous 19 channel. Tell us what you mean by continuous 20 channel. 21 A That's where you have a bed and a bank that is

22 obviously scoured by the movement of water that 23 happens with enough frequency and duration to actually maintain that continuous channel. A 25 continuous feature that's characterized by a

definite -- a well-defined bed and bank over a 1 2 consistent area, not just a little bit here and a 3 little bit there like I was describing adjacent to the culverts. This one goes on from this point 4 all the way down to the Flambeau River. Whether 5 6 it has water in it or not, it's still a defined 7 channel.

Q Okay. What do you mean by uppermost?

A Well, this is -- you know, you can see how narrow it is in 16, in photo 16. I think it's possible that photo 15 -- yeah, I guess photo 15 actually is upstream, so that was a completely dry portion, although you could see -- you could see a bed and bank but then there was a portion down below it where you couldn't see it, so at this point where photo 16 is, that's the first point at which I saw an actual channel that just went on from there down to Flambeau without interruption.

Q Approximately how far was the area depicted in number 16 as the uppermost reach of the continuous channel? How far is that from the south side of Copper Park Lane where the culvert comes out? A From where the culvert comes out, I unfortunately

23 24 do not have a scale on here, but thinking back to 25 it, oh, maybe from the culvert to that bend is

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 22 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

```
Q Okay. And in your report you identified that as a
 1
       about 4 to 6 feet and then from the bend over to
                                                                   term that's used at 33 U.S.C. Section 1362(7).
2
                                                            2
       where this continuous channel started is probably
                                                                   Are you familiar with that provision in the Clean
3
       15 to 20, as much as 25 feet.
4
    Q In the plaintiffs' expert reports that you
                                                               A Yes.
       reviewed, and again those would be the Chambers
 5
                                                               Q You also used the term jurisdictional water here
 R
       and Nauta reports, did you see any continuous
 7
       nexus report contained within their reports of a
                                                                   today; correct? Is that the term that you used?
                                                               A Jurisdictional -- well, can you show me where I
 8
       connection between the Flambeau River and
                                                            9
 9
       Stream C? Did you see an analysis of that?
                                                               Q Sure. Well, I believe --
10
    A Of a significant nexus?
11
                                                           11 A Or -- I don't know.
    Q I'm sorry, a significant nexus.
                                                           12 Q You've used the term jurisdictional determination.
12
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Objection,
13
                                                                A Determination, yes.
           vaque.
14
                                                           14
                                                               Q Okay. When you make a jurisdictional
    Q Sorry. Yes. Let me restate the question. In the
                                                                   determination, are you making a determination that
                                                           15
15
       reports that you reviewed, did you see an analysis
16
                                                            16
                                                                   the waterway is a water of the United States?
       by the plaintiffs' experts of a significant nexus
                                                            17
                                                               A Am I -- I'm making a preliminary determination in
17
       between the Flambeau River and Stream C?
                                                            18
                                                                   that regard, yes. That is what the purpose of a
18
   A No.
                                                           19
19
                                                                   jurisdictional determination is.
    Q And is that your opinion to a reasonable degree of
                                                            20
20
       certainty related to your profession?
                                                               Q Okay. So I understand that you have stated that
                                                            21
21
    A Yes.
                                                                   it's actually up to the agencies, the Army Corps
                                                            22
                                                                   of Engineers or the United States Environmental
22
    Q And with regard to the photographs in Exhibit 173
23
       and your description of what the photographs show
                                                            23
                                                                   Protection Agency, to make the final determination
                                                            24
24
                                                                   on whether or not the waterway is a jurisdictional
       south of Copper Park Lane, were those opinions
                                                            25
                                                                   waterway; correct?
25
       expressed by you to a reasonable degree of
                          85
                                                                                      87
                                                             1 A Yes.
 1
       certainty within your profession?
                                                             2 Q But in your profession you apply the guideline,
 2
    A Yes.
 3
                                                                   such as the 2008 quideline that you've talked
                    MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. Thank you
 4
                                                                   about today, to make a preliminary determination
           very much. I appreciate your time, and I
                                                                   of whether or not the waterway you're examining is
 5
           have no further questions.
                                                                   a water of the United States?
 6
                    THE WITNESS: Okav.
 7
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I
                                                             7 A That's correct.
 8
                                                                Q Okay. And so let's go back to your report, which
           have some cross-examination.
                                                             9
 9
                                                                   is Exhibit 164. Do you have that in front of you?
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
                                                            10
                                                               A Yes.
10
    By Ms. McGillivray:
11
                                                            11
                                                                Q Okay. We had talked about this earlier, but to go
    Q Ms. Day, as you know from Friday's deposition, my
                                                            12
12
                                                                   through it again today, you have relied on various
       name is Pam McGillivray, and I'm one of the
                                                            13
                                                                   documents that you have listed on pages 4 and 5 of
13
       attorneys for the plaintiffs. Even though we've
14
       been through much of this already yesterday and
                                                            14
                                                                   your report and you talked about them today with
                                                            15
                                                                   Mr. Van Camp. You identified them with
15
       earlier today, I have a few follow-up questions
                                                            16
                                                                   Mr. Van Camp. I want to get a little bit further
16
       for you.
                                                            17
                                                                   information about some of them.
17
    A Okay.
                                                            18 A Okay.
18
    Q Just to begin with, to understand terminology,
19
                                                            19
                                                                Q And the first three, which are the web server
       because there has been a few different terms used
20
                                                            20
                                                                   maps, maybe -- strike that. The first four are
       here and I just want to understand the
                                                            21
                                                                   maps that are available and you cite them for the
21
       relationship to each other, you used the term
```

22

24

25

23 A That's correct.

fact that they do not identify Stream C; correct?

Q So your reliance on them is for the absence of a

stream, not for any affirmative information?

88

A Yes.

correct?

water of the United States, and I think, as you

stated, that's a term from the Clean Water Act;

22

23

24

VIDESTAPECEVIDESTHER POSEPOSITION OF PELIZABETTI REPART A/18/2012

		VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSIT	ION	O	FELIZABETH A. BAY 4/18/2012
1	Α	I looked at them to try to see if there was a	1		correct?
2		stream but I was unable to or they didn't	2	Α	I'm sorry, what?
3		appear on there.	3	Q	The memo, Exhibit 167, contains other information
4	Q	Okay. So on those first four sources they didn't	4		about Stream C that you didn't include in your
5		appear, and that was significant in your review?	5		as a basis of your opinion?
6	Α	Exactly.	6	Α	That's correct.
7	Q	Okay. The next document that you list is the	7	Q	Including the fact that the writer mentions past
8		November 23rd, 1988, Jasinski memorandum which has	8		channelization of Stream C; correct?
9		already been marked as an exhibit earlier as 167,	9	Α	Where is that?
10		which I believe you should have.	10	Q	In that same paragraph marked or that starts
11	Α	I don't.	11		with Stream C, in the third sentence, "This is
12		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Do you have it?	12		probably the result of past channelization and
13		MR. VAN CAMP: I don't have it, but	13		also this year's drought." The fact that the
14		okay.	14		author was referring to channelization at all was
15		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Can we go off	15		not something that you relied on in drafting your
16		the record for a second?	16		report?
17		VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record	17	Α	No. I, in fact, discounted it.
18		at 12:17.	18	Q	And he also notes that Stream C was barely
19		(Discussion held off record)	19		discernible where it crosses Highway 27. That
20		VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the	20		also was something that you did not rely on in
21		record at 12:23.	21		reaching your opinions; correct?
22		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you.	22	Α	Well, I didn't rely on it, but I agree with that
23	Q	So I'm going to hand you what's been marked as	23		statement. That's what I observed, the stream
24		Exhibit 167 from your deposition on Friday, and	24		channel was barely discernible where it crosses
25		earlier you identified that as the fifth source	25		Highway 27. There is no there is no channel
		89			91
1		that you listed in your report at page 4 the	1		there.
2		November 23rd, 1988, Jasinski memorandum.	2	Q	You agree with the statement that the channel was
3	Α	Actually the sixth bullet. Anyway, yes.	3		barely discernible?
4	Q	I did count those bullets incorrectly. Thank you.	4	Α	I don't think that there was I guess I agree

- Q So the first five sources then are the mapping 7
- sources: correct?
- 8
- 9 Q And those -- As we discussed, those five you
- 10 listed because you did not find a Stream C
- 11 identified on any of those mapping sources?
- 12 A That's correct. Those are the sources that I
- 13 typically look at, and I didn't find the stream.
- 14 Q Okay. So the sixth source is the memo that I just
- 15 handed you that's been marked as Exhibit 167.
- 16 A Correct.

18

- 17 Q And you reviewed the memo and made -- and
 - interpreted the memo to stand for the fact that
- 19 the uppermost origins of Copper Park Lane
- 20 Stream C -- strike that. That Stream C is
- 21 navigable only at the lower 1,000 to 1,500 feet
- 22 upstream from the Flambeau?
- 23 A Correct.

23 of 51 sheets

- 24 Q And this memo provides other information that you
- 25 didn't consider in making your conclusions;

Page 89 to 92 of 132

- I guess I agree
- with him to the extent that I didn't find it
- 6 discernible myself.
- 7 Q Okay. And you assume from this that he didn't
- find it was discernible even though he states that
- 9 the stream channel was barely discernible where it
- 10 crosses Highway 27?
- 11 A No, I don't know what he's saying. I just --
- 12
- Q And you didn't speak with anyone -- you didn't
- 14 speak with the drafter of this memorandum?
- 15 A No.
- Q And you didn't speak with anyone at the Department
- 17 of Natural Resources about this memorandum?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Or anyone who would have been involved in this
- 20 project in 1988?
- A I kind of assumed that nobody would be around.
- 22 Q Perhaps. Okay. And the next document that you
- 23 cite is a 1989 Foth and Van Dyke report entitled
- 24 Wetland Inventory and Assessment.
- A Yes.

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 24 of 51

		VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITI	ON	U	LLIZADLIIIA, DAI TIIOZOIZ
1	Q	Okay. And can you tell me who Foth and	1	Q	You just mentioned the Stantec report and its
2		Van Dyke what Foth and Van Dyke is?	2		designation of the wetland as W7.
3	Α	Foth and Van Dyke is an engineering firm.	3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	And they have been Are you familiar with their	4	Q	If you look at the next bulleted item, Wetland
5		involvement at the Flambeau Mine site?	5		Delineation Report, Flambeau Mining Company -
6	Α	Yes.	6		Industrial Outlet, City of Ladysmith, Rusk County,
7	Q	So at least since March of 1989 they've been	7		Stantec Consulting, is that the document you're
8		engineers who have worked at the Flambeau Mine	8		referring to?
9		site?	9	Α	Yes.
10	Α	That's my understanding.	10	Q	And that's been previously marked as an exhibit in
11	Q	And this document, the Wetland Inventory and	11		this case as 132. I'll hand you then that
12		Assessment, was that one of the documents that did	12		document which has been marked as Exhibit 132.
13		identify a drainage well, the drainageway as	13		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: And, Counsel, do
14		Stream C?	14		you need a copy of this document?
15	Α	No, it did not.	15		MR. VAN CAMP: I don't have one
16	Q	Okay. I think you have characterized the document	16		here. Thanks. I'll give it back to you as
17		as referring to headwaters of a tributary to the	17		soon as we're done.
18		intermittent stream designated as C. So is your	18		MR. SAUL: Do you want to swap out
19		criticism of this document not the fact that it	19		for the
20		strike that. Is Your criticism of this	20		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: No. You can
21		document's use of Stream C is that it doesn't	21		hand that one to him. I'll just get it back
22		specifically state that there is a Stream C that	22		from him later.
23		goes through the wetland?	23	Q	So what I have now shown you as Exhibit 132, is
24			1		
	Α	I've said that there is no mention of a waterway	24		that the Wetland Delineation Report that you're
25	А	I've said that there is no mention of a waterway through the wetland because the quote is	24 25		that the Wetland Delineation Report that you're referring to?
	A				
	A	through the wetland because the quote is	25	_	referring to? 95 That's correct.
25	Α	through the wetland because the quote is 93	25 1 2	_	referring to? 95 That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was
25	Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C."	25 1 2 3	_	95 That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec;
25 1 2 3 4		through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated	25 1 2 3 4	Q	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct?
25 1 2 3	Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane.	25 1 2 3 4 5	Q	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q A Q	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague?
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on?	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A Q	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know?
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A Q	referring to? 95 That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q A Q	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you?
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park Lane is. So it's similar to Wetland 7 in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any role in preparing this report?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park Lane is. So it's similar to Wetland 7 in the Stantec report. Even though Copper Park Lane	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any role in preparing this report? No.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park Lane is. So it's similar to Wetland 7 in the Stantec report. Even though Copper Park Lane wasn't there at the time, this report	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any role in preparing this report? No. You did review this report, though, in preparation
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park Lane is. So it's similar to Wetland 7 in the Stantec report. Even though Copper Park Lane wasn't there at the time, this report characterizes that wetland as the headwaters of a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any role in preparing this report? No. You did review this report, though, in preparation of your opinions that appear in your expert
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q	"headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park Lane is. So it's similar to Wetland 7 in the Stantec report. Even though Copper Park Lane wasn't there at the time, this report characterizes that wetland as the headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q AQAQ AQAQ	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any role in preparing this report? No. You did review this report, though, in preparation of your opinions that appear in your expert report; correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q	through the wetland because the quote is 93 "headwaters of a tributary to the intermittent stream designated as C." Where was Stream C designated in that document? It wasn't. I mean it was not designated upgradient of Copper Park Lane. And that was your reason for including it in the list of documents that you relied on? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. This Wetland Inventory and Assessment called out area 5, which is what I was looking at. That's the portion that I was looking at. And it's in the area of interest north of where Copper Park Lane is. So it's similar to Wetland 7 in the Stantec report. Even though Copper Park Lane wasn't there at the time, this report characterizes that wetland as the headwaters of a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q AQAQ AQAQ AQ	That's correct. Okay. And this was actually a document that was prepared by a colleague of yours at Stantec; correct? Yes. And who is that colleague? Jim Engelhardt. And Jim Engelhardt is What is his position description at Stantec, if you know? Environmental scientist. Does he report to you? No. And this particular report, the Wetland Delineation Report, Exhibit 132, did you have any role in preparing this report? No. You did review this report, though, in preparation of your opinions that appear in your expert report; correct?

Q So you included this as a significant piece of

information in your opinion because of the absence

of it mentioning a waterway through the wetland?

24 Q You mentioned the wetland delineated as W7. Is 25

figures in Exhibit 132, the Figure 4.

that the wetland that is depicted in this figure? 96

22

A That's correct.

22 23

		VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSIT			
1	Α	Yes, it is.	1	Α	I had them both before and after.
2	Q	Okay. And is that the wetland that you've been	2	Q	And having had them before you finalized your
3		referring to earlier in your deposition today and	3		expert report, did it cause you to change any of
4		also that you referred to as the wetland under	4		your conclusions?
5		in your report beginning at page 9?	5	Α	No,
6	Α	Yes.	6	Q	Did Mr. Engelhardt, if you know, amend his report
7	Q	And you looked at the report from Mr. Engelhardt	7		that is that has been marked as Exhibit 132
8		in formulating your opinions in this case;	8		after having conversations with you about his
9		correct?	9		finding that there is a channel south of the stub
10	Α	Yes.	10		road?
11	Q	Mr. Engelhardt finds in his examination of	11	Α	No.
12		wetland 7, wetland W7, that there is a	12	Q	I'm going to hand you what's been marked during
13		well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows	13		your deposition as Exhibit 169.
14		south through a culvert under a stub road and then	14		(Videographer Jon Hansen exited the
15		to Copper Park Lane. Are you familiar with his	15		proceedings and Videographer
16		finding there?	16		Connie Hansen entered the
17	Α	Which page?	17		proceedings)
18		He discusses this on page WRPC 015458.	18		
19		Okay.	19		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Counsel, this
20		But it's also been summarized in your expert	20		one I do not have an extra copy of it.
21	O.		21		MR. VAN CAMP: Just wait one
22	Δ	report at the bulleted item. Is that correct?			second. We're still on the record, but she's
23		Can you ask What question are you asking?	22		going to run and get a copy of that exhibit
24	W.	Sure. That Mr. Engelhardt found that Stream C	23		for me, if you don't mind waiting.
		began to have a defined channel beginning at the	24		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: I'll wait. Why
25		stub road north of Copper Park Lane and continuing	25		don't we just go off the record then for one
		u/			99
·		97			
1		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane.	1		moment.
2		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form	2		moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
2		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question.	2		moment,
2 3 4	Q	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer.	2 3 4		moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
2 3 4 5	Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly	2 3 4 5		moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37.
2 3 4 5 6		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6		moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record)
2 3 4 5 6 7		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly	2 3 4 5		moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under	2 3 4 5 6	Q	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q	moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QAQAQ	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a defined channel south of the stub road?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly. Okay. Thank you. This is And this document
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a defined channel south of the stub road? I did not observe it myself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly. Okay. Thank you. This is And this document comes from a larger document entitled the Cooper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a defined channel south of the stub road? I did not observe it myself. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Engelhardt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly. Okay. Thank you. This is And this document comes from a larger document entitled the Cooper Park Business and Recreation Area Work Plan from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q	until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a defined channel south of the stub road? I did not observe it myself. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Engelhardt about his finding of a channel south of the stub	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly. Okay. Thank you. This is And this document comes from a larger document entitled the Cooper Park Business and Recreation Area Work Plan from May 2011, and are you familiar with that document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a defined channel south of the stub road? I did not observe it myself. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Engelhardt about his finding of a channel south of the stub road?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly. Okay. Thank you. This is And this document comes from a larger document entitled the Cooper Park Business and Recreation Area Work Plan from May 2011, and are you familiar with that document? Yes. And you, in fact, cite to that document in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		until the culvert at Copper Park Lane. MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. He says that the waterway does not become visibly channelized until it reaches another culvert under a short stub road, and then he says south of the stub road the waterway is confined to a well-defined channel with a bed and bank and flows south through another culvert and the waterway continues off the studied area. So he says that it becomes a well-defined channel there. And by there, where is there? In between south of the stub road. But before Copper Park Lane; correct? That's correct. And do you agree with his finding that there is a defined channel south of the stub road? I did not observe it myself. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Engelhardt about his finding of a channel south of the stub road? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	woment. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:37. (Discussion held off record) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 12:40. MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you. I have now just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 169, and that is an exhibit that you also examined during your deposition on Friday; correct? Correct. Okay. So this is a Foth document that's labeled Figure 2, and it has another marking on it which is Exhibit 134 but I'd like you to refer to it as Exhibit 169 as it was used during your deposition. Certainly. Okay. Thank you. This is And this document comes from a larger document entitled the Cooper Park Business and Recreation Area Work Plan from May 2011, and are you familiar with that document? Yes.

	AIDEOLVEE EAIDEMILYM DELOOM	VI4		
Q	Okay. So I just want to so when we're talking	1		bioassessment begins.
	about where the stub road is and where the Copper	2	Q	Okay. And the line that you felt was strike
	Park Lane is, if we can have some clarity in the	3		that. The sentence that you felt was significant
	record. The white or light blue line that's	4		begins under the paragraph heading Stream Habitat
	depicted on Exhibit 169, is that the wetland	5		and Characteristics?
	delineation that is the same as W7 on Exhibit 132?	6	Α	I have yet to find it. Oh, yes. It's the first
Α	It appears to be.	7		sentence.
Q	Okay. And when we're referring to the four	8	Q	And it states, "This stream flow upstream of
	culverts or strike that. When you've referred	9		Copper Park Lane is unchannelized." And that's
	to the four culverts in your expert report that	10		the sentence that you noted in your report;
	you examined, are those shown as the blue line	11		correct?
	that crosses Highway 27?	12	Α	Yes.
Α	Yes.	13	Q	Okay. The next sentence you did not note in your
Q	The first one. Then there is actually two that	14		report says, "Obvious flow originates from the
	cross a former railroad grade?	15		wetland area to the north and east, as well as the
Α	Correct.	16		biofilter pond." Did you not find that portion of
Q	Okay. And then moving downgradient there is a	17		the stream habitat and characteristics to be
	short culvert at the stub road; correct?	18		significant in assessing the nature of Stream C?
Α	Yes.	19	Α	No, because I believe that the author is simply
Q	Okay. And then another culvert at Copper Park	20		referring to, you know, conveyance of water which
	Lane; correct?	21		I've referred to as well.
Α	Yes.	22	Q	Okay. So you would agree with the statement that
Q	And so the culvert that Mr. Engelhardt was	23		"obvious flow originates from the wetland area to
	referring to as having found evidence of channel	24		the north and east, as well as the biofilter
	south of the stub road is just south of the	25		pond"?
	A Q A Q A Q A	Q Okay. So I just want to so when we're talking about where the stub road is and where the Copper Park Lane is, if we can have some clarity in the record. The white or light blue line that's depicted on Exhibit 169, is that the wetland delineation that is the same as W7 on Exhibit 132? A It appears to be. Q Okay. And when we're referring to the four culverts or strike that. When you've referred to the four culverts in your expert report that you examined, are those shown as the blue line that crosses Highway 27? A Yes. Q The first one. Then there is actually two that cross a former railroad grade? A Correct. Q Okay. And then moving downgradient there is a short culvert at the stub road; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And then another culvert at Copper Park Lane; correct? A Yes. Q And so the culvert that Mr. Engelhardt was referring to as having found evidence of channel	about where the stub road is and where the Copper Park Lane is, if we can have some clarity in the record. The white or light blue line that's depicted on Exhibit 169, is that the wetland delineation that is the same as W7 on Exhibit 132? A It appears to be. Q Okay. And when we're referring to the four culverts or strike that. When you've referred to the four culverts in your expert report that you examined, are those shown as the blue line that crosses Highway 27? A Yes. Q The first one. Then there is actually two that cross a former railroad grade? A Correct. Q Okay. And then moving downgradient there is a short culvert at the stub road; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And then another culvert at Copper Park Lane; correct? A Yes. Q And so the culvert that Mr. Engelhardt was referring to as having found evidence of channel	Q Okay. So I just want to so when we're talking about where the stub road is and where the Copper Park Lane is, if we can have some clarity in the record. The white or light blue line that's depicted on Exhibit 169, is that the wetland delineation that is the same as W7 on Exhibit 132? A It appears to be. Q Okay. And when we're referring to the four culverts or strike that. When you've referred to the four culverts in your expert report that you examined, are those shown as the blue line that crosses Highway 27? A Yes. Q The first one. Then there is actually two that cross a former railroad grade? A Correct. Q Okay. And then moving downgradient there is a short culvert at the stub road; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And then another culvert at Copper Park Lane; correct? A Yes. Q And so the culvert that Mr. Engelhardt was referring to as having found evidence of channel

101 1 culvert up from Copper Park Lane? 2 A That's correct. Q Okay. So the other source that you referenced in your report is a bioassessment of Stream C from 5 May 24th and 25th, 2005, and we also looked at that document during your deposition and it was 7 labeled Exhibit -- I think I have it -- 168. I'm 8 going to show you that. Thank you. I'm going to 9 hand you then what's been marked as 168 and ask 10 you if that's the document that you've referred to 11 in your expert report. A Yes. It's an attachment to that. 12 13 Q Okay. And so tell me what page, based on the Bates number in the lower right corner, that the bioassessment of Stream C that you referenced begins, A It begins on 9588. Q Okay. And what you took as significant from this document, according to your report, is that the

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 stream flow upstream of Copper Park Lane is 21 unchannelized; is that correct? 22 A Correct. 23 Q And that is coming from Bates number -- the report at Bates number FMC 009588? 24

A That's where it starts, yes. That's where this

103 1 A There is occasional flow. I don't know how 2 obvious, and it's not -- hasn't created channels. A Because she said that it's unchannelized and 5 because I didn't see any channels.

Q Did you speak with anyone at Blue Iris

7 Environmental about this assessment?

A No. 8

9 Q In that same section it states, "In May of 2005 10 Stream C was observed to be continuous in most 11 places, though sometimes the flow passed through 12 in-stream debris and sediment. Stream C is 13 typically passing through a cut channel. The 14 channel lies between 1 to 3 feet lower than the 15 surrounding embankment." What -- Did you examine 16 that part of this report? A Yes.

17 18 Q Okay. And did you rely on that statement at all 19 in formulating your opinions? A That refers to the channelized portion which is 21 south of Copper Park Lane.

22 Q And that's based on your interpretation of what I 23 just read; is that right?

A It's based on what this person wrote in here.

25 Q Okay.

2

- They started out saying that the stream flow 2 upstream of Copper Park Lane is unchannelized and 3 then they start -- then they talked about kind of the nature of the drainageway north of there and then they started talking about the upper reaches of the channelized portion is characterized by and 7 then they're moving downstream from there.
- R Q Okay. At the very beginning of the paragraph the 9 author refers to an industrial outlot and 10 associated parking lot, reclaimed rail line and 11 biofilter pond drain into Stream C and uses the 12 label Stream C. Did you consider that when you 13 were -- that sentence when you were formulating 14 your opinions?
- 15 A To a certain extent, yes.
- 16 Q And what information did you think was significant 17 about that sentence?
- 18 A In all of these documents that I looked at, I was 19 trying to determine whether others had seen 20 evidence of a defined and continuous channel, 21 primarily in the area directly adjacent to the 22
- 23 that was the case. 24 Q Okay. So your criticism -- well, strike that.
- 25 Your distinction of between what this report says 105

biofilter overflow. This did not indicate that

3 the Flambeau River." Did you consider the fact 4 that this author at least has stated that Stream C 5 flows north of Copper Park Lane? 6 A Again, this person is doing a bioassessment of a 7 water resource feature. They're not using --8 they're not distinguishing between the terminology 9 that's used for making jurisdictional

confluence of the Flambeau River immediately south

of the point where Meadowbrook Creek also enters

- 10 determinations. So they're not -- you know, you 11 can pick out certain words from here that sound
- 12 like the same words that I am using and that the 13 regulators are using, but they aren't used with
- 14 the same level of discipline that one would use in 15 doing that in a regulatory determination.
- 16 Q Okay. Those are the documents that you've listed 17 on pages 4 and 5.
- 18 A Uh-huh, Yes.
- 19 Q And as far as your opinions relative to the nature 20 of Stream C, those are the only documents that you
- 21 reviewed; correct?
- A Relative to Stream C. Yes. 23 Q And you note elsewhere that there are other
- 24 documents -- well, let's find the place in your
- 25 report. You state that many other -- recorded 107
- 1 and what your opinions are is that they use the 2 term Stream C whereas you would refer to Stream C 3
 - as a drainageway; is that --
- A Yes. I would refer to it as simply that monicker that's been placed on that conveyance system.
- 6 Q If the sentence were changed to an industrial 7 outlot and associated parking lot and reclaimed 8
- rail line and biofilter pond drain into a 9 drainageway known as Stream C, would you agree
- 10 with that sentence?
- 11 A No. I would change it to drain toward.
- 12 Q Drain toward the drainageway known as Stream C?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And is that because you did not observe
- 15 during your visit on October 18th, 2011, flow from
- the biofilter to the lowest point of the 16
- 17 drainageway?
- 18 A No. It's because I didn't observe evidence of it
- 19 at that time.
- 20 Q At the time of your inspection?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Okay. The last sentence in this -- in that
- 23 paragraph says, "Stream C flows under Copper Park 24
 - Lane from the north and takes a meandering
- southwest direction through a woodland, this

- 1 observations of other professionals have found --
- 2 Let me be able to direct you to your quotation so
 - that we're all in the same place. Okay, thanks.
- 4 It's at page 7.
 - 5 A Okay.

22

- 6 Q Where you say, "Many materials prepared by FMC or
- 7 its consultants did, in fact, note that the
- biofilter would discharge to 'Stream C.'"
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q What are the many materials prepared by FMC or its
- 11 consultants that you're referring to?
- 12 A I am referring to the documents that I've listed
- 13 on page 4 and 5, and I believe -- yes. And,
- 14 you know, I've listed on page 4 and 5 specific
- portions of documents that in their entirety are
- 16 referenced in footnotes. For instance on page 5,
- 17 footnote 17, Copper Park Business and Recreation
- 18
- Area Work Plan, Appendix C. So this Wetland
- 19 Delineation Report is in Appendix C, but I have
- 20 also looked through the Copper Park Business and
- 21 Recreation -- Business and Recreation Area Work
- 22 Plan to find that, and as I was looking through
- 23 that, it's those documents that I saw over and
- 24 over, you know, maps that were listed where it
 - said Stream C in the area that we're talking

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 28 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

F		VIDEOTAL E VIDEITITATA DEL COLLA	T		
1		about.	1		the biofilter to the wetland, would that flow go
2	Q	Okay. For example, you just I think indicated	2		in the direction towards the drainageway?
3		that Exhibit 169 is one such document?	3	Α	It would go to the lowest I mean it would go
4	Α	169 is one of the many documents that is shown	4		from the highest to the lowest point if there were
5		within the document that I have referenced in	5		surface water flow.
6		footnote 17.	6	Q	And the lowest point is in that wetland is what
7	Q	Okay. And footnote 17 refers to the Foth May 2011	7		you're referring to as the drainageway known as
8		work plan; correct?	8		Stream C; correct?
9	Α	That's correct.	9	Α	Generally, yes.
10	Q	Looking at Exhibit 169, it contains it depicts	10	Q	By generally I just want to understand what
11		an intermittent stream as a dotted blue as a	11		distinction you would put on that. I understand
12		blue line along through the wetland; correct?	12		your testimony to be that while there is not a
13	Α	It does, yes.	13		defined stream east of the biofilter, there is a
14		Okay. And if I understand your testimony	14		drainageway that flows in a southwesterly
15		correctly, you agree with the general path the	15		direction?
16		general flow path of the drainageway as depicted	16		MR. VAN CAMP: I object to the form
17		on 169 but not the designation of that flow path	17		of the question.
18		as an intermittent stream north of Copper Park	18	Α	•
19			19		to the entire area that conveys water. I haven't
l		Lane; is that correct? I agree with there being a conveyance of water	20		been able to find an obvious place to trace. I
20	Α	·	21		mean even this line, the position of this line on
		from east of Highway 27 through the outlot and	22		Exhibit 169 is a very randomly placed line you can
22		down south of Copper Park Lane, yes, but I don't	23		tell just by observing the underlying base map.
23	_	agree that it's an intermittent stream per se.	24	_	
24	Q	An intermittent stream north of Copper Park Lane		Q	
25		or an intermittent stream at all?	25		depreciations in the surface, would we be able to
<u> </u>		109	<u> </u>		111
			1 .		
1	_	North of Copper Park Lane.	1		identify the lowest point in the drainageway?
2	_	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term	2	_	Yes.
	_	7.	3	Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way?
2	_	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term	2 3 4	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes.
2 3	_	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know.	2 3 4 5	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through
2 3 4	Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know.	2 3 4 5 6	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the
2 3 4 5	Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the
2 3 4 5 6	Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the biofilter in the wetland, would it follow the path	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way. Okay. Now to further try to understand what your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the biofilter in the wetland, would it follow the path of the drainageway?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q	Yes. The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way. Okay. Now to further try to understand what your testimony is, you just stated that water is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q AQ AQ AQ	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the biofilter in the wetland, would it follow the path of the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: I'm going to object	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way. Okay. Now to further try to understand what your testimony is, you just stated that water is conveyed generally down or generally downgradient in the drainageway; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q AQ AQ AQ	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the biofilter in the wetland, would it follow the path of the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: I'm going to object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q Q	The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way. Okay. Now to further try to understand what your testimony is, you just stated that water is conveyed generally down or generally downgradient in the drainageway; correct? That's correct, from upgradient to downgradient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q AQ AQ AQ Q	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the biofilter in the wetland, would it follow the path of the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: I'm going to object to the form of the question. If you understand, you can answer. I don't. Could you restate it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A A	The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way. Okay. Now to further try to understand what your testimony is, you just stated that water is conveyed generally down or generally downgradient in the drainageway; correct? That's correct, from upgradient to downgradient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q AQ AQ AQ QA	Okay. I just wanted to make sure that The term Stream C has been used so much, I wanted to be sure we were talking about the same thing. I know. We also talked about this at your deposition, but there is also a directional line moving from the 0.98 acre biofilter basin toward the wetland. Do you see that line? Yes. And you agree with the directional flow as depicted by that arrow; correct? That would be the direction of flow, yes. Okay. And would you agree that the wetland drains towards its lowest point in that wetland? I'm not sure what you're asking. Okay. I'll just ask you it this way: Would flow If there was a sufficient flow from the biofilter in the wetland, would it follow the path of the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: I'm going to object to the form of the question. If you understand, you can answer. I don't. Could you restate it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A A	The lowest point in the wetland that way? Yes. Okay. And assuming we could identify that through such topographical maps, would flow into the wetland follow that lowest point through the drainageway? MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form of the question. It just isn't that The reason I say generally yes is because, you know, there is never just one way for things to flow in an area such as this that's particularly undefined as to its channel. It's depends on how much flow is occurring at the time. If there is very little flow, it might go one way. If there is a lot of flow, it might go the other way. Okay. Now to further try to understand what your testimony is, you just stated that water is conveyed generally down or generally downgradient in the drainageway; correct? That's correct, from upgradient to downgradient. Okay. What do you mean by conveys, water is

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 29 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

```
Q Assuming that hypothetical, do you agree that the
      Moves from one place -- one area to another area.
                                                                    wetland depicted on Exhibit 169 is an adjacent
                                                             2
   Q Would it also mean flows?
                                                                    wetland to Stream C south of Copper Park Lane?
                                                             3
   A Yeah, but not necessarily in a concentrated
                                                                                MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form
       channel. So it could be in a sheetflow kind of
                                                                        of the question.
5
       situation so --
                                                             6
                                                                Q You can answer.
    Q Okay.
                                                             7
                                                                A The definition of adjacent is bordering,
    A We're talking about the minutia here but that's
7
                                                                    contiguous or neighboring a water of the U.S. If
                                                             8
       because there is so little water that we're
R
                                                             9
                                                                    we are to assume that the waterway downstream of
9
       talking about that it isn't predictable the way
                                                                    Copper Park Lane is a water of the U.S., then the
                                                            10
10
       that it moves.
                                                            11
                                                                    wetland north of Copper Park Lane, which is
11
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: I'm going to
                                                            12
                                                                    connected via a culvert under a manmade berm, is
12
           strike that last part as nonresponsive.
                                                                    probably considered -- is routinely considered,
13
           Okay.
                                                            14
                                                                    what's it called, neighboring by the Corps of
                    MR. VAN CAMP: Well, I'm going to
14
                                                            15
15
           ask that it not be stricken, and you may ask
                                                                    Engineers.
                                                                Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
           a Court later if you want.
16
                                                                    Exhibit 165, which is the 2008 guidance; correct?
                                                            17
17
    Q Moving on then to the wetland depicted on
                                                                A Yes.
                                                            18
18
       Exhibit 169, you agree that some amount of water
                                                                 Q Okay. And if you would in that guidance turn to
                                                            19
19
       moves or is conveyed from the biofilter to the
                                                            20
                                                                    page 5.
20
       wetland: correct?
                                                            21
                                                                 A I'm on page 5.
21
                                                            22
                                                                Q Okay. And is your understanding of the definition
    Q We also talked about this at your deposition, so I
22
                                                                    of adjacency from this guidance document?
                                                             23
23
       apologize for the redundancies. Are you familiar
                                                             24
                                                                A In part, yes.
24
       with the term adjacent wetland?
                                                                 Q So if you look at the paragraph that is -- that
25
   A Yes.
                                                                                       115
                          113
                                                              1
    Q And what does an adjacent wetland mean?
                                                                    begins "The regulations define adjacent as
 1
                                                              2
                                                                    follows: The term adjacent means bordering,
    A It means that it's bordering, contiguous or
                                                                    contiguous or neighboring wetlands separated from
 3
       neighboring a water of the U.S.
                                                                    other waters of the United States by manmade
                                                              4
    Q Okay. And so there is a culvert at Copper Park
 4
                                                                    ditches or barriers, natural river berms, beach
 5
       Lane and then what has been agreed by the parties
                                                                    dunes and the like are adjacent wetlands."
 6
        as Stream C south of Copper Park Lane?
                                                              7 A It actually says dikes, not ditches, but --
 7
                    MR. VAN CAMP: I'll object to the
                                                                 Q Oh. I appreciate that correction. With that
                                                              8
 8
            form of the question.
                                                              9
                                                                    correction, is that the basis of your
 9
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Strike. I'll
                                                             10
                                                                    understanding of what adjacent water means?
10
            rephrase. Thank you.
                                                             11
                                                                 A That is.
11
    Q There is a culvert crossing Copper Park Lane, and
                                                             12
                                                                Q Okay. Applying that definition, and again
12
        Stream C flows below that point. Do you agree?
                                                             13
                                                                    assuming for purposes of this deposition that
13
    A Correct.
                                                                    Stream C has been determined to be a water of the
                                                             14
    Q Okay. If Stream C south of Copper Park Lane has
14
                                                                    United States, do you agree that this is -- this
                                                             15
15
        been found to be a water of the United States,
                                                             16
                                                                    wetland depicted on 169 is adjacent?
        would you agree that the wetland that is
16
                                                                                 MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form
                                                             17
        designated in Exhibit 169 is an adjacent wetland?
17
                                                             18
                                                                         of the question.
18
                    MR. VAN CAMP: Object to the form
                                                             19
                                                                 Q You can answer.
19
            of the question.
    A It hasn't been determined to be a water of the
                                                             20
                                                                 A It's sounding like now you're saying that the
20
                                                                     stream south of Copper Park Lane has been
                                                             21
21
                                                             22
                                                                     determined, and I don't agree that it has been
    Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to assume, without
22
                                                             23
                                                                     determined.
        agreeing with me, that Stream C has been found to
23
                                                             24
                                                                 O Okav.
24
        be a water of the U.S.
                                                             25 A So are we still assuming?
25
    A Okay. Assuming.
```


- Q It's my hypothetical here for you. Assuming, and
- 2 even though I know you're taking a position with
- 3 whether or not this has been determined
- sufficiently to be a water of the United States
- 5 based on your review of plaintiffs' documents;
- 6 correct?
- A I have to say I'm a little bit uncomfortable being
- 8 in this neighborhood of assuming things.
- 9 Q Okay. Well, at deposition on Friday do you recall
- 10 being -- we had a discussion about adjacency and
- 11 what that means as far as wetlands being adjacent
- 12 to waters of the United States?
- 13 A Do I recall it, yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And at that deposition you were asked, So
- 15 if there has been a determination that Stream C
- 16 south of Copper Park Lane is a water of the U.S.,
 - yes was your answer, then the wetland that is
- 18 delineated on Exhibit 169 would be an adjacent
- 19 wetland, and you responded yes, but that hasn't
- 20 been done. Has your answer -- Has your testimony
- 21
- changed at all since you provided that on Friday?
- 22 A No.

17

- 23 Q Okay. So your contention with my question is
- 24 that you don't agree that there has been a
- 25 determination that Stream C south of Copper Park
- 1 Lane is a water of the United States?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q Okay. And if I just ask you to assume that to be
- true without agreeing with me, then you would
- 5 agree that wetland -- the wetland depicted in 169
- 6 is an adjacent wetland?
- 7 A I believe I answered that in the affirmative
- 8 already. Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Thank you. Turning back to your report,
- 10 today during your deposition you discussed what
- 11 you called a significant nexus test and criticized
- 12 the plaintiffs for not having adequately conducted
- 13 one: correct?
- 14 A Yes. Correct.
- 15 Q Okay. What I want to understand is what you mean
- 16 by a significant nexus test.
- 17 A Well, I guess I would take you back to the
- 18 Exhibit 171 which essentially walks a person
- 19 through pulling all the information together that
- 20 is necessary for that significant test --
- 21 significant nexus test to occur if, in fact, you
- 22 get to the point of needing a significant nexus
- 23 determination.
- 24 Q And so your testimony is that plaintiffs have not
- 25 adequately presented evidence in order to conduct

- a significant nexus test as far as the drainageway
- 2 known as Stream C to the Flambeau River; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q You didn't conduct a significant nexus analysis
- yourself; correct?
- 6 A I did not.
- 7 Q And you don't have an opinion whether or not there
- is a significant nexus of the drainageway known as
- 9 Stream C to the Flambeau River; correct?
- 10 A I did not do that analysis.
- Q So you don't have an opinion whether or not there
- 12 is a significant nexus of the drainageway known as
- 13 Stream C to the Flambeau River?
- 14 A I need to look at my -- I'm trying to remember if
- 15 I made that conclusion or not.
- 16 Q And are you now referring to what's been marked as
- 17 Exhibit 164, your report?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A I don't believe that I have -- I don't have an
- 21 opinion on whether a significant nexus exists.
- 22 There are disconnections in the line of reasoning
- 23 that haven't been filled with the documentation
- 24 that's required to do a significant nexus
- 25 determination, to make that determination.

- 1 Q And when you're talking about the documentation
- 2 necessary, you would agree that the jurisdictional
- 3 determination form that is Exhibit 171 is just one
- form -- one method of conveying that information.
- It's not a necessary form?
- A That's correct. 6
- 7 Q And the information that you reviewed in
- 8 formulating your opinion about the sufficiency
- 9 of plaintiffs' evidence is limited to the
- 10 complaint, Nauta's -- Mr. Nauta's expert report
- 11 from October 2011 and Dr. Chambers' expert report
- 12 from October 2011; correct?
- 13 A I also reviewed the declaration -- I didn't
- 14 mention this before but I think I mentioned it in
- 15 the deposition, Dr. -- or Mr. Coleman's
- 16 declaration.
- 17 Q Was that before or after you finalized your expert
- 18 report?
- 19 A I think it was after it because I think it was a
- 20 later declaration, but I'm not positive.
- 21 Q Okay. And who is Dr. Coleman?
- 22 A One of the plaintiffs' expert witnesses, I
- 23 believe.
- 24 Q Do you know who he is other than one of
- 25 plaintiffs' experts? Do you know where he works?

- VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012 A I'd have to review his declaration. A He works for some Native American agency. Q Okav. So --2 Q And is he -- Do you know what his scientific A But I don't recall that he made that distinction, background is? A I've reviewed it briefly when looking at his 5 Q So his observations -- Just sitting here today, 5 declaration. Q Okay. And the declaration that you're referring you can't recall what he particularly observed? 7 7 to, is it a declaration that was prepared by A Not in every regard, no. Я Q On those specific days in which he stated there 8 Dr. Coleman for this litigation? 9 that he observed flow from the biofilter to the 9 A Yes. 10 drainageway known as Stream C, do you have any 10 Q And do you recall if in that declaration he 11 reason to dispute his firsthand observations? 11 provided his firsthand observations of stream 12 A I didn't get that impression that he saw water 12 flow -- excuse me, of flow from the biofilter to 13 13 the drainageway known as Stream C? running directly into what would be the lowest --14 directly and continuously running into what would 14 A Yes, I recall him discussing that. be the lowest point of that wetland. I would be 15 15 Q And I think the timeline is that you reviewed that 16 happy to look through it now to make that 16 after you finalized your report. Given 17 17 Dr. Coleman's firsthand observations of such flow, 18 Q Is that based on your review of the declaration or did that cause you to reevaluate any of your 18 19 based on conversations that you had with 19 opinions that you gave in your expert report? 20 20 A No. Dr. Coleman? 21 A Review of the declaration. 21 Q And did you just disregard his first -- his 22 Q So I think we started off in a more concrete 22 personal firsthand observations of flow from the question and went afield. Your -- I just want to 23 23 biofilter to the drainageway? 24 make sure I understand this correctly. Your 24 Q What did you do with that information, if 25 criticisms of plaintiffs for not having presented 25 121 1 sufficient evidence of a significant nexus between 1 anything? 2 the drainageway and the Flambeau River is based on 2 A It lacked information with regard to frequency of your review of the complaint, Dr. Chambers' 3 those occurrences. report, Mr. Nauta's report and Mr. Roesler's 4 Q Dr. Coleman provided the days in which he saw 5 deposition transcript; is that correct? 5 flow: correct? 6 A Yes. A Yes. 7 Q Okay. And who is Mr. Roesler? 7 Q And for frequency -- what is your criticism A I believe he's a DNR employee. 8 regarding frequency then? 9 Q Okay. You didn't anywhere in your report 9 A I believe the times that he -- if you were to 10 reference Mr. Roesler's deposition transcripts, 10 assume that the only times that flow occurred was 11 11 when he was there and saw it, then that's not did you? 12 A I thought it was in a sub -- it looks like I 12 highly frequent flow. 13 didn't. 13 Q Okay. So there is absence of evidence of 14 frequency of flow in your mind from Dr. Coleman's 14 Q Do you recall any information from Mr. Roesler's 15 deposition transcript that you thought was 15 observations? 16 significant in formulating your opinions? 16 A There is absence of evidence of frequency outside A To tell you the truth, I read it somewhat in a 17 17 the times that he was physically there, yes. 18 cursory manner. It was really long, and after Q Would you agree, though, that it does provide

- 18
- evidence of some amount of flow from the biofilter 19
- 20 to the drainageway?
- 21 A Yes. Or some amount of flow anyway from the
- 22 biofilter into the wetland.
- 23 Q Did Dr. Coleman in your recollection make a
- distinction between the wetland and the 24
- 25 drainageway?

25 A That would be a fair statement.

I didn't review it very carefully.

it in formulating your opinions?

23 Q So would it be fair then that you didn't rely on

looking at some of it I realized that it didn't

seem like it was going anywhere that was going to

lead me to any conclusions, so I would have to say

19

20

21

Case: 3:11-cv-00045-bbc Document #: 187 Filed: 05/08/12 Page 32 of 51 VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012

		VIDEOTAPE EVIDENTIARY DEPOSIT	IUN	U	F ELIZABETH A. DAY 4/18/2012
1	Q	Okay. And to kind of keep winding around on	1	Α	Correct.
2		different topics here, I asked you if you had	2	Q	And for the portion south of Copper Park Lane you
3		if your criticism of plaintiffs for not presenting	3		did not conduct a significant nexus analysis
4		sufficient evidence of a significant nexus between	4		between Stream C and the Flambeau River; correct?
5		the drainageway and the Flambeau River were on the	5	Α	That is correct.
6		sources that we've now mentioned as the complaint,	6	Q	Okay. So you have For those four water
7		Dr. Chambers' report and Mr. Nauta's report;	7		resources, the wetland, the biofilter outflow, the
8		correct?	8		drainageway known as Stream C, and the Stream C
9	Α	Correct.	9		south of Copper Park Lane, you have no opinion
10	Q	And since you didn't rely on Mr. Roesler's	10		whether or not there is a significant nexus to the
11		deposition transcript, your opinion based on the	11		Flambeau River; correct?
12		sufficiency of their significant analysis evidence	12	Α	That's correct because I haven't performed that.
13		is based on those three sources; correct?	13	Q	Okay. Earlier in your deposition today you
14	Α	And certainly on Mr. Coleman's oh, that's	14		referred to a mass balance analysis. Do you
15		right. For my expert report, you're saying?	15		recall that testimony?
16	Q	Correct.	16	Α	Yes.
17	Α	Yes, just those three.	17	Q	Okay. What is a mass balance analysis?
18	Q	Okay. And would the same be true, that you relied	18	Α	It simply looks at a combination of concentration
19		on the complaint, the Nauta report and the	19		of a constituent in water combined with the
20		Chambers report for your opinion that plaintiffs	20		overall quantity of the water moving from one
21		have not provided sufficient evidence of a	21		place to another, and also, once you know that,
22		significant nexus between the biofilter outflow	22		you can compare it with the amount of same in the
23					
1		and the Flambeau River?	23		receiving water.
24	A	and the Flambeau River? That's correct.	23	Q	receiving water. And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for
24 25	A Q		1	Q	•
		That's correct.	24	Q	And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for
		That's correct. Same question as it would apply to the wetland	24	Q	And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for this case; correct?
25		That's correct. Same question as it would apply to the wetland 125	24 25	A	And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for this case; correct?
25		That's correct. Same question as it would apply to the wetland 125 adjacent to the biofilter. The same three	24 25	A	And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for this case; correct? 127 That's correct.
25 1 2		That's correct. Same question as it would apply to the wetland 125 adjacent to the biofilter. The same three sources, the complaint and the two expert reports from plaintiffs?	24 25 1 2	A	And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for this case; correct? 127 That's correct. Okay. And a mass balance analysis is one way to
25 1 2 3	Q	That's correct. Same question as it would apply to the wetland 125 adjacent to the biofilter. The same three sources, the complaint and the two expert reports from plaintiffs? Can you frame the question?	24 25 1 2 3	A Q	And you didn't conduct a mass balance analysis for this case; correct? 127 That's correct. Okay. And a mass balance analysis is one way to examine whether or not there is a significant

	adjacent to the biofilter. The same three
	sources, the complaint and the two expert reports
	from plaintiffs?
Α	Can you frame the question?
Q	Sure. In your criticism of plaintiffs for not
	presenting sufficient evidence to show a
	significant nexus between the wetland and the
	Flambeau River, you relied on three sources only,
	which are the complaint, the Chambers report and
	the Nauta report; correct?
Α	That's correct.
Q	Okay. And I think we talked about this for the
	drainageway, but I'm just going to make sure that
	I have the complete record for all of the water
	resources that you examined. You did not conduct
	a significant analysis a significant nexus
	analysis of the biofilter's outflow and the
	Flambeau River; correct?
Α	Correct.
Q	You didn't conduct a significant nexus analysis of
	the wetland and the Flambeau River; correct?
Α	Correct.
Q	You didn't conduct a significant nexus analysis
	of the drainageway known as Stream C and the
	Flambeau River; correct?
	Q A Q A Q A

1	Α	That's correct.			
2	Q	Okay. And a mass balance analysis is one way to			
3		examine whether or not there is a significant			
4		nexus; correct?			
5	Α	It's one small component of what would be required			
6		for a significant nexus.			
7	Q	Okay. And as far as strike that. It is not a			
8		required methodology to prove significant nexus by			
9		applying a mass balance analysis; correct?			
10	Α	Correct.			
11	Q	In your opinion it's a useful tool but it's not a			
12		mandatory tool; correct?			
13	Α	It's not the only tool that could be used.			
14		MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Maybe we could			
15		take a short break.			
16		VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the			
17		record. The time is 1:26. End of DVD 3.			
18		(Recess)			
19		VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the			
20		record with DVD number 4. The time is 1:38.			
21	Q	Ms. Day, in the final line of questions I have for			
22		you, I'm wondering if you have plans to travel			
23		outside of the United States during the month of			

25 A Yes, as a matter of fact.

```
STATE OF WISCONSIN }
    Q Okay. And what days will you be out of the
 2
       United States?
                                                                                     ) ss.
                                                                 COUNTY OF DANE
                                                                                     }
 3
    A The 20th of May through the 1st of June.
      And when did you make those travel arrangements?
                                                                    I, PEGGY S. CHRISTENSEN, a Registered Professional
      I was putting those plans together, I don't know,
                                                                  Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and
 6
       probably since last -- the end of last summer.
                                                                  qualified in and for the State of Wisconsin, do
 7
    Q And are they plans that were made at the request
                                                                  hereby certify that pursuant to notice and
 Ω
       of anyone associated with the Flambeau Mining
                                                                  stipulation, there came before me on the 18th day of
 9
       Company?
                                                                  April 2010, at 9:04 in the forenoon, at the offices
10
    A No.
                                                                  of DeWitt Ross & Stevens S.C., Attorneys at Law,
11
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: No further
                                                                  Two East Mifflin Street, Suite 600, City of Madison,
12
            questions. Thank you.
                                                              11
                                                                  County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, the following
13
                    MR. VAN CAMP: You're finished
                                                                  named person, to wit: ELIZABETH A. DAY, who was by
14
                                                                  me duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing but
            then?
                                                                  the truth of her knowledge touching and concerning
15
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: I am.
                                                              15
                                                                  the matters in controversy in this cause; that
16
                    MR. VAN CAMP: Okay. Well,
                                                              16
                                                                  ELIZABETH A. DAY was thereupon carefully examined
17
            interesting that you anticipated. I was
                                                              17
                                                                  upon her oath and her examination reduced to
18
            going to ask the witness not as part of her
                                                             18
                                                                  typewriting with computer-aided transcription; that
19
            testimony but so that it was a matter of the
                                                              19
                                                                  the deposition is a true record of the testimony
20
            record if she would briefly tell us why she
                                                                  given by the witness; and that reading and signing
21
            won't be available to testify at trial.
                                                             21
                                                                  was not waived.
22
            Could you just tell us what's going on at
                                                             22
                                                                            I further certify that I am neither
23
            that time and, you know, we'll put it on the
                                                             23
                                                                  attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
24
            record, and then we'll be finished.
                                                             24
                                                                  by any of the parties to the action in which this
25
                    THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, my
                                                             25
                                                                  deposition is taken and further that I am not a
                           129
                                                                                        131
                                                                  relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
 1
            husband and I have assembled ten other -- ten
                                                              2
                                                                  employed by the parties hereto or financially
 2
                                                                  interested in the action.
            dear friends and we are going kind of on an
                                                                             In witness whereof I have hereunto set my
 3
            anniversary trip, multiple people's
                                                                  hand and affixed my notarial seal this 24th day of
                                                                  April 2012.
 4
            anniversaries, to Croatia to go biking along
            the Dalmatian Coast. So, yeah, we've been
                                                              8
 5
                                                                                      Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
 6
            planning it for quite awhile, as you might
                                                              9
                                                                                      Registered Professional Reporter
                                                                                      Certified Realtime Reporter
7
            imagine.
                                                              10
8
                    MR. VAN CAMP: So anyway, with
                                                                  My commission expires
                                                             11
                                                                  August 19, 2012
q
            that --
                                                             12
10
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you for
                                                              13
11
            sharing that.
12
                    MR. VAN CAMP: With that on the
                                                              14
13
            record, I have no further questions. Once
                                                             15
14
            again, I would like to thank you very much
                                                              16
15
            for making yourself available for this
                                                             17
16
            deposition. So I think we're adjourned.
17
                                                             18
                    MS. MC GILLIVRAY: Thank you.
18
                    MR. VAN CAMP: Thank you.
                                                              19
19
                    THE WITNESS: Thank you.
                                                             20
20
                    VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
                                                             21
21
           record. End of deposition. The time is
                                                             22
22
           1:40.
23
                 (Adjourning at 1:40 p.m.)
                                                             23
24
                                                             24
25
                                                             25
```

•	7:24, 13:25, 28:19, 31:19, 52:5, 56:2,	1:26 [1] - 128:17 1:38 [1] - 128:20	33 [1] - 87:2 34 [1] - 2:16	Α
	62:10, 88:9, 119:17	1:40[2] - 130:22,	0-7[1] 2.10	
'07 [1] - 59:21	1		4	a.m [1] - 4:11
'84 [1] - 25:6	165 [11] - 58:19,	130:23	4	able [16] - 20:2,
• •	58:21, 59:4, 59:8,	1st[1] - 129:3		21:19, 35:22, 42:17,
'87 [1] - 24:8	59:18, 60:3, 60:24,		4 [12] - 31:19, 48:20,	46:16, 46:17, 46:18,
'97 [1] - 24:4	61:2, 73:8, 76:2,	2	48:25, 61:2, 85:1,	47:7, 53:15, 70:11,
'C' (1) - 52:2	115:17		88:13, 90:1, 96:22,	1
'Stream [1] - 108:8	167 [4] - 89:9, 89:24,	0 42.47 54.42	107:17, 108:13,	75:12, 78:14, 79:18,
	90:15, 91:3	2 [6] - 43:17, 54:13,	1	108:2, 111:20, 111:25
0	168 [2] - 102:7, 102:9	54:16, 68:6, 77:17,	108:14, 128:20	absence [4] - 88:24,
	169 [15] - 99:13,	100:14	402 [1] - 75:22	94:23, 122:13, 122:16
	100:9, 100:16, 101:5,	20 [5] - 21:9, 41:22,	404 [2] - 67:21, 75:23	access [4] - 38:2,
0.98 [1] - 110:8	109:3, 109:4, 109:10,	74:21, 81:24, 85:3		52:13, 52:20, 52:23
009588 [1] - 102:24	109:17, 111:22,	2001 (5) - 11:21,	5	accessed [1] - 50:25
015458[1] - 97:18	113:18, 114:17,	11:25, 12:2, 17:6,		according [3] -
	115:2, 116:16,	24:5		66:11, 70:25, 102:19
1		2005 [3] - 53:2,	5 [17] - 2:4, 32:2,	acre [1] - 110:8
	117:18, 118:5	102:5, 104:9	37:23, 44:7, 51:19,	acres [3] - 20:25,
	17 [4] - 100:24,	2006 [2] - 59:21	51:22, 52:5, 53:8,	21:2, 21:9
1 (6) - 4:2, 44:7,	108:17, 109:6, 109:7	2008 [9] - 57:19,	82:20, 88:13, 94:12,	Act [12] - 21:19,
88:12, 73:8, 76:17,	170 [6] - 2:12, 8:6,	1	107:17, 108:13,	1 .
104:14	8:9, 8:11, 8:14, 28:2	59:3, 59:19, 60:23,	108:14, 108:16,	32:22, 55:3, 55:6,
1,000 [2] - 51:12,	171 [16] - 2:13, 14:2,	66:23, 73:2, 73:7,	115:20, 115:21	55:19, 55:23, 57:6,
30:21	14:4, 14:6, 14:10,	88:3, 115:17	53703[1] - 3:19	59:5, 67:16, 68:23,
1,500 [2] - 51:13,	14:11, 66:14, 66:18,	2009 [1] - 27:24	53703-2865 [1] - 3:22	86:23, 87:4
90:21	66:20, 68:5, 68:12,	2010 [4] - 11:22,		action [2] - 131:24,
	70:14, 73:7, 79:25,	11:23, 52:11, 131:8	6	132:3
10 [8] - 32:21, 46:3,	118:18, 120:3	2011 [10] - 29:8,	U	actual [7] - 53:10,
57:7, 67:15, 67:19,	172 [13] - 2:14, 32:7,	29:9, 50:25, 51:8,		53:16, 59:24, 74:6,
74:2, 74:17, 82:20	32:11, 32:12, 32:15,	52:10, 100:21,	6 [8] - 40:10, 44:9,	78:21, 81:15, 84:17
10/18/2011 [1] - 2:16	32:16, 33:6, 33:19,	106:15, 109:7,	44:10, 44:12, 45:1,	Adams [2] - 17:12,
10:32 (1) - 54:13	33:20, 57:3, 57:17,	120:11, 120:12	45:12, 56:2, 85:1	17:13
10:49 [1] - 54:16	60:20, 67:17	2012 [5] - 1:17, 3:13,	600 [3] - 3:11, 3:22,	addition [2] - 23:11,
11 [5] - 44:9, 44:10,	1	4:10, 132:6, 132:11	131:10	47:13
46:8, 47:2, 62:9	173 [19] - 2:16,	20th [1] - 129:3	ł	additional [1] - 8:5
11-cv-45 [3] - 1:6,	34:19, 34:20, 34:22,	21 [2] - 81:5, 82:2	64 [1] - 28:18	1
4:4, 4:5	35:2, 35:7, 36:2, 36:7,			addressing [1] -
11:41 [1] - 80:10	36:24, 37:13, 41:4,	211 [1] - 3:18	7	21:20
12 [4] - 20:12, 47:2,	44:3, 49:23, 49:25,	22[1] - 81:6		adequately [2] -
74:2, 74:17	80:24, 81:2, 83:4,	23 [2] - 82:15, 82:25	7 44:05 45:0	118:12, 118:25
•	83:16, 85:22	23rd [3] - 51:8, 89:8,	7 [7] - 44:25, 45:9,	adjacency [2] -
128 [1] - 61:20	174 (1) - 28:17	90:2	45:12, 52:22, 94:15,	115:23, 117:10
12:01 (1) - 80:13	17th [1] - 52:11	24/25 [1] - 53:2	97:12, 108:4	adjacent [39] - 7:3,
12:17 (1) - 89:18	18[4] - 1:17, 4:10,	24th [2] - 102:5,	7.5-minute (1) -	29:2, 29:25, 30:9,
12:23 [1] - 89:21	21:2, 81:21	132:5	50:16	30:12, 38:2, 41:1,
12:37 [1] - 100:3	18th [8] - 3:13, 29:9,	25 (3) - 20:25, 61:1,	***************************************	41:24, 46:10, 51:23.
12:40 (1) + 100:6	34:9, 35:3, 53:17,	85:3	8	56:24, 66:1, 66:7,
13 [2] - 38:2, 39:6		25th [1] - 102:5	-	66:8, 68:2, 68:25,
132 [7] - 95:11,	80:21, 106:15, 131:7	27 [12] - 34:11, 37:8,		69:2, 69:5, 70:3, 70:7
95:12, 95:23, 96:14,	19 [2] - 8:20, 132:11	1	8 [3] - 2:12, 45:18	74:20, 77:9, 84:3,
96:22, 99:7, 101:6	1971 [1] - 50:17	41:20, 41:21, 42:2,	86 [1] - 2:5	1
134 [1] - 100:15	1972 [1] - 55:19	64:23, 76:18, 91:19,		105:21, 113:24,
	1980 [2] - 8:20, 9:3	91:25, 92:10, 101:12,	9	114:1, 114:17, 115:2
1362(7) [1] + 87:2	1982 (1) - 10:3	109:21		115:7, 116:1, 116:2,
14 [5] - 2:13, 20:12,	1985 [1] - 9:7		_	116:6, 116:10,
42:24, 43:19, 81:11	1987 [1] - 11:11	3	9 [2] - 45:23, 97:5	116:16, 117:11,
15 [7] - 43:11, 43:15,	1988 [5] - 51:7, 51:8,		9588 [1] - 102:17	117:18, 118:6, 126:1
43:18, 74:21, 84:11,	89:8, 90:2, 92:20	1	9:04[3] - 3:13, 4:11,	adjourned (1) -
85:3	1989 [3] - 51:18,	3 [7] - 39:19, 40:1,	131:8	130:16
16 [7] - 81:17, 83:16,	92:23, 93:7	43:17, 80:10, 80:13,		Adjourning [1] -
83:17, 84:10, 84:16,	1 '	104:14, 128:17		130:23
84:20	1996 [2] - 11:12,	32 [1] - 2:15		administrative [2] -
164 [12] - 7:10, 7:14,	11:15	320 [1] - 3:19		(-)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		[

27:3, 27:13
aerial [17] - 10:18,
10:19, 35:12, 35:15,
35:16, 35:22, 35:23,
36:1, 36:11, 36:13, 36:15, 37:22, 38:1,
44:5, 50:1
affect [2] - 16:4,
56:10
affected [1] - 26:9
affecting (1) - 26:17 affixed (1) - 132:5
afield (1) - 123:23
afterwards (1) -
66:25
age [1] - 3:2
agencies [11] -
18:21, 18:23, 18:25, 26:13, 29:19, 30:24,
60:10, 65:13, 65:22,
73:3, 87:21
agency [4] - 13:21,
26:22, 65:25, 121:1
Agency [1] - 87:23 ago [2] - 15:20, 59:2
agree (22) - 8:7,
91:22, 92:2, 92:4,
98:17, 103:22, 106:9,
109:15, 109:20,
109:23, 110:11, 110:14, 113:18,
114:12, 114:16,
115:1, 116:15,
116:22, 117:24,
118:5, 120:2, 122:18
agreed [1] - 114:5
agreeing [2] - 114:23, 118:4
agricultural [2] -
9:14, 16:25
ahead (2) - 31:17,
37:15
aided [1] - 131:18 aimed [1] - 70:17
Airport [1] - 19:9
al [2] - 3:4, 4:7
alignment (1) - 35:25
alleyways [1] - 16:17
allowed [1] - 65:17
almost (1) - 82:21 alternatives (1) -
26:10
amend [1] - 99:6
American [1] - 121:1
Amoco (3) - 17:23,
18:7 amount [7] - 64:17,
72:3, 77:25, 113:18,
122:19, 122:21,
127:22

```
analyses [1] - 76:10
 analysis (24) - 30:14,
62:17, 62:18, 62:21,
63:3, 63:4, 63:9,
68:23, 71:17, 85:9,
85:15, 119:4, 119:10,
125:12, 126:16,
126:17, 126:20,
126:23, 127:3,
127:14, 127:17,
127:24, 128:2, 128:9
 anniversaries [1] -
130:4
 anniversary [1] -
130:3
 answer[10] - 31:17,
32:1, 32:9, 94:10,
98:4, 110:23, 115:6,
116:19, 117:17,
117:20
 answered [1] - 118:7
 answers [2] - 18:13,
21:12
 anticipated [1] -
129:17
 anyway [7] - 30:10,
47:7, 58:5, 78:23,
90:3, 122:21, 130:8
 apart [1] - 41:17
 apologize [1] -
113:23
 appear [7] - 30:7,
33:20, 39:23, 54:18,
89:3, 89:5, 96:18
 appeared [1] - 77:2
 appearing [2] - 3:19,
3:22
  Appendix [2] -
 108:18, 108:19
  application [3] -
 16:8, 26:7, 26:12
  applications [3] -
 12:12, 25:25, 26:2
  Applied [1] - 51:21
  applies [1] - 55:3
  apply [4] - 32:1,
 59:23, 88:2, 125:25
  applying [4] - 26:14,
 55:7, 116:12, 128:9
  appreciate [2] - 86:4,
 116:8
  Approved [3] - 2:13,
 14:11, 66:20
  April [5] - 1:17, 3:13,
 4:10, 131:8, 132:6
  archway [1] - 43:1
  area [71] - 9:10,
 14:25, 15:10, 16:2,
 16:6, 16:22, 16:24,
```

27:15, 30:5, 31:24, 34:13, 35:21, 36:24, 41:3, 41:24, 42:3, 42:5, 42:15, 43:21, 43:25, 44:1, 44:2, 47:4, 49:9, 49:14, 49:19, 50:7, 51:10, 51:23, 51:25, 52:24, 58:4, 64:5, 64:14, 67:18, 67:19, 68:18, 69:7, 71:3, 72:2, 73:24, 74:9, 74:15, 74:18, 74:21, 75:11, 77:2, 79:4, 79:15, 80:15, 81:24, 82:7, 82:11, 82:18, 83:6, 84:2, 84:19, 94:12, 94:14, 98:11, 103:15, 103:23, 105:21, 108:25, 111:19, 112:13, 113:1 Area [6] - 51:6, 51:19, 51:22, 100:20, 108:18, 108:21 areas [4] - 26:20, 72:24, 73:1, 74:4 Army [4] - 2:13, 14:14, 32:18, 87:21 arrangements [1] arriving [1] - 26:11 arrow[1] - 110:12 Arrowhead [1] -27:19 arrows [1] - 48:9 aside [1] - 46:19 assembled [1] -130:1 assert [1] - 73:3 assessing [1] -103:18 assessment [2] -16:7, 104:7 Assessment [4] -51:17, 92:24, 93:12, 94:11 assist [2] - 7:24, 71:13 assisted [1] - 5:1 associated [8] -4:13, 24:17, 24:23, 65:8, 78:20, 105:10, 106:7, 129:8 Associates [2] -11:10, 24:7 association [1] -66:23 Association [4] -

associations [1] -24:17 assume [6] - 14:25, 92:7, 114:22, 115:9, 118:3, 122:10 assumed [1] - 92:21 assuming [7] -112:5, 114:25, 115:1, 116:13, 116:25, 117:1, 117:8 attached [3] - 2:19, 7:24, 14:3 attachment[1] -102:12 attended [1] - 9:5 attention [2] - 60:14, 76:15 attorney [2] - 131:23, 132:1 Attorney [2] - 2:25, 3:21 attorneys [2] - 5:20, 86:13 Attorneys [5] - 3:10, 3:17, 3:18, 3:21, 131:9 August [1] - 132:11 author [4] - 91:14, 103:19, 105:9, 107:4 authored [1] - 25:13 available [9] - 29:19, 67:22, 76:7, 79:2, 79:6, 79:17, 88:21, 129:21, 130:15 average [1] - 25:21 avoid [1] - 12:10 awaited [1] - 59:19 aware [2] - 59:14, 59:17 awhile [1] - 130:6

В

bachelor's [1] - 8:18 background [2] -49:5, 121:3 Badger [2] - 15:8, 15:17 balance [6] - 62:21, 127:14, 127:17, 127:24, 128:2, 128:9 Bald [1] - 18:2 bank [5] - 83:21, 84:1, 84:14, 97:13, 98:9 banks [2] - 43:16 barely [6] - 72:25, 73:1, 91:18, 91:24, 92:3, 92:9

barriers [2] - 48:5, 116:5 base [10] - 46:4, 46:9, 46:11, 47:5, 74:1, 74:16, 74:22, 78:3, 78:7, 111:23 based [21] - 18:20. 29:18, 30:17, 30:21, 30:22, 30:25, 39:1, 46:23, 53:24, 64:15, 71:12, 75:25, 102:13, 104:22, 104:24, 117:5, 123:18, 123:19, 124:2, 125:11, 125:13 basic [1] - 61:10 basin [1] - 110:8 basis [6] - 56:25, 61:25, 62:2, 62:10, 91:5, 116:9 Bates [3] - 102:14, 102:23, 102:24 Bay [3] - 8:18, 10:6, 27:15 bays [1] - 16:23 beach [1] - 116:5 become [2] - 77:5, becomes [1] - 98:12 bed [5] - 83:21, 84:1, 84:13, 97:13, 98:9 began [4] - 9:25, 11:15, 12:14, 97:24 begin [6] - 8:14, 35:7, 36:7, 43:20, 53:11, 86:18 beginning [6] - 15:7, 52:12, 64:22, 97:5, 97:24, 105:8 begins [5] - 102:16, 102:17, 103:1, 103:4, behalf [3] - 3:2, 3:19, 3:22 behind [2] - 16:17, belive (1) - 58:20 belong [1] - 24:17 below [10] - 38:21, 38:23, 39:10, 39:14, 40:24, 71:23, 81:9, 84:14, 114:12 Beltline [4] - 10:25, 11:4, 20:20, 21:2 bend [2] - 84:25, Bender [1] - 4:21 BENDER [1] - 3:18 berm [23] - 44:16,

44:17, 45:8, 45:19,

24:20, 24:21, 25:2,

25:7

17:3, 18:1, 19:14,

23:19 characterizes [1] -4:23, 7:16, 7:20, 8:4, 45:24, 46:5, 46:11, Certified [1] - 132:9 94:18 54:3, 54:6, 54:10, 47:1, 47:10, 72:23, biologist [1] - 10:24 58:24, 80:7, 86:3, certified [1] - 23:15 check [1] - 50:14 73:12, 73:13, 73:25, biologists [1] - 18:19 74:1, 74:2, 74:4, 74:9, 89:13, 94:8, 95:15, certify [2] - 131:6, chemical [7] - 62:12, bisected [1] - 37:7 98:2, 99:20, 110:21, 63:10, 69:16, 69:21, 74:16, 74:22, 78:2, bit [20] - 10:7, 18:4, 131:22 78:4, 78:7, 115:12 111:16, 112:9, Chambers [6] -70:18, 70:20, 75:7 20:8, 22:18, 39:13, 113:14, 114:7, 63:18, 70:10, 70:12, chemistry [1] - 8:24 berms [1] - 116:5 39:17, 40:15, 41:21, 114:18, 115:4, 85:5, 125:20, 126:9 Chevron [2] - 17:22, best [2] - 9:24, 39:6 44:12, 46:18, 51:15, 116:17, 129:13, between [28] - 10:5, 66:22, 81:14, 81:22, Chambers' [3] -17:25 22:16, 22:19, 24:4, 82:2, 82:15, 84:2, 129:16, 130:8, 120:11, 124:3, 125:7 Chippewa [3] -130:12, 130:18 41:3, 41:9, 41:16, 84:3, 88:16, 117:7 change [5] - 21:25, 33:11, 33:12, 33:13 Camp [6] - 2:4, 2:25, CHRISTENSEN [1] -41:19, 43:21, 52:22, black [1] - 34:24 22:4, 83:12, 99:3, 4:24, 5:10, 88:15, 64:24, 65:2, 76:4, 106:11 131:3 Black [2] - 9:14, 9:15 88:16 76:13, 76:18, 83:9, changed [2] - 106:6, Christensen [3] black-and-white [1] canary [1] - 72:4 85:8, 85:17, 98:14, 117:21 1:20, 3:8, 4:12 34:24 104:14, 105:25, canoes [1] - 58:1 chronological [1] changes [1] - 31:7 Blue [2] - 53:3, 104:6 107:8, 122:24, 124:1, capacity [2] - 10:24, channel [48] - 39:22, 9:24 blue [10] - 35:24, 125:4, 125:22, 126:7, 17:15 40:5, 40:6, 40:16, cite [3] - 88:21, 36:16, 50:4, 50:5, caption [5] - 19:2, 127:4 92:23, 100:23 51:1, 101:4, 101:11, 41:12, 41:18, 41:23, 25:12, 28:20, 60:18, 42:13, 42:18, 43:8, beyond [2] - 40:10, city [2] - 15:13, 15:14 109:11, 109:12 83:18 41:23 43:16, 43:20, 46:25, bodies [1] - 33:22 City [4] - 3:11, 15:9, captioned [1] - 35:8 bible [1] - 60:8 47:4, 47:8, 47:10, body [1] - 53:6 95:6, 131:10 captions [1] - 60:15 52:19, 53:21, 75:11, biking [1] - 130:4 clarified [1] - 55:4 bordering [3] bioassessment [4] -Carabell [1] - 59:7 76:18, 78:2, 78:6, clarity [1] - 101:3 114:2, 115:7, 116:2 81:12, 83:19, 83:20, 102:4, 102:15, 103:1, botany [1] - 9:1 care [1] - 23:3 classes [1] - 9:1 107:6 career [5] - 11:9, 83:24, 84:7, 84:17, bottom [4] - 39:8, Clean [10] - 21:19, Bioassessment [1] -23:5, 25:5, 30:22, 84:21, 85:2, 91:24, 55:3, 55:6, 55:19, 44:4, 73:13, 74:8 91:25, 92:2, 92:9, 40:11 55:23, 57:5, 59:4, bought [1] - 58:5 97:13, 97:24, 98:9, biofilter [68] - 7:3, careful [2] - 18:22, 68:23, 86:23, 87:3 boxes [1] - 36:4 98:12, 98:18, 98:21, 48:15 29:2, 29:25, 30:4, client [1] - 26:5 Branch [2] - 19:6, 99:9, 101:24, 104:13, 30:12, 33:7, 34:10, carefully [4] - 31:10, clients [3] - 25:15, 19:8 104:14, 105:20, 41:1, 44:11, 44:17, 71:8, 124:22, 131:16 25:19, 59:11 breadth [1] - 30:21 112:14, 113:4 45:19, 46:5, 46:10, case [19] - 4:4, 5:24, break [5] - 54:4, close [3] - 35:22, channel-like [1] -65:22, 83:5 47:1, 47:5, 47:6, 6:4, 6:13, 6:18, 42:9, 54:11, 54:17, 80:8, 47:10, 51:24, 51:25, 40:6 44:23, 59:24, 60:1, close-up [1] - 35:22 128:15 channelization [3] -55:10, 64:9, 64:18, 60:2, 60:6, 66:1, closely [1] - 30:5 briefly [8] - 9:8, 65:3, 66:2, 66:8, 70:3, 91:8, 91:12, 91:14 78:12, 95:11, 97:8, closer [1] - 70:5 12:24, 14:9, 15:3, 71:21, 72:12, 72:16, channelized [4] -105:23, 127:25 closest [4] - 33:6, 19:4, 28:1, 121:4, 72:22, 73:9, 73:20, 20:18, 98:6, 104:20, Case [1] - 1:6 67:8, 69:24, 69:25 129:20 73:22, 73:23, 73:25, 105:6 caused [1] - 72:24 brought [3] - 13:10, CLVS [2] - 3:24, 3:25 74:9, 74:16, 75:8, causes [1] - 48:7 channels [5] - 39:22, 17:20, 58:5 coast[1] - 10:4 75:13, 76:13, 77:9, CCP [1] - 1:20 39:24, 40:3, 104:2, bugs [1] - 9:1 Coast [1] - 130:5 77:17, 77:19, 77:22, 104:5 Cedar [1] - 12:23 build [1] - 12:5 code [1] - 49:12 77:25, 79:1, 79:5, character [2] - 27:25, center [2] - 73:17, cold [1] - 15:15 building [1] - 16:4 103:16, 103:24, 53:21 73:18 built [1] - 49:9 Coleman [5] -105:11, 105:22, characteristics [8] -**CENTER** [1] - 1:3 120:21, 121:8, 122:4, bullet [4] - 50:9, 106:8, 106:16, 108:8, 22:21, 22:22, 69:3, Center [1] - 6:14 51:4, 52:6, 90:3 122:23, 123:20 110:8, 110:19, 111:1, 69:8, 69:12, 69:17, central [1] - 17:2 bulleted [2] - 95:4, Coleman's [4] -111:13, 113:19, 69:22, 103:17 certain [2] - 105:15, 97:21 120:15, 121:17, 121:12, 121:23, Characteristics [1] -107:11 122:14, 125:14 bullets [1] - 90:4 122:19, 122:22, 103:5 certainly [9] - 21:15, colleague (4) bunch [2] - 44:7, 123:9, 125:22, 126:1, characterization [3] 47:19, 48:25, 71:24, 56:21 52:11, 52:16, 96:3, 127:7 - 11:19, 12:19, 18:5 73:12, 75:2, 75:14, 96:6 Business [4] biofilter's [1] characterize [6] -100:17, 125:14 color[1] - 34:25 100:20, 108:17, 126:17 12:8, 16:6, 18:20, certainty [6] - 30:19, 108:20, 108:21 combination [2] biological [5] -22:6, 48:16, 64:1 54:20, 80:5, 85:20, 53:15, 127:18 62:12, 63:10, 69:22, characterized [9] -86:1 combined [1] -C 70:18, 70:20 17:10, 48:17, 51:24, certificates [2] -127:19 Biological [1] - 6:15 72:4, 72:7, 73:5, 23:12, 28:14 coming [3] - 13:14, BIOLOGICAL [1] -83:25, 93:16, 105:6 CAMP [29] - 3:21, certification[1] -75:13, 102:23

ı
commencing [1] -
3:13
commerce [8] - 56:5, 56:11, 56:15, 56:18,
57:14, 57:23, 61:6
commercial [1] -
33:1
commission [1] - 132:10
commissioned (1) -
131:4
commonly [1] - 77:10
communities [1] -
21:24
community [1] - 22:1
COMPANY [1] - 1:7 Company [11] - 3:5,
4:8, 4:25, 6:16, 6:21,
15:23, 21:4, 34:15,
64:8, 95:5, 129:9
comparative [1] -
61:14
compare [1] - 127:22
complaint [8] - 47:19, 63:17, 120:10,
124:3, 125:6, 125:19,
126:2, 126:9
complete [3] - 70:15,
70:24, 126:14
completed [1] -
14:15
completely [4] - 57:8, 76:10, 76:11,
84:12
complicated [1] -
22:18
65:8, 128:5
components [5] -
21:17, 21:20, 22:7,
26:12, 70:13
computer[1] -
131:18 computer-aided [1] -
131:18
concentrate [2] -
38:16, 40:14
concentrated [2] - 45:11, 113:3
concentration (4) -
9:10, 45:13, 62:24,
127:18
concerned [1] - 75:7
concerning [1] - 131:14
concerns [3] - 12:15,
12:16
conclude [1] - 46:24
concluded [6] -

```
29:17, 33:21, 46:25,
47:8, 53:7, 76:21
 conclusion [9] -
52:17, 58:15, 64:11,
71:11, 75:5, 76:19,
77:16, 77:18, 119:15
 conclusions [13] -
29:11, 29:12, 29:14,
30:16, 33:25, 53:13,
75:1, 75:3, 76:16,
80:2, 90:25, 99:4,
124:21
 concrete [1] - 123:22
 concurrence [1] -
 conduct [10] - 62:21,
70:14, 79:21, 118:25,
119:4, 126:15,
126:20, 126:23,
127:3, 127:24
 conducted [2] -
52:10, 118:12
 configuration [1] -
16:3
 confined [1] - 98:8
 confluence (3) -
82:13, 82:24, 107:1
 connected [1] -
115:12
 connecting [1] -
82:16
 connection [7] -
34:7, 60:1, 65:1, 65:2,
66:16, 83:13, 85:8
 connections [3] -
41:8, 41:9, 78:21
 Connie [2] - 3:25,
99:16
 Conservation [2] -
50:10, 50:12
 consider [4] - 59:10.
90:25, 105:12, 107:3
 considered [3] -
62:4, 115:13
 consistent [1] - 84:2
 constituent [2] -
62:24, 127:19
  constituents [1] -
75:7
  constitute [2] -
33:22, 75:20
  constituted [1] -
70:23
  construction [3] -
 11:1, 11:2, 13:10
  consultants [6] -
34:16, 64:8, 65:19,
 76:8, 108:7, 108:11
  consulting [1] - 11:9
```

Consulting [5] -

```
11:21, 11:22, 11:24,
                           98:1, 98:15, 101:2,
52:7, 95:7
                           101:20, 102:1,
                           102:20, 103:9,
 contained [1] - 85:7
                           104:21, 105:2,
 contains [3] - 28:3,
                           106:23, 107:5.
91:3, 109:10
                           108:17, 108:20,
 contention [1] -
                           109:18, 109:22,
117:23
 contiguous [3] -
                           114:6, 114:11,
114:2, 115:8, 116:3
                           114:14, 115:3,
 continued [1] - 42:10
                           115:10, 115:11,
 continues [1] - 98:11
                           116:21, 117:16,
 continuing (1) -
97:25
                            copy [3] - 95:14,
 continuous [20] -
                           99:19, 99:22
40:16, 41:18, 46:25,
52:19, 54:1, 68:8,
                           83:1, 102:14
72:15, 72:19, 73:10,
                            Corporation [1] -
82:24, 83:8, 83:18,
                           11:15
83:19, 83:24, 83:25,
                            Corps [26] - 2:13,
84:20, 85:2, 85:6,
                           11:6, 13:13, 13:19,
104:10, 105:20
                           14:14, 14:23, 18:9,
 continuously [1] -
                           18:12, 21:15, 23:1,
123:14
                           26:16, 32:18, 33:5,
 contributing [1] -
42:5
                           66:5, 66:10, 67:4,
 controversy [1] -
131:15
                           71:16, 76:1, 87:21,
 convenient [1] - 77:6
                           115:14
 conversations [2] -
99:8, 123:19
                           7:7, 7:25, 25:15,
 convey [2] - 37:6,
48:5
                           36:12, 58:22, 59:2,
 conveyance [5] -
                           86:24, 87:7, 87:25,
77:7, 82:10, 103:20,
                           88:7, 88:22, 88:23,
106:5, 109:20
                           90:7, 90:12, 90:16,
 conveyed [4] -
                           90:23, 91:1, 91:6,
48:14, 112:21,
                           91:8, 91:21, 94:25,
112:25, 113:19
                           96:1, 96:4, 96:19,
 conveying [1] -
                           97:9, 97:21, 98:15,
120:4
                           98:16, 100:11,
 conveys [2] - 111:19,
                           100:12, 100:24,
112:24
                           100:25, 101:16,
 Cooper [1] - 100:19
                           101:18, 101:21,
 coordination [1] -
                           102:2, 102:21,
20:9
                           102:22, 103:11,
 copies [3] - 2:19,
                           106:21, 107:21,
7:17, 58:24
 copper[1] - 75:6
                           109:12, 109:19,
 Copper [63] - 30:2,
                           110:12, 111:8,
35:16, 37:18, 38:3,
                           112:22, 112:23,
41:4, 42:10, 42:14,
                           113:20, 114:13,
42:16, 42:23, 43:22,
51:15, 52:14, 52:23,
                           118:13, 118:14,
53:5, 53:9, 53:11,
53:22, 64:24, 64:25,
71:5, 71:10, 76:19,
80:15, 80:17, 80:20,
                           125:9, 125:13,
81:10, 84:22, 85:24,
                            125:16, 125:24,
90:19, 94:5, 94:14,
                           126:10, 126:11,
94:16, 97:15, 97:25,
```

```
109:24, 110:1, 114:4,
117:25, 127:2, 127:9
 corner [3] - 20:12,
55:22, 59:12, 59:23,
67:12, 67:24, 71:13,
 correct [92] - 6:18,
25:16, 33:11, 33:15,
108:9, 109:8, 109:9,
115:17, 117:6, 118:2,
119:2, 119:3, 119:5,
119:9, 120:6, 120:12,
122:5, 124:5, 125:8,
```

126:18, 126:19, 126:21, 126:22, 126:25, 127:1, 127:4, 127:5, 127:11, 127:12, 127:25, 128:1, 128:4, 128:9, 128:10, 128:12 correction (2) -116:8, 116:9 correctly [2] -109:15, 123:24 correspond [1] -36:8 corridor [2] - 12:16, 23:6 corridor-type [1] -23:6 Council [3] - 3:4, 4:7, 6:14 COUNCIL[1] - 1:3 Counsel [4] - 7:16, 8:7, 58:23, 95:13 counsel [5] - 2:19, 4:15, 99:18, 131:23, 132:1 counseled [1] -12:11 count [1] - 90:4 **COUNTY** [1] - 131:2 County [12] - 3:12, 10:12, 12:24, 17:13, 49:2, 49:3, 49:6, 49:20, 51:6, 83:2, 95:6, 131:11 county [4] - 10:12, 10:13, 26:19, 49:4 couple [3] - 17:19, 27:18, 81:3 course [1] - 29:17 Course [1] - 21:6 courses [3] - 9:8, 9:11, 24:13 COURT (2) - 1:1, 5:3 court [2] - 4:17, 6:8 Court [2] - 3:6, 113:16 Court's [1] - 59:6 courts [1] - 26:23 covering [1] - 35:13 create [4] - 19:24, 21:9, 38:19, 78:1 created [1] - 104:2 creek [2] - 9:14, 9:15 Creek [5] - 15:8, 15:17, 19:6, 19:8, 107:2 criteria [2] - 21:17, 22:11 criticism [6] - 93:19, 93:20, 105:24, 122:7,

125:3, 126:5 criticisms [1] -123:25 criticized [1] -118:11 Croatia [1] - 130:4 cross [2] - 86:8, 101:15 CROSS [1] - 86:9 cross-examination [1] - 86:8 CROSS-**EXAMINATION**[1] -86:9 crosses [4] - 91:19, 91:24, 92:10, 101:12 crossing [1] - 114:11 crossings [1] - 42:11 CRR [1] - 1:20 culvert [36] - 37:5, 37:9, 37:10, 37:19, 37:25, 38:3, 38:5, 38:17, 38:18, 38:20, 39:9, 40:8, 40:11, 41:14, 41:25, 42:1, 43:3, 52:13, 52:20, 81:10, 84:22, 84:23, 84:25, 97:14, 98:1, 98:6, 98:10, 101:18, 101:20, 101:23, 102:1, 114:4, 114:11, 115:12 culverts [13] - 37:3, 37:13, 37:21, 38:6, 38:8, 39:24, 40:2, 40:5, 40:13, 48:3, 84:4, 101:9, 101:10 Culverts [1] - 37:6 current [2] - 60:9, 60:10 cursory [1] - 124:18 cut [1] - 104:13

D

Dalmatian [1] - 130:5 dam [1] - 19:20 dams [1] - 19:24 DANE [1] - 131:2 Dane [4] - 3:12, 10:12, 49:6, 131:11 darn [1] - 81:16 dashed [3] - 35:23, 50:4, 51:1 Data [2] - 50:24, 76:23 date [4] - 4:10, 14:13, 29:6, 29:8 dated [3] - 51:7,

Decision [1] - 59:6 declaration [10] -120:13, 120:16, 120:20, 121:5, 121:6, 121:7, 121:10, 123:1, 123:18, 123:21 deep [1] - 81:19 Defendant [4] - 1:8, 3:3, 3:5, 3:23 define [2] - 21:17, 116:1 defined [25] - 29:24, 41:12, 42:13, 47:8, 47:10, 53:9, 55:20, 57:19, 60:23, 66:3, 68:5, 77:13, 77:14, 78:2, 78:23, 84:1, 84:6, 97:13, 97:24, 98:9, 98:12, 98:18, 105:20, 111:13 definite [1] - 84:1 definitely [1] - 38:11 definition [13] -21:18, 29:20, 29:23, 57:5, 57:17, 58:6, 58:7, 60:21, 60:22, 69:20, 115:7, 115:22, 116:12 definitions [1] -56:21 degraded [1] - 56:9 degree [13] - 8:18, 8:22, 8:23, 9:3, 9:7, 9:9, 9:20, 9:21, 30:19, 54:20, 80:4, 85:19, 85:25 degrees [1] - 9:17 delineate [4] - 10:18, 12:8, 16:6, 18:19 delineated [3] - 23:5, 96:24, 117:18 delineating [1] - 41:7 Delineation (5) -52:8, 95:5, 95:24, 96:14, 108:19 delineation [12] -11:18, 12:13, 18:8,

52:9, 53:2

131:16

dates [1] - 24:1

3:1, 5:6, 131:12,

days [3] - 122:4,

dear[1] - 130:2

debris [1] - 104:12

decide [1] - 65:13

decision [3] - 33:5,

123:8, 129:1

59:21, 67:4

Davidson [1] - 15:23

DAY [6] - 1:15, 2:3,

20:15, 21:13, 21:14, 21:22, 22:10, 45:5, 51:20, 51:22, 101:6 delineations [3] -12:4, 22:24, 25:20 dense [1] - 45:22 Department [8] -10:9, 10:23, 20:23, 23:14, 23:24, 26:15, 66:5, 92:16 depict [3] - 46:16, 46:17, 48:1 depicted [10] - 83:2, 84:19, 96:25, 101:5, 109:16, 110:12, 113:17, 115:2, 116:16, 118:5 depicts [1] - 109:10 deposition [38] -2:24, 4:3, 4:8, 5:19, 5:23, 5:24, 5:25, 6:5, 6:7, 7:7, 7:12, 7:13, 58:19, 58:20, 63:19, 86:11, 89:24, 97:3, 99:13, 100:10, 100:16, 102:6, 110:6, 113:22, 116:13, 117:9, 117:14, 118:10, 120:15, 124:5, 124:10, 124:15, 125:11, 127:13, 130:16, 130:21, 131:19, 131:25 **DEPOSITION** [2] -1:13, 3:1 depreciations [1] -111:25 describe [21] - 5:17, 9:23, 12:1, 12:24, 18:16, 26:25, 35:2, 37:2, 38:5, 39:18, 41:2, 41:5, 43:24, 55:2, 55:15, 71:19, 72:13, 73:24, 74:13, 80:19 described [10] -35:14, 40:2, 41:24, 42:15, 55:24, 56:20, 61:7, 61:12, 71:9, 74:11 describes [2] - 26:7, describing [3] -70:13, 81:8, 84:3 Description [1] description [3] -42:19, 85:23, 96:9

34:3, 56:23 design [7] - 11:1, 19:12, 19:25, 48:3, 49:15, 50:8, 77:3 designated [7] -52:2, 93:18, 94:2, 94:3, 94:4, 94:19, 114:17 designation [6] -23:23, 24:3, 28:8, 28:9, 95:2, 109:17 designations [2] -24:10, 28:12 designed [3] - 38:9, 44:20, 77:22 designs [1] - 20:24 destroyed [1] - 56:10 destructed [1] -19:21 detail [2] - 46:13, 60:9 detailed [3] - 28:3, 69:15, 79:24 detained [2] - 48:6, determination [27] -13:22, 18:23, 19:1, 51:11, 62:20, 66:11, 66:13, 66:17, 67:24, 68:20, 70:24, 87:12, 87:13, 87:15, 87:17, 87:19, 87:23, 88:4, 107:15, 117:15, 117:25, 118:23, 119:25, 120:3, 123:17 Determination [3] -2:13, 14:12, 66:21 determinations [11] -13:8, 13:12, 13:17, 14:24, 18:14, 18:17, 29:18, 31:12, 59:11, 65:20, 107:10 determine [12] - 7:1, 12:9, 22:2, 30:11, 32:20, 60:11, 63:9, 67:11, 69:19, 70:11, 105:19 determined [6] -30:5, 114:20, 116:14, 116:22, 116:23, 117:3 determining [3] -67:2, 70:17, 71:13 develop [2] - 15:14, developed [8] - 14:8, 14:13, 14:23, 15:14, 16:22, 21:8, 49:4, 55:22 developer [1] - 12:5 developers [1] -

65:16 developing [2] -20:24, 21:6 development [5] -16:16, 16:20, 17:2, 19:15, 20:11 developments [1] -16:14 **DEWITT**[1] - 3:21 DeWitt [3] - 3:10, 4:25, 131:9 diagrams [1] - 64:11 different [7] - 13:18, 16:19, 32:23, 37:20, 57:8, 86:19, 125:2 digging [1] - 19:10 digress [2] - 34:17, 63:12 dikes [1] - 116:7 direct [2] - 47:4, 108:2 **DIRECT** [1] - 5:9 direction [4] -106:25, 110:13, 111:2, 111:15 directional [2] -110:7, 110:11 directly [6] - 16:21, 30:7, 46:10, 105:21, 123:13, 123:14 discernible [6] -91:19, 91:24, 92:3, 92:6, 92:8, 92:9 discharge [10] -30:5, 30:6, 30:7, 64:10, 64:17, 75:14, 77:18, 77:19, 77:24, 108:8 discharged [1] - 75:8 discipline [1] -107:14 disconnections [1] -119:22 discontinuous [1] -72:25 discounted [1] -91:17 Discovery [1] - 20:10 discovery [2] - 5:19, discussed [3] - 7:6, 90:9, 118:10 discusses [1] -97:18 discussing (2) -36:25, 121:14 discussion [1] -117:10 Discussion [2] -89:19, 100:4

5

descriptions [2] -

8:20, 9:4, 23:11,

effect [6] - 26:20,

62:11, 62:15, 62:17,

effects [2] - 69:21,

Eighties [2] - 25:2,

either [9] - 8:12,

40:10, 50:15, 61:3,

66:4, 70:12, 75:19,

elevation [3] - 82:20,

Elizabeth [2] - 4:3,

ELIZABETH [6] -

23:20, 30:17

62:22, 63:11

70:20

78:14

5:14

83:10, 83:12

discussions (2) -98:20, 98:24 dismayed [1] - 66:22 dispute [1] - 123:11 disregard [1] -121:21 distance [3] - 74:2, 82:20, 83:11 distinction [5] - 76:7, 105:25, 111:11, 122:24, 123:3 distinguishing [1] -District [3] - 3:6, 4:5 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, ditched [1] - 19:7 ditches [3] - 20:14, 116:5, 116:7 DIVERSITY [1] - 1:4 Diversity [1] - 6:15 DNR [11] - 16:9, 16:21, 19:23, 20:9, 25:5, 27:16, 49:4, 50:24, 51:9, 76:22, 124:8 DNR's [1] - 61:19 document [35] - 7:9, 10:12, 28:3, 32:11, 32:12, 34:18, 34:22, 49:1, 50:17, 51:18, 58:18, 59:8, 59:10, 59:14, 89:7, 92:22, 93:11, 93:16, 93:19, 94:3, 95:7, 95:12, 95:14, 96:2, 100:13, 100:18, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 102:6, 102:10, 102:19, 109:3, 109:5, 115:23 document's [1] -93:21 documentation [2] -119:23, 120:1 documents [42] -28:24, 30:23, 30:24, 31:8, 31:10, 31:16, 31:18, 31:20, 32:2, 47:14, 47:22, 47:24, 47:25, 48:11, 48:22, 49:3, 49:11, 49:15, 50:8, 50:21, 63:13, 63:16, 63:20, 63:21, 64:16, 68:17, 74:24, 75:17, 75:18, 77:3, 88:13, 93:12, 94:7, 105:18, 107:16, 107:20, 107:24, 108:12, 108:15,

13:18, 23:6, 26:4, 27:13, 27:18, 52:8, 53:3, 62:18, 63:7, 66:13, 71:1, 71:2, 95:17, 117:20 dotted [1] - 109:11 down [32] - 10:9, 13:6, 16:20, 18:10, 24:1, 34:11, 37:19, 39:16, 42:9, 45:19, 45:24, 46:8, 46:19, 47:1, 47:9, 51:4, 53:22, 56:2, 67:6, 67:19, 69:2, 73:11, 75:13, 78:2, 80:22, 82:7, 82:11, 84:5, 84:14, 84:18, 109:22, 112:21 downgradient [10] -37:25, 40:7, 42:3, 42:4, 42:8, 43:13, 43:18, 101:17, 112:22, 112:23 downstream [9] -39:23, 44:5, 52:13, 70:21, 81:8, 81:20, 82:2, 105:7, 115:9 Dr[11] - 120:11, 120:15, 120:21, 121:8, 121:17, 122:4, 122:14, 122:23, 123:20, 124:3, 125:7 draft [2] - 57:21, 60:24 drafter[1] - 92:14 drafting [1] - 91:15 drain [4] - 105:11, 106:8, 106:11, 106:12 drainage [9] - 20:14, 37:6, 42:5, 43:10, 46:13, 77:7, 78:10, 78:11, 93:13 drainageway [44] -29:16, 29:22, 30:8, 34.9, 35:13, 35:19, 41:13, 42:23, 46:20, 48:17, 55:8, 61:21, 65:8, 75:16, 75:20, 77:10, 93:13, 105:4, 106:3, 106:9, 106:12, 106:17, 109:16, 110:20, 111:2, 111:7, 111:14, 111:18, 112:1, 112:8, 112:22, 119:1, 119:8, 119:12, 121:13, 121:23, 122:20, 122:25,

108:23, 109:4, 117:5

dominant [1] - 22:17

done [16] - 6:4, 6:5,

123:10, 124:2, 125:5, 126:13, 126:24, 127:8 drainageways [1] drained [1] - 20:14 drains [1] - 110:14 draw [2] - 60:14, 66:14 drawing [1] - 76:15 drawn [1] - 80:25 dropped [1] - 25:8 drought[1] - 91:13 dry [5] - 53:23, 81:7, 81:13, 84:12 du [1] - 12:24 duly [3] - 5:7, 131:4, 131:13 dunes (1) - 116:6 duration [2] - 73:6, 83:23 during [11] - 11:1, 25:23, 40:22, 59:22, 99:12, 100:10, 100:16, 102:6, 106:15, 118:10, 128:23 DVD [7] - 4:2, 54:13, 54:16, 80:10, 80:13, 128:17, 128:20 Dyke [4] - 92:23, 93:2, 93:3

E

early [2] - 25:10, 25:22 Earth [2] - 9:14, 9:15 earth [1] - 8:24 easily [6] - 38:22, 39:12, 57:24, 58:6, 61:7, 61:9 east [16] - 34:11, 46:10, 47:6, 47:9, 51:24, 51:25, 55:9, 71:21, 72:23, 78:7, 79:1, 79:4, 103:15, 103:24, 109:21, 111:13 East [3] - 3:11, 3:22, 131:10 eastern [1] - 44:17 easy [1] - 67:5 ebb [1] - 56:6 Ecological [1] -51:21 ecology [1] - 8:24 edge [4] - 45:6, 45:7, 45:19 education [6] - 8:15,

1:15, 2:3, 3:1, 5:6, 131:12, 131:16 elsewhere (1) -107:23 embankment [1] -104:15 employed [2] -131:23, 132:2 employee [2] -124:8, 132:1 enacted [1] - 55:19 encompass [1] -71:10 encounter [1] - 23:9 end [9] - 12:18, 13:11, 40:10, 42:1, 77:8, 128:17, 129:6, 130:21 endangered [3] -12:19, 17:11, 20:5 ends [1] - 40:10 engaged [1] - 59:15 Engelhardt [9] -45:3, 96:7, 96:8, 97:7, 97:11, 97:23, 98:20, 99:6, 101:23 engineer [2] - 38:9, 38:10 engineering [1] engineers [3] -44:21, 48:3, 93:8 Engineers [19] -2:13, 11:7, 14:15, 14:23, 18:9, 18:12, 21:15, 23:2, 26:16, 32:18, 55:22, 59:12, 66:6, 66:10, 67:4, 67:24, 71:13, 87:22, 115:15 engines [1] - 16:2 enhancement [2] -

20:16, 20:25 entered [1] - 99:16 entering (1) - 40:15 enters [1] - 107:2 entire [3] - 13:3, 38:11, 111:19 entirely [1] - 14:16 entirety [1] - 108:15 entitled [5] - 28:22, 54:23, 66:20, 92:23, 100:19 environmental (8) -8:19, 9:11, 9:19, 10:1, 11:17, 18:18, 65:19, 96:10 Environmental [3] -53:3, 87:22, 104:7 EPA [2] - 55:22, 76:1 ephemeral [1] -81:20 eroded [3] - 45:20, 46:1, 46:5 eroding [1] - 44:19 erosion [2] - 43:6, erosional [1] - 73:4 essential [1] - 26:12 essentially [25] -14:25, 18:8, 19:10, 20:13, 22:19, 23:8, 26:7, 30:2, 31:22, 34:12, 35:10, 37:6, 40:13, 43:12, 45:2, 45:6, 53:6, 65:5, 66:25, 67:16, 71:21, 72:10, 76:2, 80:25, 118:18 establish [1] - 76:12 established [2] -76:4, 76:11 et [2] - 3:4, 4:7 evaluation [1] -66:13 evaluator [1] - 11:6 evens [1] - 73:14 evidence [25] - 22:8, 64:18, 64:19, 72:21, 73:11, 73:15, 75:16, 75:19, 76:17, 77:20, 78:6, 78:12, 101:24, 105:20, 106:18, 118:25, 120:9, 122:13, 122:16, 122:19, 124:1, 125:4, 125:12, 125:21, 126:6 EVIDENTIARY [2] -1:13, 3:1 evidentiary [3] - 4:3, 5:25, 7:12 evolved [1] - 12:3

familiar [8] - 6:13,

93:4, 97:15, 100:21,

14:18, 59.9, 87:3.

far [14] - 18:14,

113:23

exact [3] - 22:2, 22:3, 74:22 exactly [4] - 16:13, 48:16, 79:19, 89:6 **EXAMINATION** [2] -5:9, 86:9 Examination [2] -2:4, 2:5 examination [3] -86:8, 97:11, 131:17 examine 121 -104:15, 128:3 examined [5] - 75:1, 100:10, 101:11, 126:15, 131:16 examining [1] - 88:5 example [2] - 68:10, 109:2 examples [2] -26:13, 56:12 except [1] - 65:13 excuse [2] - 13:23, 121:12 Exhibit [92] - 7:10, 7:14, 7:24, 8:9, 8:11, 8:14, 13:25, 14:2, 14:4, 14:6, 14:10, 14:11, 28:2, 28:17, 31:19, 32:7, 32:11, 32:12, 32:15, 32:16, 33:6, 33:19, 33:20, 34:19, 34:20, 34:22, 35:2, 35:7, 36:2, 36:7, 36:24, 37:13, 41:4, 44:3, 49:23, 49:25, 52:5, 56:2, 57:3, 57:17, 58:19, 58:21, 59:4, 59:8, 59:18, 60:3, 60:20, 60:24, 61:2, 62:10, 66:14, 66:18, 66:20, 67:17, 68:5, 68:12, 70:14, 73:7, 76:2, 79:25, 80:24, 81:2, 83:4, 83:16, 85:22, 88:9, 89:24, 90:15, 91:3, 95:12, 95:23, 96:14, 96:22, 99:7, 99:13, 100:9, 100:15, 100:16, 101:5, 101:6, 102:7, 109:3, 109:10, 111:22, 113:18, 114:17, 115:2, 115:17, 117:18, 118:18, 119:17, 120:3 exhibit [8] - 7:11, 8:5, 8:6, 35:9, 89:9, 95:10, 99:22, 100:9 exhibits [1] - 2:19 exist [2] - 13:19,

79:14 existed [3] - 7:3, 29:25, 64:5 existing [1] - 28:24 exists [2] - 76:13, 119:21 exited (1) - 99:14 exiting [1] - 40:15 expand [3] - 15:10, 16:1, 34:2 expanded [2] -32:24, 32:25 expanding [1] experience [6] -9:23, 12:22, 30:17, 50:11, 53:24, 71:1 expert [26] - 6:1, 6:22, 8:13, 13:25, 26:21, 27:20, 28:20, 39:2, 54:23, 62:9, 63:17, 70:9, 85:4, 96:18, 97:20, 98:25, 99:3, 101:10, 102:11, 120:10, 120:11, 120:17, 120:22, 121:19, 125:15, 126:2 experts (4) - 70:10, 78:16, 85:16, 120:25 expires [1] - 132:10 explored [1] - 26:10 expressed [4] -54:17, 54:19, 80:4, 85:25 extending [2] -47:11, 78:6 extension [2] -37:10, 37:17 extent [7] - 27:25, 30:15, 36:15, 58:14, 61:20, 92:5, 105:15 extra [1] - 99:19

F

extraction [1] - 17:15

Exxon [2] - 17:24,

18:10

face [6] - 47:1, 47:9, 72:22, 73:11, 78:2 fact [16] - 34:3, 41:25, 65:14, 72:2, 81:13, 88:22, 90:18, 91:7, 91:13, 91:17, 93:19, 100:23, 107:3, 108:7, 118:21, 128:25 fair [2] - 124:23, 124:25 fallen [1] - 43:13

21:12, 27:6, 41:21, 73:9, 74:8, 79:8, 80:14, 84:19, 84:21, 107:19, 117:11, 119:1, 128:7 Farm [1] - 12:23 farms [1] - 13:9 feature [5] - 64:6, 67:7, 74:1, 83:25, 107:7 features [4] - 40:6, 45:17, 66:2, 73:4 February [1] - 52:10 federal [1] - 29:21 federally [1] - 26:18 feet [12] - 40:10, 41:22, 43:17, 51:13, 74:2, 74:17, 74:21, 82:20, 85:1, 85:3, 90:21, 104:14 felt [8] - 29:20, 51:12, 60:20, 71:15, 75:5, 75:9, 103:2, 103:3 few [5] - 27:23, 81:19, 81:23, 86:15, 86:19 field [17] - 6:25, 21:16, 23:12, 26:3, 30:18, 30:20, 51:10, 52:10, 52:24, 53:17, 53:25, 59:25, 66:22, 68:16, 71:8, 77:20, 81:13 fields [1] - 10:1 fifth [1] - 89:25 figure [8] - 45:18, 49:24, 49:25, 50:4, 59:23, 65:22, 71:15, 96:25 Figure [2] - 96:22, figures [3] - 34:14, 47:25, 96:22 filed [1] - 2:24 filled [3] - 21:2, 21:7, 119:23 filters [1] - 43:10 final [5] - 13:21, 18:23, 26:11, 87:23, 128:21 finalized [4] - 98:25, 99:2, 120:17, 121:16 finally [3] - 21:3, 42:13, 46:8

financially [1] -132:2 findings [5] - 18:6, 34:6, 59:24, 63:23, fine [1] - 68:24 finished [2] - 129:13, 129:24 firm [3] - 11:23. 15:11, 93:3 first [32] - 5:7, 21:23, 24:2, 24:10, 27:2, 28:8, 28:20, 35:9, 35:15, 37:8, 37:12, 47:16, 49:1, 49:24, 49:25, 55:2, 55:15, 57:11, 58:17, 60:16, 67:20, 68:20, 73:19, 83:4, 84:16, 88:19, 88:20, 89:4, 90:6, 101:14, 103:6, 121:21 firsthand [4] -121:11, 121:17, 121:22, 123:11 Fish [2] - 10:10, 26:17 fish [1] - 56:14 fisheries [1] - 10:4 fishery [1] - 15:16 fishing [1] - 58:1 fits [2] - 58:6, 58:7 fitted [1] - 44:18 five [4] - 15:20, 23:21, 90:6, 90:9 flag [1] - 45:2 flags [1] - 45:3 FLAMBEAU [1] - 1:7 Flambeau [67] - 3:4, 4:8, 4:24, 6:16, 6:21, 28:25, 29:3, 29:15, 29:20, 33:8, 33:14, 33:19, 34:12, 34:15, 39:20, 49:2, 51:5, 51:13, 51:17, 53:2, 53:22, 55:8, 55:13, 57:15, 57:24, 58:7, 60:16, 60:18, 61:5, 61:11, 61:15, 61:18, 62:13, 63:11, 64:8, 70:2, 70:4, 70:6, 70:8, 76:13, 80:22, 82:14, 83:9, 83:13, 84:5, 84:18, 85:8, 85:17, 90:22, 93:5, 93:8, 95:5, 107:1, 107:3, 119:2, 119:9, 119:13, 124:2, 125:5, 125:23, 126:8, 126:18, 126:21, 126:25, 127:4, 127:11, 129:8

flat[3] - 78:8, 78:9, 82:3 flooding [2] - 38:19, 48:7 floodplain [2] -19:10, 19:12 flow [64] - 7:2, 39:12, 42:4, 42:7, 44:23, 45:11, 53:4, 53:12, 54:1, 56:6, 62:3, 62:23, 62:25, 68:8, 68:14, 69:16, 72:21, 72:24, 73:6, 73:11, 78:13, 78:18, 78:25, 79:3, 79:8, 79:9, 79:10, 79:16, 81:21, 81:25, 82:9, 102:20, 103:8, 103:14, 103:23, 104:1. 104:11, 105:1, 106:15, 109:16, 109:17, 110:11, 110:13, 110:18, 110:25, 111:1, 111:5, 112:6, 112:13, 112:15, 112:16, 112:17, 121:12, 121:17, 121:22, 122:5, 122:10, 122:12, 122:14, 122:19, 122:21, 123:9 flowing [6] - 20:1, 72:16, 72:19, 73:16, 81:23, 82:23 flows [13] - 64:20, 68:7, 69:18, 78:1, 78:20, 79:19, 97:13, 98:9, 106:23, 107:5, 111:14, 113:2, 114:12 FMC [3] - 102:24, 108:6, 108:10 focus [2] - 17:1, 17:2 focused [1] - 80:15 follow [3] - 86:15, 110:19, 112:7 follow-up [1] - 86:15 followed [3] - 67:1, 76:11, 80:21 Following [1] - 59:5 following [1] -131:11 follows [2] - 5:8, 116:2 Fond [1] - 12:24 foot [4] - 40:9, 81:17, 82:19 footnote [5] - 68:5, 100:24, 108:17, 109:6, 109:7 footnotes [1] -

108:16 FOR [2] - 1:1, 1:3 foreign [7] - 56:4, 56:10, 56:12, 56:15, 57:14, 57:22, 61:6 forenoon [2] - 3:14, 131:8 forget [3] - 16:13, 83:7, 83:8 Fork [2] - 19:6, 20:17 form [25] - 13:23, 13:24, 13:25, 14:1, 14:8, 14:12, 14:15, 14:20, 26:21, 31:15, 58:13, 66:21, 79:24, 94:8, 98:2, 110:22, 111:16, 112:9, 114:8, 114:18, 115:4, 116:17, 120:3, 120:4, Form [2] - 2:13, 66:21 formed [1] - 61:25 former [3] - 16:25, 20:12, 101:15 formerly [2] - 20:18, 50:11 forms [1] - 56:25 formulating [6] -97:8, 104:19, 105:13, 120:8, 124:16, 124:24 forward [2] - 15:2, 65:18 Foth [12] - 47:23, 47:25, 49:15, 50:8, 51:18, 77:3, 92:23, 93:1, 93:2, 93:3, 100:13, 109:7 four [8] - 15:20, 16:23, 35:11, 88:20, 89:4, 101:8, 101:10, fourth [1] - 37:19 frame [1] - 126:4 free [2] - 20:1, 36:25 frequency [8] -78:10, 79:9, 83:23, 122:2, 122:7, 122:8, 122:14, 122:16 frequent [1] - 122:12 frequently [1] -32:19 Friday [4] - 89:24, 100:10, 117:9, 117:21 Friday's [1] - 86:11 friends [1] - 130:2 front [2] - 22:11, 88:9

gained [1] - 30:22 gather [2] - 31:4, 31:23 gathered [2] - 26:3, 48:2 Gauger [1] - 6:15 GAUGER [1] - 1:4 gee [1] - 24:1 GEF [1] - 10:15 general [4] - 55:24, 77:6, 109:15, 109:16 generally [8] - 12:1, 21:23, 73:3, 111:9,

111:10, 112:11, 112:21 Geographic [2] -49:21, 83:3 George [2] - 3:24, 5:2 GILLIVRAY [25] -4:19, 7:18, 8:8, 31:

4:19, 7:18, 8:8, 31:15, 33:16, 38:25, 58:12, 58:22, 85:12, 86:7, 89:12, 89:15, 89:22, 95:13, 95:20, 99:18, 99:24, 100:7, 113:11, 114:9, 128:14, 129:11, 129:15, 130:10, 130:17 given [10] - 22:9, 32:20, 41:10, 61:6, 64:14, 72:16, 72:19, 79:18, 121:16, 131:20 glean [1] - 53:15 Golf [1] - 21:6 grad [2] - 25:1, 25:10 grade [7] - 37:24, 38:7, 41:20, 42:9, 44:3, 101:15 gradient [1] - 82:1 graduated [1] - 10:5 graduating [1] - 8:16 grass [8] - 43:8,

44:7, 44:8, 44:14, 45:22, 72:5, 72:9 great [2] - 42:25, 54:9 Green [3] - 8:18, 10:6, 27:15 Greenbush [1] -19:19 ground [3] - 40:25,

78:5, 82:12 groundwater [2] -17:15, 42:7 Group [1] - 17:8

growing [1] - 17:0

guess [5] - 27:24, 39:6, 84:11, 92:4, 118:17

guidance (24) - 13:7, 18:20, 29:19, 30:24, 32:24, 32:25, 57:20, 60:10, 60:23, 60:25, 61:2, 61:12, 65:15, 65:21, 66:24, 67:1, 71:12, 73:2, 73:7, 76:1, 76:8, 115:17,

115:19, 115:23 guidebook [3] -14:17, 14:18, 14:20 guideline [2] - 88:2,

88:3 guidelines [6] - 59:1, 59:4, 59:18, 60:3, 66:12, 71:1

66:12, 71:1 gullies [1] - 73:4

Н

habitat [2] - 12:19, 103:17 Habitat [1] - 103:4 half [3] - 61:22, 81:18, 82:19 halfway [1] - 45:24 Hampshire [1] -11:10 hand [10] - 5:4, 7:9,

83:1, 89:23, 95:11, 95:21, 99:12, 102:9, 115:16, 132:5 handed [2] - 90:15, 100:8

Hansen [5] - 3:24, 3:25, 4:12, 99:14, 99:16

happy [1] - 123:16 Harbors [2] - 32:22, 67:15

hard [5] - 35:24, 43:14, 44:7, 45:21, 72:15

Harley [2] - 15:23, 16:2

HARRY [1] - 3:21 Harry [2] - 2:25, 4:23 Hatchery [1] - 10:10 head [1] - 33:2

heading [2] - 60:15,

headquarters [1] -10:16 headwaters [5] -

51:25, 77:12, 93:17, 94:1, 94:18

hearing [4] - 27:3, 27:13, 27:17, 83:14 hearings [2] - 26:22, 27:19 held [3] - 23:22,

89:19, 100:4 help [3] - 12:9, 13:11, 35:5

helped [2] - 15:13, 16:6 hereby [1] - 131:6

hereto [1] - 132:2 hereunto [1] - 132:4 high [4] - 8:16,

17:14, 77:23, 81:14 highest [1] - 111:4 highly [1] - 122:12

Highway [10] - 34:11, 37:8, 41:20, 64:23, 76:18, 91:19, 91:25, 92:10, 101:12, 109:21

hills [2] - 16:11, 16:13

hired [6] - 6:1, 6:18, 6:20, 6:22, 6:24, 6:25 historic [1] - 19:18 hit [1] - 34:4

hold [2] - 23:11, 30:19

hollow [1] - 72:7 homes [1] - 16:18 hour [1] - 54:7 House [1] - 19:18

hummock [1] - 72:7 hummock-andhollow [1] - 72:7

humps [1] - 72:9 hundreds [1] - 23:4 husband [1] - 130:1 hydric [1] - 22:6

hydrogeology [1] -9:12

hydrologic [3] -15:12, 22:11, 41:9 Hydrologist [3] -

23:13, 23:23, 28:11 hydrology [6] - 9:12, 21:21, 22:8, 22:13,

hypothetical [2] -115:1, 117:1

31:6, 72:17

1

identification [4] -8:10, 14:7, 32:8, 34:21 identified [9] - 15:4, 41:4, 50:7, 56:22,

56:24, 87:1, 88:15, 89:25, 90:11 Identified [1] - 2:11 identify [6] - 48:21, 49:11, 88:22, 93:13, 112:1, 112:5 identifying (2) - 13:2, 11[4] - 10:15, 67:13, 67:18, 68:12 III [1] - 68:21 Illinois [1] - 18:7 illustrative [1] -42:22 image [3] - 37:12, 81:2, 83:4 images [2] - 35:11, 44:4 imagine [1] - 130:7 immediately [2] -39:23, 107:1 impact [1] - 16:7 impacts [3] - 26:5, 26:6, 27:5 important [2] -50:14, 77:4 impoundments [1] -56:20 impression [4] -48:10, 48:12, 79:11, 123:12 IN [1] - 1:1 in-stream [1] -104:12 inches [1] - 81:19 include [3] - 56:11, 56:19, 91:4 included [10] - 8:13, 29:12, 31:12, 32:6, 33:4, 34:22, 54:25, 57:10, 57:16, 94:22 including [4] - 12:18, 56:7, 91:7, 94:6 incorrectly [2] -51:7, 90:4 index [2] - 35:11, 49:22 indexed [1] - 50:1 indicate [3] - 15:5, 25:13, 105:22 indicated [2] - 49:14, 109:2

indicators [1] - 22:12

industrial [6] - 12:14,

industries [1] - 56:17

infiltrate [1] - 78:5

information [27] -

Industrial [1] - 95:6

30:3, 52:9, 56:17,

105:9, 106:6

18:25, 26:3, 31:5, 31:23, 67:22, 69:3, 78:17, 78:19, 78:22, 79:2, 79:5, 79:7, 79:12, 79:17, 79:20, 88:17, 88:25, 90:24, 91:3, 94:23, 105:16, 118:19, 120:4, 120:7, 121:25, 122:2, 124:14 Information [2] -49:21, 83:3 infrequent [2] - 65:2, initials [2] - 28:5, 28:6 inlet [1] - 82:15 inspection[1] -106:20 install [1] - 38:23 installed [1] - 38:6 instance [1] - 108:16 instructional [1] -14:17 instructions [1] -14:16 integrity [2] - 62:12, intended [2] - 48:7, Interdisciplinary [1] - 8:23 interest [2] - 51:23, 94:14 interested [3] -19:23, 83:14, 132:3 interesting [1] -129:17 interflow [1] - 42:7 intermittent [13] -35:21, 50:5, 52:1, 53:12, 77:2, 93:18, 94:1, 94:19, 109:11, 109:18, 109:23, 109:24, 109:25 intermittently [1] interpretation [3] -70:2, 70:6, 104:22 interpreted [2] -10:18, 90:18 interruption [1] -84:18 interstate [10] - 56:4, 56:7, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 57:14, 57:22, 61:6 intrastate [1] - 56:8 introduce [1] - 4:15 introduction [3] -28:21, 28:22, 29:10

inventoried [2] -15:12, 17:10 Inventory [6] - 10:17, 10:21, 51:16, 92:24, 93:11, 94:11 involve [1] - 25:19 involved [16] - 8:15, 9:16, 9:18, 12:25, 16:12, 17:9, 19:5, 22:24, 24:25, 25:4, 25:19, 25:24, 26:1, 26:14, 27:5, 92:19 involvement [1] involves [1] - 12:23 involving [3] - 21:4, 28:13, 72:12 Iris [2] - 53:3, 104:6 irrelevant [1] - 57:6 issue [2] - 26:13, 57:12 issues [5] - 54:24, 55:1, 65:7, 68:16, 68:19 item [4] - 76:16, 77:17, 95:4, 97:21 items [1] - 76:15 itself[11] - 24:3, 30:4, 39:16, 45:8, 52:3, 53:21, 55:10, 61:2, 63:17, 64:12,

J

James [1] - 4:20

JAMES [1] - 3:17

66:7

Jansen (3) - 37:11, 37:17 Jasinski [3] - 51:9, 89:8, 90:2 JDs [1] - 13:18 Jim [3] - 45:3, 96:7, 96:8 job [2] - 10:18, 48:4 jobs [1] - 10:5 joint[1] - 55:21 Jon [3] - 3:24, 4:12, June [1] - 129:3 juried [1] - 23:18 jurisdiction [7] -18:24, 55:12, 59:13, 65:14, 67:3, 67:12, 73:3 Jurisdiction [1] -

jurisdictional [29] -

13:7, 13:12, 13:17,

14:24, 15:1, 18:11, 18:14, 18:14, 18:17, 29:15, 34:3, 54:24, 55:1, 57:20, 57:21, 59:3, 60:15, 60:25, 65:7, 65:10, 68:19, 71:14, 87:6, 87:8, 87:12, 87:14, 87:19, 87:24, 107:9, 120:2

Jurisdictional [3] - 2:13, 14:11, 66:20

K

Kennecott [2] - 51:6,

kayaks [1] - 58:1

keep [2] - 44:19,

125:1

51:17 key [1] - 57:11 keyed [1] - 40:4 kind [43] - 8:25, 9:2, 13:13, 16:15, 23:18, 27:10, 35:24, 39:24, 40:9, 41:15, 42:13, 43:1, 43:10, 43:15, 43:17, 44:6, 44:9, 44:16, 45:16, 45:23, 50:22, 55:2, 56:5, 56:11, 57:4, 57:6, 57:25, 60:8, 64:1, 72:7, 72:9, 72:15, 74:12, 81:11, 81:12, 82:3, 82:5, 82:11, 92:21, 105:3, 113:4, 125:1, 130:2 kinds [1] - 69:13 knowledge [3] -30:22, 53:6, 131:14 known [13] - 29:16, 61:21, 62:2, 106:9, 106:12, 111:7, 119:2, 119:8, 119:12, 121:13, 123:10, 126:24, 127:8 Kohler[1] - 21:4

L

label [2] - 77:5, 105:12 labeled [9] - 2:16, 34:13, 34:16, 44:2, 44:4, 64:10, 77:3, 100:13, 102:7 Lac [1] - 12:24 lacked [1] - 122:2 Ladysmith [2] -50:16, 95:6

land [5] - 12:6, 12:7, 17:1, 21:23, 40:19 landfill [2] - 27:4, 27:9 landscape [6] -21:25, 23:8, 31:25, 35:12, 37:7, 72:1 Lane [61] - 30:2, 35:16, 37:18, 38:4, 41:4, 42:10, 42:14, 42:16, 42:23, 43:22, 51:15, 52:14, 52:23, 53:5, 53:10, 53:11, 53:22, 64:24, 64:25, 71:5, 71:10, 76:19, 80:16, 80:17, 80:20, 81:10, 84:22, 85:24, 90:19, 94:5, 94:15, 94:16, 97:15, 97:25, 98:1, 98:15, 101:3, 101:21, 102:1, 102:20, 103:9, 104:21, 105:2, 106:24, 107:5, 109:19, 109:22, 109:24, 110:1, 114:5, 114:6, 114:11, 114:14, 115:3, 115:10, 115:11, 116:21, 117:16, 118:1, 127:2, 127:9 large [6] - 18:1, 19:14, 23:1, 23:9, 23:10, 79:23 larger [3] - 12:15, 42:5, 100:19 last [8] - 5:20, 25:17, 38:3, 52:6, 106:22, 113:12, 129:6 LAURA [1] - 1:4 Laura [1] - 6:15 Law [4] - 3:11, 3:18, 3:21, 131:9 lawful [1] - 3:2 lay [1] - 21:23 lead [1] - 124:21 leading [1] - 33:17 learn [1] - 47:21 least [5] - 49:12, 68:8, 68:9, 93:7, 107:4 leaves [1] - 43:13 left [6] - 43:15, 44:13, 46:2, 46:6, 82:17, 83:1 left-hand [1] - 83:1 legal [3] - 58:14, 65:20, 76:7

legislation [1] -

55:20

length (1) - 40:9 less [4] - 40:9, 54:1, 61:22, 68:14 level [4] - 40:24, 45:14, 63:9, 107:14 levels [2] - 46:4, 77:23 licensed [1] - 23:13 Licensing [2] -23:15, 23:25 lies [1] - 104:14 light[1] - 101:4 likely [4] - 38:16. 59:13, 65:24, 66:9 limited [1] - 120:9 limnology [1] - 8:25 line [25] - 22:3, 22:19, 23:7, 27:20, 27:23, 35:24, 36:16, 38:1, 50:4, 50:5, 72:19, 101:4, 101:11, 103:2, 105:10, 106:8, 109:12, 110:7, 110:9, 111:21, 111:22, 119:22, 128:21 lines [2] - 51:1, 51:2 lip [5] - 44:16, 45:7, 45:8, 45:14, 74:6 list [9] - 7:25, 22:14, 31:18, 32:16, 49:1, 57:3, 57:16, 89:7, 94:7 listed [11] - 12:21, 26:18, 31:2, 60:19, 88:13, 90:1, 90:10, 107:16, 108:12, 108:14, 108:24 listing [2] - 49:16, 67:16 lists [2] - 26:10, 33:2 litigation [1] - 121:8 live [3] - 6:11, 6:12, 9:1 LLC [1] - 3:18 locate [3] - 34:12, 42:17, 78:14 located [2] - 52:14, 67:7 location [4] - 35:10, 77:1, 77:14, 77:15 locations [3] - 2:16, 36:9, 78:22 long-awaited [1] -59:19 long-winded [1] -67:2 look [33] - 6:25, 19:25, 22:4, 22:6, 28:24, 31:7, 31:9,

31:21, 34:5, 34:18,

35:22, 37:20, 38:10, 38:11, 38:12, 38:13, 43:11, 44:11, 49:8, 50:3, 58:17, 60:8, 62:7, 68:19, 71:3, 79:14, 81:1, 90:13, 95:4, 115:25, 119:14, 123:16 looked [18] - 30:4, 40:4, 41:20, 43:12, 46:12, 46:19, 50:24, 51:16, 52:15, 53:25, 61:17, 79:15, 82:7, 89:1, 97:7, 102:5, 105:18, 108:20 looking [33] - 14:12, 15:10, 19:13, 20:4, 28:1, 31:5, 33:6, 36:1, 40:3, 41:8, 41:16, 41:17, 44:13, 48:20, 50:20, 50:21, 51:10, 52:5, 52:18, 53:18, 53:20, 57:2, 62:15, 62:23, 72:14, 72:20, 72:21, 94:12, 94:13, 108:22, 109:10, 121:4, 124:19 looks [7] - 46:9, 81:11, 81:12, 82:3, 82:16, 124:12, 127:18 low[1] - 73:5 lower [10] - 36:1, 39:13, 39:17, 44:18, 45:1, 50:3, 61:18, 90:21, 102:14, 104:14 lowest [23] - 30:8, 41:15, 42:12, 47:6, 47:11, 73:18, 73:20, 73:22, 74:9, 74:12, 74:19, 74:21, 78:8, 106:16, 110:15, 111:3, 111:4, 111:6, 112:1, 112:3, 112:7, 123:13, 123:15

M

ma'am [1] - 5:4
Madison [12] - 1:17,
3:12, 3:19, 3:22, 4:9,
4:14, 5:1, 9:6, 10:3,
10:7, 10:8, 131:10
main [1] - 41:11
Maine [5] - 11:12,
11:13, 17:25, 25:9,
27:2
maintain [3] - 24:14,
48:4, 83:24
maintained [3] 20:1, 23:19, 24:9

major[1] - 24:22 managed [1] - 20:6 management [2] -9:7, 16:23 Managers [2] -24:21, 25:3 mandatory [1] -128:12 manmade [2] -115:12, 116:4 manner[1] - 124:18 map [7] - 36:18, 49:13, 50:6, 76:21, 111:23, 111:24 mapping [2] - 90:6, 90:11 maps (11) - 10:20, 34:14, 35:10, 35:19, 48:9, 49:21, 76:22, 88:20, 88:21, 108:24, 112:6 March [2] - 51:18, 93:7 mark [2] - 8:5, 14:1 marked [24] - 7:14, 8:9, 14:4, 14:6, 32:7, 32:11, 32:14, 34:19, 34:20, 58:18, 58:20, 83:6, 89:9, 89:23, 90:15, 91:10, 95:10, 95:12, 99:7, 99:12, 100:8, 102:9, 115:16, 119:16 marking [3] - 8:11, 38:3, 100:14 marsh [1] - 11:4 mass [6] - 62:21. 127:14, 127:17, 127:24, 128:2, 128:9 Massachusetts [2] -11:5, 11:8 master's [3] - 9:6, 9:13, 9:21 material [1] - 19:11 materials [9] - 31:1, 63:6, 63:8, 64:7, 70:23, 78:15, 78:17, 108:6, 108:10 matter [4] - 4:6, 57:20, 128:25, 129:19 matters [2] - 26:25, 131:15 MC [25] - 4:19, 7:18, 8:8, 31:15, 33:16, 38:25, 58:12, 58:22, 85:12, 86:7, 89:12, 89:15, 89:22, 95:13, 95:20, 99:18, 99:24, 100:7, 113:11, 114:9,

128:14, 129:11,

129:15, 130:10, 130:17 McGillivray [5] - 2:5, 4:20, 4:21, 86:10, 86:12 MCGILLIVRAY [2] -3:17. 3:18 meadow [1] - 72:6 Meadowbrook [1] -107:2 mean [19] - 18:16, 31:21, 38:24, 39:3, 44:20, 46:16, 46:18, 81:17, 83:9, 83:11, 83:19, 84:8, 94:4, 111:3, 111:21, 112:24, 113:2, 114:1, 118:15 meandering [2] -43:15, 106:24 meanders [1] - 82:4 meaning [3] - 32:25, 68:10, 73:23 means [6] - 71:22, 77:6, 114:2, 116:2, 116:10, 117:11 meant [1] - 16:4 measured [1] - 61:22 measurement (1) -74:23 measurer [1] - 45:16 measuring [2] -45:16, 51:14 meet [2] - 29:23, 57:17 meets [2] - 60:21, 60:22 member [2] - 24:19, 25:9 membership [1] -25:8 memo [7] - 51:7, 51:8, 90:14, 90:17, 90:18, 90:24, 91:3 memorandum [4] -89:8, 90:2, 92:14, 92:17 memory [2] - 21:20, 74:23 mention (4) - 52:2, 93:24, 94:20, 120:14 mentioned [7] -25:23, 47:24, 72:14, 95:1, 96:24, 120:14, 125:6 mentioning [1] -94:24 mentions [1] - 91:7 met [1] - 29:20 method [1] - 120:4

methodologies [1] -18:21 methodology [2] -21:16, 128:8 microscope [1] -79:16 microtopography [1] - 72:8 mid [2] - 11:23, 25:2 mid-Eighties [1] -25:2 mid-year [1] - 11:23 middle [4] - 16:20, 46:7, 49:1, 53:8 Middleton [6] - 6:12, 16:11, 16:13, 19:7, 20:11, 27:22 Mifflin [3] - 3:11, 3:22, 131:10 might [7] - 25:8, 30:6, 65:3, 66:19, 112:16, 112:17, 130:6 mile [1] - 61:22 miles [5] - 12:18, 13:4, 61:20, 69:9, 69:10 mill [2] - 19:21, 20:1 Mill [2] - 15:8, 15:17 mind [3] - 19:17, 99:23, 122:14 mine [1] - 49:8 Mine [8] - 28:25, 29:3, 33:8, 39:20, 49:2, 53:2, 93:5, 93:8 mineral [1] - 74:7 minimize [1] - 26:5 MINING [1] - 1:7 mining [1] - 18:2 Mining [9] - 3:4, 4:8, 4:24, 6:16, 6:21, 34:15, 64:8, 95:5, 129:8 minute [1] - 31:9 minutia (1) - 113:7 mistake [1] - 39:21 misunderstanding [1] - 52:17 mitigation [4] -10:25, 11:3, 21:1, 21:8 modern [2] - 16:14, 16:16 moment [6] - 34:17, 35:1, 62:8, 63:12, 69:24, 100:1 moments (1) - 59:2 monicker [2] - 64:1, 106:4 monitoring [1] - 11:2 month [1] - 128:23

months [3] - 54:2, 68:11, 68:14 Morey [1] - 19:9 morning [4] - 4:1, 4:23, 5:11, 5:12 most[4] - 50:13, 74:18, 75:2, 104:10 mostly [1] - 74:5 Motor [1] - 15:23 Mountain [1] - 18:2 mouth [2] - 82:24, 83:1 mouths [1] - 83:5 move [4] - 13:9, 15:2, 28:16, 65:18 moved [8] - 10:15, 11:5, 11:12, 11:14, 11:20, 12:14, 46:19, 47:2 movement[1] -83:22 moves [4] - 45:18, 113:1, 113:10, 113:19 moving [8] - 37:19, 37:25, 73:11, 101:17, 105:7, 110:7, 113:17, 127:20 MR [30] - 4:23, 7:16, 7:20, 8:4, 54:3, 54:6, 54:8, 54:10, 58:24, 80:7, 86:3, 89:13, 94:8, 95:15, 95:18, 98:2, 99:20, 110:21, 111:16, 112:9, 113:14, 114:7, 114:18, 115:4, 116:17, 129:13, 129:16, 130:8, 130:12, 130:18 MS [25] - 4:19, 7:18, 8:8, 31:15, 33:16, 38:25, 58:12, 58:22, 85:12, 86:7, 89:12, 89:15, 89:22, 95:13, 95:20, 99:18, 99:24, 100:7, 113:11, 114:9, 128:14, 129:11, 129:15, 130:10, 130:17 multiple [2] - 42:11, 130:3 municipalities [1] -26:19 mussels [1] - 20:5 N

name [8] - 4:12,

5:13, 5:14, 28:4,

64:12, 83:7, 83:8, 86:12 named [3] - 49:17, 65:9, 131:12 names [1] - 11:16 narrow [3] - 16:17, 81:16, 84:9 Native [1] - 121:1 Natural [6] - 10:9, 11:20, 26:15, 50:9, 66:5, 92:17 natural [2] - 26:9, 116:5 naturalistic [1] -19:13 naturally [2] - 40:4, 47:18 nature [5] - 25:13, 48:22, 103:18, 105:4, 107:19 Nauta [6] - 63:18, 70:11, 70:12, 85:6, 125:19, 126:10 Nauta's [4] - 120:10, 124:4, 125:7 navigability [3] -33:2, 51:11, 60:6 Navigability [1] -51:5 Navigable [1] - 2:14 navigable [34] -29:21, 32:10, 32:16, 32:23, 33:1, 33:5, 33:7, 33:23, 51:12, 57:1, 57:3, 57:10, 57:16, 57:19, 58:8, 60:20, 61:12, 62:5, 62:13, 67:8, 67:14, 68:1, 68:2, 68:7, 68:25, 69:1, 69:4, 69:9, 69:23, 69:25, 70:21, 75:9, 76:5, 90:21 navigated [2] -57:25, 61:8 near [2] - 40:1, 80:25 nearby [1] - 68:1 necessarily [2] -22:10, 113:3 necessary [4] -71:18, 118:20, 120:2, 120:5 need [9] - 12:6, 22:2, 30:11, 37:20, 48:23, 65:16, 79:20, 95:14, 119:14 needed [7] - 12:10, 13:9, 16:1, 16:4, 31:3, 66:12, 79:13 needing [2] - 79:13,

118:22 needs [1] - 76:3 neighborhood [1] -117:8 neighboring [4] -114:3, 115:8, 115:14, 116:3 never[3] - 31:6, 79:23, 112:12 New [1] - 11:10 new [2] - 13:23, 19:24 next [21] - 8:6, 15:22, 16:10, 17:7, 19:2, 19:15, 29:10, 35:11, 43:11, 49:20, 50:9, 51:4, 54:23, 63:22, 69:11, 71:3, 72:11, 89:7, 92:22, 95:4, 103:13 nexus [39] - 30:14, 61:24, 62:4, 62:10, 66:12, 66:17, 69:20, 70:14, 70:24, 71:17, 76:3, 76:12, 79:21, 85:7, 85:10, 85:11, 85:16, 118:11, 118:16, 118:21, 118:22, 119:1, 119:4, 119:8, 119:12, 119:21, 119:24, 124:1, 125:4, 125:22, 126:7, 126:16, 126:20, 126:23, 127:3, 127:10, 128:4, 128:6, 128:8 nice [2] - 17:3, 49:8 nobody [2] - 65:13, 92:21 non [2] - 68:4, 68:13 non-RPWs [1] -68:13 nonhydric [2] - 22:7 nonnative [1] - 72:5 nonresponsive [1] -113:12 nonwetland [1] -22:21 Normandeau [2] -11:10, 24:7 north [17] - 41:21, 52:14, 53:9, 71:4, 71:9, 80:15, 94:14, 97:25, 103:15,

103:24, 105:4,

106:24, 107:5,

110:1, 115:11

20:17

109:18, 109:24,

North [2] - 19:6,

northeast [3] -27:14, 37:15, 37:22 northeastsouthwest [2] - 37:15, 37:22 northwest [1] - 20:12 notarial [1] - 132:5 Notary [3] - 3:9, 131:4, 132:8 note [4] - 77:5, 103:13, 107:23, 108:7 noted [8] - 40:5, 49:5, 51:2, 51:11, 64:7, 64:9, 81:10, 103:10 notes [1] - 91:18 nothing [4] - 49:13, 49:18, 77:2, 131:13 notice [4] - 3:7, 25:12, 28:4, 131:6 noticeable [1] - 73:1 noticed [1] - 12:21 November [3] - 51:8, 89:8, 90:2 NRC [3] - 11:21, 13:2, 17:20 NRCS [1] - 50:11 number [24] - 4:2, 4:4, 7:11, 8:6, 12:22, 13:16, 23:9, 35:4, 37:23, 44:25, 45:9, 54:13, 54:16, 68:12, 76:16, 77:17, 80:10, 80:13, 83:16, 84:20, 102:14, 102:23, 102:24, 128:20 numbered m -76:16 numbers [3] - 36:4, 36:5, 36:6 numeric [1] - 49:12

0

oath [2] - 5:8, 131:17 object [11] - 39:1, 58:13, 94:8, 98:2, 110:21, 111:16, 112:9, 114:7, 114:18, 115:4, 116:17 objection [3] - 31:15, 33:16, 85:12 observable [1] -77:13 observation [2] -53:19, 53:20 observations [22] -29:1, 30:25, 34:2, 34:6, 37:3, 39:19,

42:20, 46:23, 53:7, 53:16, 61:13, 64:15, 69:13, 72:11, 80:16, 108:1, 121:11, 121:17, 121:22, 122:15, 123:5, 123:11 observe [5] - 21:22, 77:14, 98:19, 106:14, 106:18 observed [10] - 30:1, 40:17, 43:25, 52:11, 77:20, 80:20, 91:23, 104:10, 123:6, 123:9 observing [2] - 40:1, 111:23 obstructions [1] -37:7 obtain [1] - 9:9 obtained [1] - 24:10 obvious [4] - 75:15, 103:23, 104:2, 111:20 Obvious [1] - 103:14 obviously [3] -82:10, 83:11, 83:22 occasional [1] -104:1 occasionally [2] -75:15, 77:21 occur[1] - 118:21 occurred [4] - 13:3, 18:3, 50:18, 122:10 occurrences [1] -122:3 occurring [2] - 79:8, 112:15 occurs [2] - 22:4, 73:13 October [10] - 29:8, 29:9, 34:9, 35:3, 50:25, 53:17, 80:21, 106:15, 120:11, 120:12 odd [1] - 81:13 OF [3] - 1:1, 131:1, 131:2 offering [1] - 6:6 office [1] - 11:13

one [58] - 8:12, 8:13, 12:23, 14:3, 14:4, 14:22, 16:14, 17:12, 19:16, 22:5, 24:6, 27:13, 27:19, 31:3, 31:6, 31:20, 35:10, 36:23, 37:8, 39:6, 40:14, 41:10, 44:4, 45:3, 49:6, 53:1, 60:16, 61:22, 62:20, 68:4, 77:8, 81:4, 81:6, 83:6, 84:4, 86:12, 93:12, 95:15, 95:21, 99:19, 99:20, 99:25, 101:14, 107:14, 109:3, 109:4, 112:12, 112:17, 113:1, 118:13, 120:3, 120:4, 120:22, 120:24, 127:20, 128:2, 128:5 one-time [2] - 17:12, ones [3] - 24:22, 39:5, 56:15 onward [1] - 79:25 oops [1] - 37:15 operate [1] - 33:2 opinion [14] - 29:24, 39:1, 64:2, 85:19, 91:5, 94:23, 119:7, 119:11, 119:21, 120:8, 125:11, 125:20, 127:9, 128:11 opinions [16] -30:18, 54:17, 54:19, 75:4, 80:2, 85:24, 91:21, 96:18, 97:8, 104:19, 105:14, 106:1, 107:19, 121:19, 124:16, 124:24 order [7] - 31:4, 31:21, 43:5, 48:1, 66:10, 71:15, 118:25 ordinances [1] -26:20 organization [1] -23:17 organizations [2] -24:24, 26:19 origin [1] - 51:14 original (3) - 2:19, originates [2] -103:14, 103:23 origins [1] - 90:19 orthophoto[1] -10:20 otherwise [1] - 25:11 outflow [4] - 65:2,

offices [2] - 3:10, 131:8 offsite (1) - 30:2 often [7] - 39:14, 41:6, 41:7, 49:7,

78:25, 79:3, 79:19 oftentimes [1] - 48:8

old [1] - 37:23 on-site [2] - 29:1,

76:17

Once [1] - 130:13 once [5] - 14:2, 26:3, 29:5, 32:12, 127:21

125:22, 126:17, 127:7 Outlet [1] - 95:6 outlet [4] - 44:22, 73:23, 74:9 outline [1] - 55:6 outlot [6] - 30:3, 48:14, 52:9, 105:9, 106:7, 109:21 outside [5] - 39:1, 72:22, 72:23, 122:16, 128:23 overall [1] - 127:20 overflow [14] - 44:11, 44:16, 44:20, 45:7, 45:8, 45:15, 55:10, 72:12, 72:22, 73:9, 74:6, 74:15, 77:22, 105:22 overview [3] - 37:12, 49:24, 50:2 own [2] - 18:24, 19:1 oxbows [1] - 82:4

P

p.m [1] - 130:23 Packet [1] - 2:16 page [38] - 13:22, 31:19, 32:2, 35:7, 36:7, 39:19, 40:1, 43:11, 48:20, 48:25, 49:25, 52:5, 53:8, 56:2, 61:2, 62:9, 66:21, 67:20, 68:20, 68:21, 69:11, 73:8, 79:24, 80:24, 81:2, 83:4, 90:1, 97:5, 97:17, 97:18, 102:13, 108:4, 108:13, 108:14, 108:16, 115:20, 115:21 Pages [1] - 2:2 pages [3] - 35:9, 88:13, 107:17 Pam [1] - 86:12 Pamela [1] - 4:20 PAMELA [1] - 3:17 paper[1] - 6:8 paragraph [5] -91:10, 103:4, 105:8, 106:23, 115:25 parcel [2] - 12:4, pardon [1] - 28:19 Park [64] - 30:2, 35:16, 37:18, 38:4, 41:4, 42:10, 42:14, 42:16, 42:23, 43:22, 51:15, 52:14, 52:23,

53:5, 53:10, 53:11, 53:22, 64:24, 64:25, 71:5, 71:10, 76:19, 80:15, 80:17, 80:20, 81:10, 84:22, 85:24, 90:19, 94:5, 94:14, 94:16, 97:15, 97:25, 98:1, 98:15, 100:20, 101:3, 101:20, 102:1, 102:20, 103:9, 104:21, 105:2, 106:23, 107:5, 108:17, 108:20, 109:18, 109:22, 109:24, 110:1, 114:4, 114:6, 114:11, 114:14, 115:3, 115:10, 115:11, 116:21, 117:16, 117:25, 127:2, 127:9 parking [2] - 105:10, 106:7 part [19] - 7:24, 19:8, 20:7, 27:14, 30:8, 35:15, 45:1, 47:6, 52:21, 73:20, 73:22, 74:10, 74:19, 79:22, 104:16, 113:12, 115:24, 129:18 particular [6] - 16:3, 40.21, 49:17, 67:18, 77:14, 96:13 particularly [3] -79:13, 112:14, 123:6 parties [4] - 6:1, 114:5, 131:24, 132:2 passed [4] - 24:3, 55:6, 55:23, 104:11 passing [1] - 104:13 past [8] - 27:1, 34:10, 56:3, 57:13, 71:2, 72:6, 91:7, 91:12 Paterson [1] - 3:18 path [5] - 78:1, 109:15, 109:16, 109:17, 110:19

pathway [1] - 77:7

pattern [1] - 42:11

PEGGY [1] - 131:3

pending [1] - 3:5

65:3, 65:16, 71:13,

per [2] - 25:21,

74:18, 82:25

109:23

people [10] - 39:21,

54:3, 58:1, 58:2, 61:9,

people's [1] - 130:3

Peggy [3] - 1:20, 3:7,

perceptible [2] -42:17, 73:1 performed [2] - 15:7, 127:12 perhaps [1] - 92:22 period [1] - 40:22 permanent [5] -68:3, 68:4, 68:9, 69:10, 77:1 permit [7] - 11:6, 12:12, 16:8, 25:25, 26:2, 26:6, 26:12 permits [3] - 12:10, 15:2, 26:14 permitting [3] -11:19, 16:9, 18:9 Perrier [2] - 17:8, 17:14 person [7] - 13:13, 31:11, 43:3, 104:24, 107:6, 118:18, 131:12 personal [1] - 121:22 perspective [1] -31:24 PH [3] - 28:6, 28:9, 28:10 Pheasant [2] - 19:6, photo [45] - 36:1, 36:2, 36:11, 36:13, 36:15, 37:23, 38:2, 39:4, 42:24, 42:25, 43:11, 43:14, 43:15, 43:18, 43:19, 44:11, 44:25, 45:1, 45:2, 45:12, 45:18, 45:21, 45:23, 46:2, 46:3, 46:7, 46:8, 46:22, 47:2, 81:5, 81:6, 81:11, 81:17, 81:21, 81:24, 82:2, 82:15, 82:18, 82:25, 84:10, 84:11, 84:16 photograph [2] -2:16, 83:16 photographs [9] -2:16, 34:25, 35:4, 36:6, 36:8, 36:23, 43:24, 85:22, 85:23 photos [10] - 10:18, 10:19, 42:21, 44:6, 44:9, 46:14, 46:16, 49:23, 71:25, 81:9 physical [8] - 62:12, 63:11, 69:8, 69:21, 70:19, 70:20, 75:10, 76:17 physically [2] -77:11, 122:17

pick [1] - 107:11 pictures [3] - 34:24, 34:25, 35:6 piece [5] - 12:6, 12:7, 57:8, 79:12, 94:22 pieces [1] - 70:15 place [11] - 4:9, 31:24, 38:20, 39:8, 40:14, 48:9, 107:24, 108:3, 111:20, 113:1, 127:21 placed [7] - 40:13, 43:2, 43:6, 45:3, 45:5, 106:5, 111:22 places [3] - 45:10, 81:23, 104:11 plaintiff [1] - 63:6 plaintiffs [14] - 4:22, 6:6, 60:5, 62:19, 64:16, 78:15, 86:13, 118:12, 118:24, 123:25, 125:3, 125:20, 126:3, 126:5 Plaintiffs [3] - 1:5, 3:4, 3:19 plaintiffs' [12] - 5:20, 63:13, 63:16, 63:21, 70:10, 78:16, 85:4, 85:16, 117:5, 120:9, 120:22, 120:25 plan [2] - 21:8, 109:8 Plan [3] - 100:20, 108:18, 108:22 planning [1] - 130:6 plans [4] - 65:16, 128:22, 129:5, 129:7 plant [2] - 18:4, 21:24 plenty [1] - 7:20 point [33] - 14:9, 14:22, 18:22, 22:3, 22:21, 40:21, 42:12, 43:6, 43:17, 44:25, 47:12, 51:20, 59:20, 77:18, 77:19, 78:5, 78:8, 79:18, 81:14, 84:4, 84:15, 84:16, 106:16, 107:2, 110:15, 111:4, 111:6, 112:1, 112:3, 112:7, 114:12, 118:22, 123:15 pointed [1] - 79:22 points [6] - 22:19, 38:15, 68:24, 72:2, 73:18 Poland [1] - 17:13 policy (3) - 9:11, 13:13 pollutants [2] -

62:22, 75:6 pond [6] - 19:21, 20:1, 103:16, 103:25, 105:11, 106:8 portion [12] - 19:8, 35:13, 44:17, 45:14, 57:4, 84:12, 84:14, 94:13, 103:16, 104:20, 105:6, 127:2 portions [3] - 36:24, 53:23, 108:15 position [3] - 96:8, 111:21, 117:2 positive [1] - 120:20 possible [1] - 84:10 possibly [1] - 78:4 practice [1] - 23:20 precipitation [2] -69:7, 77:24 predictable [1] -113:9 predicted [1] - 44:24 predominance [1] -72:4 prefer (1) - 5:15 preliminary [8] -13:16, 13:18, 13:20, 14:24, 29:18, 59:11, 87:17, 88:4 preparation [1] -96:17 prepare [2] - 6:8, 6:10 prepared [7] - 7:4, 13:16, 64:7, 96:3, 108:6, 108:10, 121:7 preparing [2] -25:19, 96:15 present [2] - 3:24, 67:21 presented [5] -28:22, 30:15, 75:19, 118:25, 123:25 presenting [2] -125:3, 126:6 pretty [5] - 10:24, 17:3, 41:14, 61:10, 81:16 prevent [1] - 43:5 previously [3] - 5:19, 74:10, 95:10 primarily [6] - 11:17, 22:1, 63:20, 105:21 printed [1] - 78:16 proceedings [2] -99:15, 99:17 process [4] - 16:9, 20:8, 21:8, 66:19 processing [1] - 15:1 profession [8] -

physics [1] - 8:25

14:21, 24:18, 31:11, 54:21, 80:5, 85:20, 86:1, 88:2 professional [3] -23:16, 24:22, 24:24 Professional [10] -1:20, 3:8, 23:13, 23:16, 23:23, 24:5, 28:10, 28:11, 131:3, professionals [2] -76:9, 108:1 program [1] - 10:17 Project [3] - 51:6, 51:17, 53:2 project [32] - 13:1, 13:11, 15:19, 15:22, 15:23, 15:25, 16:10, 16:11, 17:4, 17:7, 17:9, 17:10, 17:23, 17:24, 17:25, 18:2, 18:10, 19:19, 20:10, 20:16, 20:17, 20:21, 20:22, 21:4, 26:8, 28:25, 34:8, 59:15, 65:18, 68:18, 92:20 projects [13] - 12:1, 12:15, 12:17, 12:22, 15:4, 15:6, 17:19, 17:22, 17:23, 18:7, 18:8, 19:4, 23:7 property [2] - 38:7, 47:13 proposal [1] - 26:11 proposed [3] -17:12, 18:2 protect [1] - 44:22 protecting [1] -15:15 PROTECTION [1] -1:3 Protection [4] - 3:3, 4:7, 6:14, 87:23 prove [1] - 128:8 provide [2] - 60:11, 122:18 provided [10] - 2:19, 18:24, 26:21, 65:21, 76:1, 78:15, 117:21, 121:11, 122:4, 125:21 provides [1] - 90:24 provision [1] - 87:3 Public [3] - 3:9, 131:4, 132:8 public [4] - 60:11, 65:22, 71:12, 76:2 publications [2] -25:13, 25:14 published [3] -32:18, 55:5, 65:15

pulling [1] - 118:19 purchased [1] -11:22 purple [1] - 38:1 purpose [2] - 41:11, 87:18 purposes [3] - 56:13, 56:17, 116:13 pursuant [2] - 3:7, pursue [2] - 9:4, 9:20 put [21] - 16:7, 18:5, 22:19, 26:6, 30:25, 31:1, 33:25, 34:14, 35:23, 36:18, 39:10, 39:13, 39:16, 47:22, 57:5, 67:6, 68:17, 69:2, 71:12, 111:11, 129:23 puts [2] - 23:4, 51:14 putting [2] - 36:17, 129:5 PWS [3] - 28:5, 28:9, 28:10

Q

Quadrangle [1] -50:16 qualifications [3] -7:23, 7:25, 28:4 qualified [2] - 24:13, 131:5 quantitative [2] -78:17, 78:19 quantity [3] - 78:10, 79:9, 127:20 guarter [1] - 49:18 questions [8] - 6:3, 25:24, 80:14, 86:5, 86:15, 128:21, 129:12, 130:13 quickly [4] - 13:9, 13:10, 17:21, 38:15 quite [23] - 13:10, 13:17, 16:23, 18:1, 18:3, 18:11, 19:14, 20:3, 20:8, 22:17, 22:18, 23:1, 25:6, 40:23, 49:7, 51:15, 60:22, 78:8, 78:9, 79:23, 82:8, 83:10, 130:6 quotation [1] - 108:2 quote [2] - 73:6, 93:25 quoted [3] - 60:25, 61:1, 61:4

R

rail [2] - 105:10,

106:8 railroad [7] - 37:24, 41:3, 41:20, 42:9, 43:22, 44:3, 101:15 rainfall [1] - 38:13 raise [1] - 5:3 ran [1] - 75:11 randomly [1] -111:22 range [1] - 22:16 ranging [1] - 40:9 ranking [1] - 22:15 rap [3] - 43:1, 43:6, 44:18 Rapanos [6] - 13:6, 33:5, 57:1, 59:6, 59:20, 67:4 rare [1] - 12:18 rarely [1] - 77:25 reach [4] - 75:1, 77:18, 83:18, 84:20 reached [3] - 75:5, 77:16, 80:2 reaches [2] - 98:6, 105:5 reaching [1] - 91:21 read [5] - 47:19, 61:3, 104:23, 124:17 readily [2] - 60:22, 76:7 reading [2] - 73:6, 131:20 real [1] - 82:24 realized [1] - 124:19 really [15] - 19:23, 23:2, 39:5, 40:8, 41:21, 43:7, 43:19, 45:21, 57:4, 64:17, 68:24, 77:4, 77:11, 79:14, 124:18 Realtime [1] - 132:9 reason [5] - 13:20, 61:23, 94:6, 112:11, 123:11 reasonable [5] -30:19, 54:20, 80:4, 85:19, 85:25 reasoning [2] - 61:7, 119:22 rebuild [1] - 19:22 receive [1] - 8:21 received [2] - 9:3, 9:20 receiving [3] - 16:25, 63:1, 127:23 recently [2] - 25:22,

Recess [3] - 54:14, 80:11, 128:18 reclaimed [2] -105:10, 106:7 recollection [1] -122:23 recommendations [1] - 15:15 record [23] - 4:2, 54:12, 54:16, 80:9, 80:13, 89:16, 89:17, 89:19, 89:21, 99:21, 99:25, 100:3, 100:4, 100:6, 101:4, 126:14, 128:17, 128:20, 129:20, 129:24, 130:13, 130:21, 131:19 Record [1] - 4:13 recorded [2] - 6:7, 107:25 recording [1] - 6:10 Recreation [4] -100:20, 108:17, 108:21 recreation [1] -56:13 recreational [2] -57:25, 61:8 reduced [1] - 131:17 redundancies [1] -113:23 reed [1] - 72:4 reevaluate [1] -121:18 refer [12] - 7:10, 8:12, 14:2, 15:22, 22:15, 32:19, 36:5, 36:25, 47:16, 100:15, 106:2, 106:4 reference [7] - 20:20, 21:3, 38:6, 48:24, 58:19, 59:1, 124:10 referenced [8] -19:4, 33:22, 59:3, 74:25, 102:3, 102:15, 108:16, 109:5 references [2] -65:11, 76:24 referred [16] - 13:24, 16:10, 17:7, 31:8, 32:2, 49:10, 74:19, 75:17, 76:24, 77:10, 97:4, 101:9, 102:10, 103:21, 127:14 referring [17] - 31:18, 65:4, 77:6, 91:14,

93:17, 95:8, 95:25,

97:3, 101:8, 101:24,

103:20, 108:11, 108:12, 111:7, 111:18, 119:16, 121:6 refers [8] - 19:2, 63:22, 71:4, 76:17, 77:17, 104:20, 105:9, 109:7 regard [11] - 27:4, 27:14, 29:14, 61:16, 63:24, 77:19, 78:13, 85:22, 87:18, 122:2, 123:7 regarding [4] - 37:3, 54:25, 60:18, 122:8 registered [1] -23:23 Registered [4] -1:20, 3:8, 131:3, 132:9 registrations [3] -23:12, 24:9, 28:13 regularly (1) - 73:16 regulate [1] - 23:3 regulated [9] - 32:21, 60:13, 65:24, 66:4, 66:8, 66:10, 71:16, 75:24 regulation [1] - 57:8 Regulation [2] -23:14, 23:24 regulations [6] -55:5, 55:21, 55:25, 70:7, 116:1 regulators [1] -107:13 regulatory [2] - 60:7, 107:15 related [5] - 9:16, 26:1, 71:5, 85:20, 131:23 relationship [4] -40:18, 55:13, 66:19, 86:21 relative [9] - 6:3, 47:14, 48:22, 61:17, 62:25, 67:23, 107:19, 107:22, 132:1 relatively [4] - 68:3, 68:4, 68:9, 69:10 reliance [1] - 88:24 relied [5] - 88:12, 91:15, 94:7, 125:18, 126.8 relocation [2] - 20:6, 20:16 rely [8] - 31:4, 31:11, 60:2, 91:20, 91:22, 104:18, 124:23, 125:10

remainder [2] -

78:17, 85:5, 85:15,

17:22, 35:17 remeandered [2] -19:12, 20:18 remember [2] - 35:5, renewed [1] - 23:20 rented [2] - 58:4 repeatedly [1] -21:12 rephrase [1] - 114:10 Report [5] - 52:8, 95:5, 95:24, 96:14, 108:19 report [86] - 7:4, 7:6, 7:12, 7:13, 8:13, 13:25, 14:3, 28:20, 29:13, 31:2, 31:13, 32:3, 32:13, 33:21, 33:24, 34:23, 35:1, 35:5, 36:23, 39:2, 39:19, 47:15, 48:21, 52:9, 52:15, 52:18, 52:22, 53:4, 54:18, 54:23, 54:25, 60:17, 62:1, 62:9, 63:22, 71:4, 85:7, 87:1, 88:8, 88:14, 90:1, 91:16, 92:23, 94:16, 94:17, 95:1, 96:11, 96:13, 96:15, 96:17, 96:19, 97:5, 97:7, 97:21, 98:25, 99:3, 99:6, 100:24, 101:10, 102:4, 102:11, 102:19, 102:23, 103:10, 103:14, 104:16, 105:25, 107:25, 118:9, 119:17, 120:10, 120:11, 120:18, 121:16, 121:19, 124:4, 124:9, 125:7, 125:15, 125:19, 125:20, 126:9, 126:10 reporter [3] - 4:11, 4:17, 6:8 Reporter [5] - 1:20, 3:8, 131:4, 132:9, 132:9 **REPORTER** [1] - 5:3 reports [14] - 12:13, 18:6, 25:18, 31:4, 47:25, 53:14, 53:16, 63:18, 70:9, 85:4, 85:6, 85:7, 85:15, 126:2 represent [2] - 4:16, 4:24 represented [4] -59:18, 60:3, 77:11,

82:23 request (1) - 129:7 require [1] - 30:13 required [8] - 16:3, 16:21, 20:8, 24:14, 70:16, 119:24, 128:5, 128:8 resource [9] - 9:6, 15:16, 19:3, 49:11, 49:20, 64:14, 65:23, 71:14, 107:7 Resource [1] - 50:9 Resources [10] - 3:3, 4:7, 6:14, 10:9, 10:11, 11:20, 26:15, 49:2, 66:5, 92:17 RESOURCES [1] -1:3 resources [14] -10:11, 10:14, 15:13, 16:7, 26:9, 29:1, 41:10, 48:16, 55:14, 64:4, 76:21, 76:25, 126:15, 127:7 responded [1] -117:19 response [1] - 25:23 responses [1] -80:14 responsible [1] -36:16 rest[1] - 36:22 restate [2] - 85:14, 110:24 restoration [4] -16:24, 19:3, 19:14, 20:25 Restoration [1] -19.7 restore [2] - 19:20, 21:9 result [1] - 91:12 resume [2] - 54:13, 80:10 retained [1] - 28:23 retro [1] - 16:16 retro-modern [1] -16:16 review [14] - 18:25, 28:24, 30:23, 31:1, 64:16, 70:9, 89:5, 96:17, 117:5, 123:1, 123:18, 123:21, 124:3, 124:22 reviewed [24] -31:19, 37:8, 47:14, 47:21, 48:22, 63:6, 63:8, 63:13, 63:16, 63:17, 63:19, 64:4,

68:15, 70:23, 74:24,

90:17, 107:21, 120:7, 120:13, 121:4, 121:15 reviewing [1] - 63:21 revised [1] - 10:10 Ridge [1] - 12:23 rip [3] - 43:1, 43:6, 44:18 rip-rap [3] - 43:1, 43:6, 44:18 ripples [1] - 81:25 river [2] - 58:2, 116:5 River [49] - 15:7, 15:16, 29:15, 29:20, 33:9, 33:11, 33:14. 33:19, 34:12, 51:5, 51:13, 53:22, 55:8, 55:13, 57:15, 57:24, 58:7, 60:16, 60:18, 61:5, 61:11, 61:15, 61:18, 62:14, 63:11, 70:2, 70:4, 70:6, 70:8, 76:14, 80:23, 83:9, 84:5, 85:8, 85:17, 107:1, 107:3, 119:2, 119:9, 119:13, 124:2, 125:5, 125:23, 126:8, 126:18, 126:21, 126:25, 127:4, 127:11 Rivers [2] - 32:22, 67:15 road [17] - 37:11, 38:2, 43:4, 52:14, 52:20, 52:23, 97:14, 97:25, 98:7, 98:8, 98:14, 98:18, 98:22, 99:10, 101:2, 101:18, 101:25 Road [2] - 37:17 Rockdale [1] - 27:22 rocks [1] - 74:5 rocky [2] - 44:16, 82:8 Roesler [2] - 63:20, 124.7 Roesler's [4] - 124:4, 124:10, 124:14, 125:10 role [1] - 96:15 ROSS [1] - 3:21 Ross [3] - 3:10, 4:25, 131:9 roughly [2] - 15:5, 73:21 round [1] - 68:7 routinely (1) - 115:13 RPR [1] - 1:20 RPWs [1] - 68:13 RR [1] - 44:3

run [2] - 72:2, 99:22 running [8] - 23:8, 47:1, 47:5, 47:9, 53:21, 76:18, 123:13. 123:14 runoff [2] - 9:14 runs [4] - 33:12, 34:10, 46:1, 46:6 Rusk [6] - 49:2, 49:3, 49:20, 51:6, 83:2, 95:6 résumé [4] - 8:11, 12:21, 15:5, 19:2 Résumé [1] - 2:12 S S.C [3] - 3:10, 3:21,

131:9 sat[1] - 5:18 saturated [3] - 39:11, 40:23, 71:22 Saul [1] - 4:20 SAUL [3] - 3:17, 54:8, 95:18 saw [13] - 43:20, 45:17, 47:20, 52:19, 53:23, 64:18, 71:20, 73:10, 84:16, 108:23, 122:4, 122:11, 123:12 sawmill [3] - 19:20, 19:21, 20:3 scale [1] - 84:24 school [5] - 8:16, 10:6, 10:8, 25:1, 25:10 science [3] - 8:19, 8:24, 9:19 sciences [1] - 10:1 scientific [1] - 121:2 Scientist [3] - 23:16, 24:6, 28:10 scientist [3] - 11:17, 31:22, 96:10 scientists (2) -18:18, 31:22 Scientists [3] -23:18, 24:20, 25:1 scope [1] - 39:2 scoured [3] - 41:24, 78:11, 83:22 scouring [2] - 42:2, scours (1) - 40:14 SCS [1] - 50:12 se [1] - 109:23 sea [1] - 56:23 seal [1] - 132:5 searched [1] - 71:8

seasonally [4] -39:11, 68:8, 68:10, 71:22 second [7] - 5:23, 52:6, 68:21, 80:24, 81:2, 89:16, 99:21 second-to-the-last [1] - 52:6 Section [8] - 32:21, 57:7, 67:15, 67:19, 67:20, 75:22, 75:23, 87:2 section [15] - 20:18, 28:22, 29:10, 42:20, 49:17, 49:18, 54:23, 63:25, 65:6, 67:13, 67:18, 68:12, 68:21, 104:9 sections [2] - 75:25, 82:8 sedge [1] - 72:6 sediment [2] - 16:25, 104:12 see [67] - 8:19, 10:22, 14:12, 14:14, 21:25, 27:13, 28:6, 30:9, 33:23, 35:24, 37:5, 39:4, 39:25, 41:11, 42:19, 43:1, 43:7, 43:14, 43:15, 44:7, 44:12, 45:10, 45:13, 45:15, 46:1, 46:6, 46:12, 46:17, 46:18, 48:10, 48:16, 49:23, 50:3, 50:18, 51:2, 52:23, 53:10, 55:7, 62:18, 64:19, 66:2, 66:22, 70:22, 71:2, 72:18, 72:23, 73:10, 75:12, 75:15, 75:18, 78:19, 80:25, 81:17, 81:19, 81:21, 81:25, 82:14, 84:9, 84:13, 84:15, 85:6, 85:9, 85:15, 89:1, 104:5, 110:9 seeing [3] - 35:6, 65:1, 75:10 seem [2] - 71:10, 124:20 segment[1] - 41:19 segments [3] -39:22, 40:6, 53:23 self [1] - 19:21 self-destructed [1] -19:21 seminar (1) - 9:13 sense [2] - 7:1, 65:17 sensitive [1] - 15:12

sentence [10] -

14

ruling [1] - 13:6

91:11, 103:3, 103:7,
103:10, 103:13,
105:13, 105:17,
106:6, 106:10, 106:22
separate [2] - 14:16,
28:2
separated [1] - 116:3
separately [2] - 8:11,
32:14
sequence (1) - 9:24
Server [2] - 49:21,
83:3
server[1] - 88:19
Service [2] - 50:10,
50:12
service [1] - 15:10
Services [4] - 11:22,
11:24, 51:21, 52:7
set[1] - 132:4
seven (3) - 13:22,
66:21, 79:24
seven-page [3] -
13:22, 66:21, 79:24
several [3] - 13:4,
18:13, 34:4
sharing [1] - 130:11
sharp [1] - 43:4
Sheboygan [1] -
21:5
sheetflow [2] - 42:7,
113:4
shellfish (1) - 56:14
short [6] - 40:5, 73:6,
80:8, 98:7, 101:18,
128:15
show [16] - 32:10,
36:24, 39:4, 39:6,
49:12, 50:13, 52:4,
64:13, 71:25, 75:19,
76:25, 81:9, 85:23,
87:8, 102:8, 126:6
showed [2] - 35:19,
50:22
showing [5] - 35:11,
35:16, 46:14, 48:13, 51:19
shown [16] - 38:1, 42:24, 49:13, 49:22,
50:2, 50:5, 50:15,
51:22, 53:8, 64:17,
79:8, 81:5, 81:6,
95:23, 101:11, 109:4
shows [7] - 35:24
46:3, 46:9, 51:1,
81:11, 82:2, 111:24
side [6] - 22:5, 42:3,
72:23, 82:17, 84:21
significance [2] -
62:20, 63:10
significant [52] -

```
30:14, 61:24, 62:4,
62:10, 62:11, 62:17,
66:12, 66:17, 69:20,
69:21, 70:18, 70:19,
70:24, 71:17, 76:3,
76:12, 79:21, 85:10,
85:11, 85:16, 89:5,
94:22, 102:18, 103:3,
103:18, 105:16,
118:11, 118:16,
118:20, 118:21,
118:22, 119:1, 119:4,
119:8, 119:12,
119:21, 119:24,
124:1, 124:16, 125:4,
125:12, 125:22,
126:7, 126:16,
126:20, 126:23,
127:3, 127:10, 128:3,
128:6, 128:8
 signing [1] - 131:20
 similar [4] - 18:11,
20:17, 45:23, 94:15
 simplifying [1] -
22:18
 simply [13] - 18:24,
28:23, 48:13, 61:17,
64:13, 67:25, 77:5,
77:12, 82:11, 103:19,
106:4, 127:18
 site [15] - 7:1, 19:18,
29:1, 29:4, 33:8,
36:20, 37:4, 39:20,
41:10, 50:19, 72:20,
74:25, 76:17, 93:5,
93:9
 siting [1] - 27:4
 sitting [2] - 5:24,
123:5
  situated [1] - 67:23
  situation [1] - 113:5
  sixth [2] - 90:3,
90:14
  size [3] - 38:12,
38:18, 69:6
  sizes [1] - 61:17
  slight [1] - 83:12
  slightly [8] - 32:22,
34:10, 40:23, 40:24,
44:18, 45:11, 45:18,
82:1
  slope [1] - 45:24
  sloping [1] - 73:25
  small [17] - 16:5,
 16:17, 23:1, 23:3,
23:10, 27:13, 42:3,
52:13, 62:16, 64:17,
72:3, 72:24, 73:4,
```

77:25, 81:7, 128:5

smallest [2] - 41:18,

```
50:13
 Society [4] - 23:17,
24:5, 24:19, 24:25
 Soil [3] - 50:10,
50:12, 76:23
 soil [2] - 74:7
 soils [4] - 21:21,
22:4, 22:5, 22:13
 sold [1] - 56:15
 sometime [1] - 24:4
 sometimes [3] -
26:18, 39:21, 104:11
 somewhat [3] - 40:4,
45:20, 124:17
 somewhere [2] -
58:4, 58:5
 soon [2] - 25:3,
95:17
 Sorry [1] - 85:14
 sorry [9] - 4:4, 7:13,
28:19, 45:18, 46:14,
73:8, 74:12, 85:11,
91:2
 sort [5] - 9:24, 16:15,
26:22, 31:12, 71:11
 sound [1] - 107:11
 sounding [1] -
116:20
 source [5] - 49:8,
51:3, 89:25, 90:14,
102:3
 sources [10] - 78:21,
89:4, 90:6, 90:7,
90:11, 90:12, 125:6,
125:13, 126:2, 126:8
 South [5] - 3:18,
10:25, 11:3, 20:20,
21:2
 south [33] - 30:2,
35:15, 42:14, 42:15,
42:23, 51:14, 52:19,
53:11, 64:25, 80:16,
80:20, 84:21, 85:24,
97:14, 98:7, 98:10,
98:14, 98:18, 98:21,
99:9, 101:25, 104:21,
 107:1, 109:22, 114:6,
 114:14, 115:3,
 116:21, 117:16,
 117:25, 127:2, 127:9
  southern [4] - 11:9,
 45:6, 45:7, 52:21
  southwest [3] -
 37:15, 37:22, 106:25
  southwesterly [1] -
 111:14
  special [2] - 27:4,
 27:9
  species [4] - 12:19,
 17:11, 22:15, 26:18
```

```
specific [3] - 79:18,
108:14, 123:8
 specifically [2] -
15:4, 93:22
 spot[1] - 41:16
 spread [1] - 78:4
 spreading [1] - 41:17
 Springs [2] - 17:13,
20:10
 spur[2] - 41:3, 43:22
 square [3] - 13:4,
61:20, 61:22
 squeeze [1] - 46:21
 ss [1] - 131:1
 stand [1] - 90:18
 standard [1] - 18:21
 standing [9] - 24:15,
40:8, 40:17, 40:18,
43:3, 43:18, 72:3,
81:23, 82:9
 Stantec [10] - 11:22,
11:23, 12:2, 45:4,
52:7, 94:16, 95:1,
95:7, 96:3, 96:9
 start [4] - 10:2,
39:25, 62:14, 105:3
 started [8] - 10:8,
11:8, 11:13, 30:1,
85:2, 105:1, 105:5,
123:22
 starting [6] - 11:11,
34:10, 41:14, 48:25,
64:23, 80:24
 starts [6] - 56:5,
67:5, 74:17, 81:16,
91:10, 102:25
 State [9] - 2:15, 3:9,
3:12, 23:14, 24:20,
25:2, 131:5, 131:11,
132:8
 STATE [1] - 131:1
 state [9] - 19:18,
21:19, 27:15, 32:17,
58:3, 61:10, 66:9,
93:22, 107:25
 statement [5] -
 91:23, 92:2, 103:22,
 104:18, 124:25
 states [4] - 53:4,
92:8, 103:8, 104:9
  States [16] - 3:5,
 55:16, 59:6, 59:7,
 86:22, 87:16, 87:22,
 88:6, 114:15, 116:4,
 116:15, 117:4,
 117:12, 118:1,
 128:23, 129:2
  STATES [1] - 1:1
  status [2] - 29:15,
 60:7
```

```
steep [1] - 82:18
 steeper[2] - 82:1,
82:5
 steps [1] - 70:13
 STEVENS [1] - 3:21
 Stevens [3] - 3:10,
4:25, 131:9
 still [10] - 10:20,
20:2, 23:3, 60:20,
67:20, 82:10, 84:6,
99:21, 116:25
 stipulation [2] - 3:7,
131:7
 stop [1] - 69:24
 storm [3] - 16:19,
16:21, 16:22
 straight [1] - 23:7
 Straits [1] - 21:6
 Stream [96] - 2:16,
29:16, 29:22, 29:23,
29:24, 34:16, 35:19,
41:13, 47:15, 47:17,
47:19, 47:20, 48:1,
48:23, 49:14, 50:7,
50:20, 52:12, 53:1,
55:9, 58:9, 61:15,
61:21, 62:3, 62:16,
63:11, 63:22, 63:24,
64:1, 64:6, 64:10,
64:11, 64:21, 64:22,
65:4, 65:9, 66:1,
74:19, 75:12, 75:18,
77:4, 77:11, 78:21,
81:22, 82:14, 85:9,
85:17, 88:22, 90:10,
90:20, 91:4, 91:8,
91:11, 91:18, 93:14,
93:21, 93:22, 94:3,
97:23, 102:4, 102:15,
103:4, 103:18,
104:10, 104:12,
105:11, 105:12.
106:2, 106:9, 106:12,
106:23, 107:4,
107:20, 107:22,
108:25, 110:3, 111:8,
114:6, 114:12,
114:14, 114:23,
115:3, 116:14,
117:15, 117:25,
119:2, 119:9, 119:13,
121:13, 123:10,
126:24, 127:4, 127:8
 stream [65] - 9:15,
16:5, 19:12, 19:13,
20:2, 20:6, 20:15,
20:19, 30:9, 35:20,
37:20, 42:17, 48:12,
48:18, 48:19, 49:10,
49:16, 50:2, 50:6,
```

87:12, 106:2, 110:2,

termed [2] - 47:15,

terminology [3] -

113:24, 116:2

75:12

51:2, 51:12, 52:1, 53:4, 53:21, 62:22, 64:4, 64:6, 64:12, 66:4, 75:13, 82:16, 83:2, 83:10, 88:25, 89:2, 90:13, 91:23, 92:9, 93:18, 94:2, 94:19, 102:20, 103:8, 103:17, 104:12, 105:1, 109:11, 109:18, 109:23, 109:24, 109:25, 111:13, 116:21, 121:11 streams (2) - 49:12, Streams (1) - 51:5 Street [4] - 3:11, 3:18, 3:22, 131:10 stress (1) - 65:12 stricken [1] - 113:15 strike [8] - 88:20, 90:20, 93:20, 101:9, 103:2, 105:24, 113:12, 128:7 Strike [1] - 114:9 structure [1] - 45:15 stub [12] - 52:22, 97:14, 97:25, 98:7, 98:8, 98:14, 98:18, 98:21, 99:9, 101:2, 101:18, 101:25 studied [4] - 8:23, 8:24, 8:25, 98:11 studies [1] - 15:12 study [2] - 8:21, 20:4 studying [1] - 9:13 stuff [1] - 67:5 sub [1] - 124:12 subcontractor [1] -20:7 subject [2] - 56:6, 67:3 submitted [1] - 70:9 subset [1] - 57:4 successful [1] -44:15 sudden [1] - 81:15 sufficiency [2] -120:8, 125:12 sufficient [6] -110:18, 124:1, 125:4, 125:21, 126:6 sufficiently [1] -117:4 Sugar [2] - 15:7, 15:16 suggesting [1] -62:19 Suite [4] - 3:11, 3:19, 3:22, 131:10 summarized [1] -97:20 summary [4] - 29:11, 29:12, 30:15, 33:25 summer[1] - 129:6 supplement [1] -35:5 supported [1] -21:24 Supreme [1] - 59:5 Surface [4] - 10:11, 49:1, 50:24, 76:22 surface [20] - 10:13, 39:9, 39:15, 40:19, 40:23, 40:25, 41:8, 42:6, 49:10, 64:20, 65:1, 71:24, 72:1, 73:15, 73:16, 74:3, 74:4, 111:5, 111:25 surrounding [2] -40:19, 104:15 Survey [2] - 50:10, 76:23 surveys [3] - 12:20, 18:4, 18:5 Susan [2] - 3:24, 5:1 susceptible [4] -56:4, 57:13, 57:22, swales [1] - 73:4 swap [1] - 95:18 swear [1] - 4:17 sworn [2] - 5:7, 131:13 symbol [1] - 50:22 system [1] - 106:5 systematically [1] -70:17

T

table [5] - 38:21, 38:24, 39:16, 40:20, 71:23 talks [3] - 28:23, 56:1, 69:6 tape [1] - 45:16 taxonomy [1] - 9:1 ten [4] - 25:17, 25:21, 130:1 tend [2] - 39:22, 50:12 tends [1] - 38:10 term [18] - 12:4, 18:13, 21:11, 32:9, 32:23, 55:16, 55:18, 75:23, 86:21, 86:23, 87:2, 87:6, 87:7,

70:19, 86:18, 107:8 terms [1] - 86:19 territorial [1] - 56:23 test [7] - 70:14, 79:21, 118:11, 118:16, 118:20, 118:21, 119:1 testified [4] - 5:8, 27:1, 27:5, 80:3 testify [2] - 129:21, 131:13 testifying [1] - 27:24 testimony [10] -26:22, 76:25, 109:14, 111:12, 112:20, 117:20, 118:24, 127:15, 129:19, 131:19 testing [1] - 16:2 THE [7] - 1:1, 1:1, 5:5, 54:5, 86:6, 129:25, 130:19 themselves [1] -4:16 therein [1] - 60:4 thereupon [1] -131:16 they've [1] - 93:7 thinking [2] - 72:18, 84:24 third [8] - 35:7, 36:7, 37:19, 46:2, 53:18, 53:20, 91:11 threatened (1) -17:11 three [9] - 21:17, 54:1, 68:11, 68:14, 88:19, 125:13, 125:17, 126:1, 126:8 throughout [1] -47:15 tide [1] - 56:6 tied [1] - 55:12 timeline [1] - 121:15 titled [1] - 36:1 TNW [1] - 62:14 TNWs [1] - 57:2 today [14] - 4:11, 5:1, 5:18, 10:20, 80:3, 86:15, 87:7, 88:4, 88:12, 88:14, 97:3, 118:10, 123:5, 127:13 today's [2] - 4:10, 5:24 together [12] - 13:15, 16

tool [3] - 128:11, 128:12, 128:13 top [14] - 32:2, 35:10, 37:12, 39:9, 40:1, 46:1, 49:24, 52:6, 74:1, 74:5, 74:15, 81:2, 82:6, 83:4 topics [1] - 125:2 topo [1] - 76:22 topographical [2] -111:24, 112:6 topography [1] -73:14 total [1] - 21:9 touching [1] - 131:14 toward [7] - 13:9, 13:11, 73:16, 78:7, 106:11, 106:12, 110:8 towards [3] - 47:11, 110:15, 111:2 trace [1] - 111:20 traced [1] - 35:18 track [1] - 80:21 traditional [23] -5:19, 29:21, 32:9, 32:23, 33:22, 57:1, 57:18, 58:8, 61:11, 62:5, 62:13, 67:8, 68:1, 68:2, 68:6, 68:24, 69:1, 69:4, 69:9, 69:22, 69:25, 70:21, 76:5 traditionally [1] -33:4 training [1] - 72:17 transcript [7] - 2:19, 2:24, 6:7, 6:9, 124:5, 124:15, 125:11 transcription [1] -131:18 transcripts [1] -124:10 transmission [2] -27:20, 27:23 Transportation [2] -10:23, 20:24 transportation [1] -12:16 travel [2] - 128:22, 129:4 travelers [1] - 56:13 trending [2] - 37:14, 37:21

trial [3] - 6:2, 6:10,

16:8, 18:5, 26:6, 31:2,

34:14, 46:21, 47:22,

68:17, 83:6, 118:19,

took [5] - 9:9, 35:4,

45:12, 53:6, 102:18

129:5

129:21 tributaries [2] -56:21, 81:3 Tributary [1] - 51:5 tributary [8] - 52:1, 68:6, 69:4, 69:12, 71:11, 93:17, 94:1, 94:19 trip [2] - 72:9, 130:3 trips [1] - 58:1 trout [1] - 9:15 true [4] - 36:11, 118:4, 125:18, 131:19 truth [3] - 124:17, 131:13, 131:14 try [6] - 31:23, 42:12, 47:3, 59:23, 89:1, 112:19 trying [19] - 17:14, 26:8, 32:20, 34:12, 41:15, 42:11, 44:10, 44:14, 45:10, 45:21, 46:13, 47:4, 62:14, 63:25, 65:5, 68:18, 69:19, 105:19, 119:14 turn [5] - 8:2, 43:5, 81:14, 96:21, 115:19 turned [1] - 43:12 turning [1] - 118:9 Two [3] - 3:11, 3:22, 131:10 two [10] - 17:23, 22:19, 32:1, 35:9, 37:13, 37:21, 44:4, 83:5, 101:14, 126:2 type [7] - 12:16, 12:17, 12:25, 23:6, 39:10, 63:9, 72:21 types [9] - 8:21, 9:8, 12:1, 15:6, 26:25, 31:10, 31:20, 39:15, 68:15 typewriting [1] -131:18 typically [13] - 31:21, 38:20, 39:14, 65:19, 66:3, 68:7, 68:10, 71:23, 76:8, 76:25, 77:3, 90:13, 104:13

U

U.S [25] - 2:13, 2:14, 14:14, 26:17, 30:13, 32:17, 32:18, 55:4, 56:19, 57:11, 57:18, 59:5, 60:13, 60:21, 67:15, 75:9, 75:21, 75:23, 114:3, 114:21,

114:24, 115:8, 115:10, 117:16 **U.S.C** [1] - 87:2 unable [1] - 89:2 unavoidable [1] unchannelized [5] -53:5, 102:21, 103:9, 104:4, 105:2 uncomfortable [1] -117.7 undefined [1] -112:14 under [29] - 25:12, 32:21, 37:9, 37:10, 37:14, 38:1, 38:3, 42:2, 43:4, 44:15, 52:13, 60:15, 60:18, 65:14, 67:11, 67:15, 67:20, 68:11, 75:24, 76:16, 79:16, 81:10, 97:4, 97:14, 98:6, 103:4, 106:23, 115:12 underlying [1] -111:23 underneath [1] -82:12 understood [1] -13:14 unfortunately [2] -34:23, 84:23 United [16] - 3:5, 55:16, 59:6, 59:7, 86:22, 87:16, 87:22, 88:6, 114:15, 116:4, 116:15, 117:4, 117:12, 118:1, 128:23, 129:2 UNITED [1] - 1:1 University [2] - 8:17, 9:5 unnamed [3] - 35:20, 49:12, 49:16 unsupported [1] -75:10 unusual [2] - 16:23, 19:25 up [15] - 10:15, 11:12, 24:2, 27:14, 35:22, 38:19, 43:21, 46:21, 51:9, 65:17, 82:19, 82:25, 86:15, 87:21, 102:1 upgradient [9] -40:7, 41:22, 42:1, 43:19, 44:6, 53:9, 55:11, 94:5, 112:23 upland [3] - 22:2, 22:16, 22:20 upper [5] - 19:8,

43:14, 44:12, 83:1, 105:5 Upper [1] - 15:7 uppermost [6] -35:13, 51:14, 83:18, 84:8, 84:20, 90:19 upstream [12] -38:20, 39:23, 40:7, 42:1, 51:13, 53:4, 83:11, 84:12, 90:22, 102:20, 103:8, 105:2 urban [1] - 15:10 URS [7] - 11:15, 11:17, 15:25, 17:5, 17:17, 17:20, 24:4 USDA [1] - 50:9 useful [2] - 50:18, 128:11 uses [2] - 17:1, 105:11 USGS [3] - 50:16, 50:23, 76:22 utility [1] - 12:15 utilized [1] - 76:8

V

vague [2] - 31:16,

85:13 valleys [1] - 82:6 Van [10] - 2:4, 2:25, 4:24, 5:10, 88:15, 88:16, 92:23, 93:2, 93:3 VAN [29] - 3:21, 4:23, 7:16, 7:20, 8:4, 54:3, 54:6, 54:10, 58:24, 80:7, 86:3, 89:13, 94:8, 95:15, 98:2, 99:20, 110:21, 111:16, 112:9, 113:14, 114:7, 114:18, 115:4, 116:17, 129:13, 129:16, 130:8, 130:12, 130:18 various [8] - 21:24, 25:24, 34:14, 34:15, 45:17, 47:22, 55:13, 88:12 vegetation [8] -21:21, 22:2, 22:14, 22:17, 38:13, 41:17, 46:19, 46:20 Verona [1] - 15:9 versus [3] - 4:7, 6:15, 22:7 vessel [1] - 33:1 vessels [4] - 57:25,

58:3, 61:8, 61:9 via [1] - 115:12 vicinity [1] - 50:1 video [1] - 6:10 VIDEOGRAPHER [12] - 4:1, 54:12, 54:15, 80:9, 80:12, 89:17, 89:20, 100:2, 100:5, 128:16, 128:19, 130:20 videographer [1] -Videographer [2] -99:14, 99:15 VIDEOTAPE [2] -1:13, 3:1 view [1] - 44:14 Viewer [2] - 50:25, 76:23 visibly [1] - 98:5 visit [5] - 29:3, 29:6, 36:19, 37:3, 106:15 visited [3] - 29:5, 39:20, 74:25 visiting [1] - 47:13 volume [1] - 73:5

W

W7 [4] - 95:2, 96:24, 97:12, 101:6 Wade [1] - 19:18 wait [2] - 99:20, 99:24 waiting [2] - 59:22, 99:23 waived [1] - 131:21 walked [5] - 34:9, 35:21, 41:2, 41:5, 82:6 walking [2] - 35:14, 72:8 walks [1] - 118:18 walkways [1] - 17:3 Waltham [1] - 11:7 wants [3] - 12:5, 69:11 wash [3] - 45:20, 46:1, 46:5 washes [1] - 73:5 waste [2] - 27:4, 27:9 water[104] - 7:2, 9:2, 9:6, 10:10, 10:13, 15:15, 16:19, 16:21, 16:22, 19:3, 29:1, 30:13, 33:7, 33:22, 38:15, 38:19, 38:21, 38:22, 38:24, 39:12, 39:13, 39:14, 39:15,

40:8, 40:14, 40:17, 40:18, 40:20, 40:24, 41:8, 41:9, 42:6, 44:12, 45:13, 48:5, 48:14, 48:16, 49:11, 55:14, 55:15, 56:21, 57:18, 60:12, 60:20, 60:21, 61:12, 62:25, 63:1, 64:14, 64:18, 64:20, 65:1, 67:7, 68:4, 68:9, 71:23, 72:1, 72:3, 72:19, 72:25, 73:15, 73:16, 77:7, 77:23, 81:23, 82:9, 82:10, 82:15, 82:22, 83:9, 83:22, 84:6, 86:22, 87:6, 87:16, 88:6, 103:20, 107:7, 109:20, 111:5, 111:19, 112:20, 112:24, 113:8, 113:18, 114:3, 114:15, 114:20, 114:24, 115:8, 115:10, 116:10, 116:14, 117:4, 117:16, 118:1, 123:12, 126:14, 127:6, 127:19, 127:20, 127:23 Water [14] - 10:11, 21:19, 49:2, 50:24, 55:3, 55:6, 55:19, 55:23, 57:5, 59:5, 68:23, 76:23, 86:23, 87:4 32:24, 33:1, 33:3, 33:4, 33:23, 55:4,

waterfall [1] - 82:22
waters [38] - 32:16,
32:24, 33:1, 33:3,
33:4, 33:23, 56:4,
56:2, 56:5, 56:7, 56:8,
56:13, 56:16, 56:19,
56:20, 56:22, 56:24,
57:2, 57:3, 57:7,
57:10, 57:11, 57:12,
57:16, 67:14, 67:23,
68:1, 68:3, 69:17,
75:9, 75:20, 75:21,
75:23, 76:4, 116:4,
117:12
Waters [1] - 2:14

Waters [1] - 2:14 watershed [13] -15:8, 15:13, 38:11, 38:12, 38:14, 38:16, 61:18, 61:19, 61:20, 62:15, 67:9, 69:6, 77:8

watersheds [2] -61:14

waterway [57] - 7:3,

11:18, 18:4, 29:21, 29:25, 32:10, 32:21, 34:13, 35:25, 45:25, 50:19, 50:23, 52:3, 53:9, 53:10, 57:19, 58:8, 60:7, 60:12, 62:2, 62:5, 62:13, 62:23, 62:24, 67:6, 67:9, 68:7, 68:25, 69:1, 69:5, 69:9, 69:10, 69:23, 69:25, 72:15, 73:14, 75:11, 76:5, 76:18, 77:13, 77:15, 78:11, 80:22, 81:1, 81:4, 81:15, 87:16, 87:24, 87:25, 88:5, 93:24, 94:20, 94:24, 98:5, 98:8, 98:10, 115:9 waterways [11] -12:9, 18:20, 26:4, 27:7, 27:21, 27:25, 50:13, 50:14, 68:13, 70:22, 77:1 Wauwatosa [1] -

6:1 ways [1] - 55:6 WE [1] - 4:4

web [1] - 88:19 Web [3] - 49:21, 50:10, 83:3 website [1] - 32:19

websites [1] - 61:19 week [1] - 5:20 welcome [2] - 8:12,

well-defined [5] - 78:23, 84:1, 97:13, 98:9, 98:12

14:2

West[i] - 27:22 west[i] - 10:4, 37:11, 37:18, 37:23, 43:5, 64:22

Westerberg [1] -4:21

WESTERBERG [1] -1:18 Western [2] - 3:6, 4:5

WESTERN [1] - 1:1

Weston [1] - 27:20

wet [1] - 71:24 wetland [124] -10:25, 11:3, 15:1, 16:5, 16:20, 16:24, 18:4, 18:7, 18:18, 19:13, 20:13, 20:15, 21:8, 21:13, 21:14,

21:18, 21:21, 22:1,

22:10, 22:16, 22:22, 22:23, 23:3, 25:20,

27:5, 27:14, 30:8, 30:12, 39:10, 39:11, 40:22, 41:1, 41:7, 42:12, 45:4, 46:4, 46:9, 47:6, 47:11, 47:12, 52:3, 55:9, 59:13, 60:12, 64:19, 66:7, 66:8, 67:3, 67:9, 67:11, 67:22, 68:25, 69:17, 70:1, 70:3, 71:4, 71:9, 71:16, 71:19, 71:21, 71:22, 73:13, 73:17, 73:18, 74:10, 74:17, 74:20, 75:14, 75:15, 75:16, 75:20, 77:12, 78:3, 78:9, 78:14, 78:18, 79:1, 79:4, 93:23, 93:25, 94:18, 94:21, 94:24, 95:2, 96:24, 96:25, 97:2, 97:4, 97:12, 101:5, 103:15, 103:23, 109:12, 110:8, 110:14, 110:15, 110:19, 111:1, 111:6, 112:3, 112:7, 113:17, 113:20, 113:24, 114:1, 114:16, 114:17, 115:2, 115:3, 115:11, 116:16, 117:17, 117:19, 118:5, 118:6, 122:22, 122:24, 123:15, 125:25, 126:7, 126:21, 127:7 Wetland [25] - 10:17, 10:21, 23:16, 23:17, 24:6, 24:19, 24:20, 24:21, 24:25, 25:3, 25:7, 28:10, 51:16, 51:19, 51:22, 52:7, 52:22, 92:24, 93:11, 94:11, 94:15, 95:4, 95:24, 96:13, 108:18 wetlands [33] - 9:10, 10:19, 11:18, 12:7, 12:8, 12:11, 13:3, 17:11, 18:19, 20:4, 21:1, 21:7, 21:10, 21:18, 22:25, 23:5, 23:10, 25:20, 26:4, 27:6, 27:16, 27:21, 27:25, 39:15, 56:8, 56:23, 62:3, 68:2, 69:5, 72:17, 116:3, 116:6, 117:11 whatsoever[1] -73:15 whereas [3] - 61:20,

82:25, 106:2

wherein [1] - 3:3 whereof [1] - 132:4 Whistling [1] - 21:6 white [3] - 34:24, 36:4, 101:4 wide [4] - 43:17, 73:19, 73:21, 73:23 wider [2] - 47:4, 81:22 Wildlife [1] - 26:17 Wind [1] - 12:23 wind [1] - 13:8 winded [1] - 67:2 winding [1] - 125:1 WISCONSIN [3] -1:1, 1:3, 131:1 Wisconsin [33] -1:17, 2:15, 3:3, 3:6, 3:9, 3:12, 3:19, 3:22, 4:6, 4:9, 4:14, 6:12, 6:14, 8:17, 9:5, 10:13, 10:17, 10:21, 10:23, 11:14, 23:14, 23:24, 24:21, 25:7, 26:15, 27:12, 32:17, 33:9, 66:9, 131:5, 131:11, 132:8 wish [1] - 24:1 wit[1] - 131:12 Witness [1] - 2:2 WITNESS (6) - 5:5, 54:5, 86:6, 129:25, 130:19 witness [9] - 3:2, 4:18, 5:7, 6:2, 6:22, 27:21, 129:18, 131:20, 132:4 witnesses [1] -120:22 wondering [1] -128:22 woodland [1] -106:25 words [3] - 57:24, 107:11, 107:12 works [2] - 120:25, 121:1 write [3] - 7:9, 12:12, 12:13 writer [1] - 91:7 writings [2] - 25:14, written [2] - 56:1,

60:17

104:24

wrote [2] - 51:9,

WRPC [1] - 97:18

Υ

yards [1] - 16:17 year [5] - 11:7, 11:23, 25:21, 68:7, 68:14 year's [1] - 91:13 year-round [1] - 68:7 years [12] - 12:3, 15:20, 17:1, 19:22, 20:14, 22:23, 23:21, 25:17, 27:23, 47:23, 53:25 yesterday [1] - 86:14 yourself [2] - 119:5, 130:15

Z

zigzag [2] - 42:10, 47:3 zigzagging [1] -41:15